Kansas

UNIFORM APPLICATION FY 2021 Mental Health Block Grant Report COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

OMB - Approved 04/19/2019 - Expires 04/30/2022 (generated on 08/24/2022 10.50.00 AM)

Center for Mental Health Services
Division of State and Community Systems Development

A. State Information

State Information

State DUNS Number

Number 878195098

Expiration Date

I. State Agency to be the Grantee for the Block Grant

Agency Name Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services

Organizational Unit Behavioral Health Services

Mailing Address 503 S. Kansas Ave.

City Topeka Zip Code 66603

II. Contact Person for the Grantee of the Block Grant

First Name Andrew

Last Name Brown

Agency Name Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services

Mailing Address 503 S. Kansas Ave.

City Topeka Zip Code 66603

Telephone 785-291-3359

Fax 785-296-0256

Email Address Andrew.Brown@ks.gov

III. State Expenditure Period (Most recent State exependiture period that is closed out)

From 7/1/2019

To 6/30/2020

IV. Date Submitted

NOTE: This field will be automatically populated when the application is submitted.

Submission Date 11/25/2020 5:29:37 PM Revision Date 11/25/2020 5:29:42 PM

V. Contact Person Responsible for Report Submission

First Name Cissy

Last Name McKinzie

Telephone (785) 296-4079 Fax (785) 296-0256

Email Address Tamberly.McKinzie@ks.gov

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:

https://www.kdads.ks.gov/commissions/behavioral-health/substance-abuse-and-mental-health-services-administration-block-grant and the services are services and the services and the services and the services are services as the services and the services and the services are services as the services and the services are services as the services are services as the services are services as the services are services and the services are services as the services are services are services as the services are servic
No public comment was received.

New England Building 503 South Kansas Avenue Topeka, KS 66603-3404



Phone: (785) 296-4986 Fax: (785) 296-0256 kdads.wwwmail@ks.gov www.kdads.ks.gov

Laura Howard, Secretary

Laura Kelly, Governor

November 24, 2020

Linda Fulton, Ph.D.
SAMHSA/Center for Substance Abuse Treatment
Division of State and Community Assistance (DSCA)
Performance Partnership Grant Branch
5600 Fishers Lane, 13N16-F
Rockville, MD 20857

Ernest Fields
Public Health Advisor
SAMHSA/CMHS/DSCSD
State Grants Western Branch
5600 Fishers Lane, Room 14E69D
Rockville, Maryland 20851

Dear Ms. Fulton and Mr. Fields.

Kansas announced its first presumptive positive case of COVID-19 on March 9, 2020. Governor Laura Kelly issued an emergency declaration for the State of Kansas in response to COVID-19 (coronavirus) on March 12, 2020 (please see attached) and announced the first COVID-19 related death in Kansas. By the end of the State Fiscal year (7/1/20), there were 14,990 cases from 96 counties with 272 deaths. By the end of the Federal Fiscal year (9/30/20), there were 59,749 cases of COVID from all 105 Kansas counties with 678 deaths reported

(https://www.coronavirus.kdheks.gov/DocumentCenter/View/1529/Nov-18-case-summary?bidId=https://governor.kansas.gov/governor-issues-emergency-declaration-for-covid-19/).

Over this time period, Kansas Behavioral Health services utilization and spending were impacted by many factors including statewide and county public health mandates reducing gathering sizes and stay at home orders for more infection control. Another example is residential admissions. Residential admissions are likely lower. Several residential facilities limited or stopped admissions to accommodate for patient safety during the national emergency (one person per room; social distancing; reduced group therapy sizes). Telephonic and telemedicine contacts were used to maximize physical distancing for outpatient services when possible, but providers were not equipped to at the onset of the pandemic to provide most of their services remotely due to lack of connectivity primarily in the rural and frontier areas of the state and lack of equipment. These barriers to service delivery reduced the numbers served. Providers were also impacted by staff illness or quarantines. COVID-19 also impacted courts with court closures in some counties impacting court ordered referrals for behavioral health services.

It should also be noted that in addition to the State's Medicaid utilization of Behavioral Health services being decreased, the State's Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (FMAP) was greatly reduced due to additional Medicaid assistance provided to states during the Public Health Emergency. The decrease in utilization along with the additional federal share of cost are the primary drivers for Kansas' maintenance of effort (MOE) shortfall for State Fiscal Year 2020.

In accordance with the attached letter from the Assistant Secretary for Mental Health and Substance Use, Dr. Elinore McCance-Katz, MOE shortfall considerations dated July 17, 2020, Kansas would like to request approval for the Public Health Emergency Waiver. The Kansas MOE requirements impacted include:

Substance Abuse Block Grant (SABG) Report

Table 8a - Maintenance of Effort for State Expenditures for SUD Prevention and Treatment

Table 8b - Expenditures for Services to Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children

Am.

Mental Health Block Grant (MHBG) Report

MHBG Table 3 - Set-aside for Children's Mental Health Services

MHBG Table 6 - Maintenance of Effort for State Expenditures on Mental Health Services

Please let us know if you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Andrew Brown

Behavioral Health Services Commissioner Behavioral Health Services Commission

Kansas Dept. for Aging and Disability Services (KDADS)

503 S. Kansas Ave.

Topeka, KS 66603

STATE OF DISASTER EMERGENCY PROCLAMATION

Executive Department State of Kansas Topeka, Kansas

By the Governor

By virtue of the authority vested in me by the Kansas Emergency Management Act, Chapter 48, Article 9, of the Kansas Statutes Annotated, to meet the inherent dangers of disasters to which the State and its citizens have been exposed, and upon advice of the State Adjutant General as the Director of the Division of Emergency Management, I hereby proclaim a State of Disaster Emergency as follows:

NATURE OF THE DISASTER:

On March 7, 2020, the Secretary of the Kansas Department of Health & Environment (KDHE) confirmed the first case of novel coronavirus (COVID-19) in the state of Kansas and considers that a public health emergency exists within the state of Kansas. The United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) identifies the potential public health threat posed by COVID-19 both globally and in the United States as "high" and the United States Department of Health & Human Services declared a public health emergency for COVID-19 beginning January 27, 2020. The World Health Organization (WHO) declared a global pandemic on March 11, 2020. The first COVID-related fatality occurred in Kansas on March 12, 2020.

The Kansas Department of Health & Environment (KDHE) is providing guidance and taking measures to minimize the risk of exposure and infection to the state's general public while supporting public health and medical professionals with disease investigation, lab testing, epidemiology surveillance and other activities associated with the control and spread of the virus.

The Kansas Division of Emergency Management (KDEM) is coordinating resources across state government to support local public health and county emergency managers in alleviating the impacts to people, property, and infrastructure and assessing the magnitude and long-term effects of the incident.

DATE THAT DISASTER AFFECTED THE AREA:

March 12, 2020

AREA AFFECTED BY THE DISASTER:

Entire 105 counties in Kansas.

I hereby proclaim, direct and order the Adjutant General of the State of Kansas to activate the disaster response and recovery portions of the Kansas Response Plan. The Adjutant General shall coordinate local and inter-jurisdictional disaster plans applicable to the political subdivisions of areas affected by this Proclamation.

Any or all of the powers conferred upon the Governor by the Kansas Emergency Management Act may be delegated to the Adjutant General as deemed appropriate during this period of proclaimed State of Disaster Emergency. This may be delegated by written orders, or oral orders subsequently reduced to writing with reference to this Proclamation.

I hereby suspend the provisions of any regulatory statute prescribing the procedures for conduct of state business, or the order or rules and regulations of any state agency which implements such statute, if strict compliance with the provisions of such statutes, order or rule and regulation would prevent, hinder, or delay in any way necessary action in coping with the disaster as set forth in KSA 48-925(c)(1).

This Proclamation shall be filed promptly with the Division of Emergency Management, the Office of the Secretary of State and each city clerk or county clerk, as appropriate, in the area to which this Proclamation applies. Further dissemination of this Proclamation shall occur by means calculated to bring its contents to the attention of the general public.

DONE At the Capitol in Topeka Under the Great Seal of the State this 12, day of March A.D., 2020

THE GOVERNOR

Secretary of State

Assistant Secretary of State



5600 Fishers Lane • Rockville, MD 20857 www.samhsa.gov • 1-877-SAMHSA-7 (1-877-726-4727)



July 17, 2020

Dear State Authority for Mental and Substance Use Disorders:

The COVID-19 pandemic has impacted the behavioral health of the nation in that many individuals with existing or newly developing mental and substance use disorders have experienced additional stressors through the declining economy and high unemployment rates. These health conditions will not only affect individuals who are in recovery, but also new individuals with mild to moderate symptoms because of prolonged stress and isolation. Accordingly, treatment providers will likely see increases in the demand for access to services. Additionally, individuals who have lost their employment, and the insurance that was tied to that employment, may result in the SAMHSA block grant funding being more important than ever in assisting states with funding their mental and substance use disorder programs.

SAMHSA recognizes that COVID-19 may have also impacted your state through an economic downturn due to the loss of tax revenue and employment during state stay at home orders. Based on current economic projections, we understand that many states are not generating normal levels of tax revenue. Additionally, due to state closures and stay at home orders, critical services in the behavioral health field may have been impacted due to social distancing guidelines and it is expected that states will have spent less money overall on services this year, which may create additional maintenance of effort (MOE) shortfall considerations. If this is the case, it may be possible that your state will be unable to fulfill your MOE requirement this year.

Extraordinary Economic Circumstances Waiver

For both the Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant (SABG) and the Mental Health Block Grant (MHBG) there is a process that allows for the consideration of a MOE waiver for any state that experiences extraordinary economic circumstances in a state fiscal year:

Upon the request of a State, the Secretary may waive all or part of the requirement established in subsection (a) if the Secretary determines that extraordinary economic conditions exist in the State, or any part of the State, to justify the waiver.

1930(c)(1), Public Health Service Act (42 USC 300x-30(c)(1))[SABG MOE waiver provision]

The Secretary may, upon the request of a State, waive the requirement established in paragraph (1) in whole or in part if the Secretary determines that extraordinary economic conditions in the State in the fiscal year involved or in the previous fiscal year justify the waiver.

1915(b)(3)(A), Public Health Service Act (42 USC 300x-4(b)(3)(A))[MHBG grant MOE waiver provision]

The Interim Final Rule implementing subparts II and III, part B, title XIX of the Public Health Service Act defines extraordinary economic conditions as "[a] financial crisis in which the total tax revenue declines at least 1.5 percent AND either unemployment increases by at least 1 percent, OR employment declines by at least 1.5 percent." (45 CFR 96.134(b)). If your state believes that it will possibly qualify for an economic waiver please indicate this on your 2021 block grant report on the appropriate MOE table. Once your project officer has reviewed your submission you will receive a letter explaining how to apply for a waiver. Waiver requests should be submitted in a formal letter to SAMHSA and should include: 1) a narrative explaining why the MOE was not met; 2) information from your state offices on employment/unemployment numbers; and 3) information on any changes to tax revenue from the previous year. Once your request is received, SAMHSA will verify the official state numbers utilizing records from the Bureau of Labor Statistics and information from the Census Bureau regarding total tax revenue to determine whether your state qualifies for a waiver.

Public Health Emergency Waiver

SAMHSA will also consider requests from states who do not meet the extraordinary economic conditions waiver requirements under 45 CFR 96.134(b). This process will be based on SAMHSA's public health emergency (COVID-19) waiver authority under section 1957 of the Public Health Service Act (42 USC 300x-67), which provides in pertinent part:

In the case of a public health emergency (as determined under section 319), the Secretary, on a State by State basis, may, as the circumstances of the emergency reasonably require and for the period of the emergency, grant an extension, or waive application deadlines or compliance with any other requirement, of a grant authorized under section 1911, or 1921.

If the waiver request is granted, you will receive formal notification. If the waiver is denied for any reason, you will have the option to request a determination of material compliance decision citing other factors that will be outlined in your early notification letter.

Sincerely,

Elinore F. McCance-Katz, M.D., Ph.D. Assistant Secretary for Mental Health and Substance Use

B. Implementation Report

MHBG Table 1 Priority Area and Annual Performance Indicators - Progress Report

Priority #: 1

Priority Area: Reduce underage drinking in Kansas

Priority Type: SAP

Population(s): PP

Goal of the priority area:

Reduce the percentage of students in grades 6, 8, 10, and 12 that report drinking alcohol in the past 30-days.

Strategies to attain the goal:

Kansas does not implement any one strategy statewide, aside from our "It Matters" media campaign, rather communities complete the planning phase of the SPF and utilize their needs assessment to create a logic model and identify strategies that identify with their particular community needs and capacity. All strategies must be evidence based and Kansas utilizes SAMHSA's definition when reviewing individual strategic plans.

-Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Indicator #:

Indicator: On how many occasions, if any, have you had beer, wine, or hard liquor in the past 30

days? (at least once)

Baseline Measurement: State = 16.36%; KPCCI Communities 14.20%

First-year target/outcome measurement: State = 16.12%; KPCCI Communities = 13.45%

Second-year target/outcome measurement: State = 15.91%; KPCCI Communities = 12.8%

New Second-year target/outcome measurement (if needed):

Data Source:

Kansas Communities That Care (KCTC) Student Survey

New Data Source(if needed):

Description of Data:

The Kansas Communities That Care (KCTC) youth survey has been administered annually free of charge throughout the state since 1994. The survey tracks teen use of harmful substances such as alcohol, tobacco and other drugs. In addition, the survey provides a baseline for teen participation in, perception of, and attitudes toward both prosocial and antisocial behavior at the peer, school, family and community levels. It provides a measurable level of risk and protective factors that influence behavior, attitudes, and opinions of Kansas teens.

New Description of Data:(if needed)

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

In 2015 active consent legislation was passed, initially creating challenges for local school districts to obtain the required parental consent and significantly impacting statewide participation rates as well as many local participation rates. Since then, the prevention system has worked with both school districts across the state to implement strategies to streamline the consent process and increase participation; this focused effort has led to increased participation statewide and among many school districts. There are however a few districts that are outliers and the state is working continuously to engage them and significant progress has been made within the last year. Funded communities are required to achieve a 60 percent participation rate; if at time they are not at 60 percent they must create specific action plans demonstrating that they will implement strategies to increase participation.

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional):

Priority #: 2

Priority Area: Reduce adolescent marijuana use in Kansas

Priority Type: SAP

Population(s): PP

Goal of the priority area:

Reduce the percentage of students in grades 6, 8, 10, and 12 that report using marijuana in the past 30-days.

Strategies to attain the goal:

Kansas does not implement any one strategy statewide, aside from our "It Matters" media campaign. Communities complete the planning phase of the SPF and utilize their needs assessment to create a logic model and identify strategies that identify with their particular community needs and capacity. All strategies must be evidence-based and Kansas utilizes SAMHSA's definition when reviewing individual strategic plans.

-Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success

Indicator #: 1

Indicator: On how many occasions, if any, have you used marijuana in the past 30 days? (at least

once)

Baseline Measurement: State = 7.24%; KPC Communities = 8.70%

First-year target/outcome measurement: State = 7.00; KPC Communities = 8.20%

Second-year target/outcome measurement: State = 6.50%; KPC Communities = 7.45%

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

Data Source:

Kansas Communities That Care (KCTC) Student Survey

New Data Source(if needed):

Description of Data:

The Kansas Communities That Care (KCTC) youth survey has been administered annually free of charge throughout the state since 1994. The survey tracks teen use of harmful substances such as alcohol, tobacco and other drugs. In addition, the survey provides a baseline for teen participation in, perception of, and attitudes toward both prosocial and antisocial behavior at the peer, school, family and community levels. It provides a measurable level of risk and protective factors that influence behavior, attitudes, and opinions of Kansas teens.

New Description of Data: (if needed)

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

In 2015 active consent legislation was passed, initially creating challenges for local school districts to obtain the required parental consent and significantly impacting statewide participation rates as well as many local participation rates. Since then, the prevention system has worked with both school districts across the state to implement strategies to streamline the consent process and increase participation; this focused effort has led to increased participation statewide and among many school districts. There are however a few districts that are outliers and the state is working continuously to engage them and significant progress has been made within the last year. Funded communities are required to achieve a 60 percent participation rate; if at time they are not at 60 percent they must create specific action plans demonstrating that they will implement strategies to increase participation.

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional):

Priority #: 3

Priority Area: Reduce low perception of harm from alcohol and marijuana use among Kansas youth

Priority Type: SAP

Population(s): PP

Goal of the priority area:

Reduce percentage of students in ages 6, 8, 10, and 12 that report there is "no risk" of harm from taking one or two drinks of an alcoholic beverage nearly every day.

Strategies to attain the goal:

Kansas does not implement any one strategy statewide, aside from our "It Matters" media campaign. Communities complete the planning phase of the SPF and utilize their needs assessment to create a logic model and identify strategies that identify with their particular community needs and capacity. All strategies must be evidence-based and Kansas utilizes SAMHSA's definition when reviewing individual strategic plans.

-Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Indicator #:

Indicator: How much to you think people risk harming themselves, physically or in other ways, if they

take one or two drinks of an alcoholic beverage nearly every day? (no risk)

Baseline Measurement: State = 14.41%; KPC Communities = 16.92%

First-year target/outcome measurement: State = 13.91%; KPC Communities = 16.42%

Second-year target/outcome measurement: State = 13.41%; KPC Communities = 15.92%

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

Data Source:

Kansas Communities That Care (KCTC) Student Survey

New Data Source(if needed):

Description of Data:

The Kansas Communities That Care (KCTC) youth survey has been administered annually free of charge throughout the state since 1994. The survey tracks teen use of harmful substances such as alcohol, tobacco and other drugs. In addition, the survey provides a baseline for teen participation in, perception of, and attitudes toward both prosocial and antisocial behavior at the peer, school, family and community levels. It provides a measurable level of risk and protective factors that influence behavior, attitudes, and opinions of Kansas teens.

New Description of Data:(if needed)

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

In 2015 active consent legislation was passed, initially creating challenges for local school districts to obtain the required parental consent and significantly impacting statewide participation rates as well as many local participation rates. Since then, the prevention system has worked with both school districts across the state to implement strategies to streamline the consent process and increase participation; this focused effort has led to increased participation statewide and among many school districts. There are however a few districts that are outliers and the state is working continuously to engage them and significant progress has been made within the last year. Funded communities are required to achieve a 60 percent participation rate; if at time they are not at 60 percent they must create

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:
Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
First Year Target:	ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	anges proposed to meet target:
Ham first was town town a shirt of (autisma)	h.
How first year target was achieved (optional)	;
Indicator #:	2
Indicator:	Reduce percentage of students in ages 6, 8, 10, and 12 that report there is "no risk" of harn from regular marijuana use.
Baseline Measurement:	State = 16.66%; KPC Communities = 22.32%
First-year target/outcome measurement:	State = 16.16%; KPC Communities = 21.82%
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	State = 15.66%; KPC Communities = 21.32%
New Second-year target/outcome measuren	nent(if needed):
Data Source:	
Kansas Communities That Care (KCTC) Stude	nt Survey
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
The Kansas Communities That Care (KCTC) y The survey tracks teen use of harmful substator teen participation in, perception of, and	routh survey has been administered annually free of charge throughout the state since 1994. ances such as alcohol, tobacco and other drugs. In addition, the survey provides a baseline attitudes toward both prosocial and antisocial behavior at the peer, school, family and level of risk and protective factors that influence behavior, attitudes, and opinions of Kansas
The Kansas Communities That Care (KCTC) y The survey tracks teen use of harmful substraction for teen participation in, perception of, and community levels. It provides a measurable teens.	ances such as alcohol, tobacco and other drugs. In addition, the survey provides a baseline attitudes toward both prosocial and antisocial behavior at the peer, school, family and
The Kansas Communities That Care (KCTC) y The survey tracks teen use of harmful substraction for teen participation in, perception of, and community levels. It provides a measurable teens.	ances such as alcohol, tobacco and other drugs. In addition, the survey provides a baseline attitudes toward both prosocial and antisocial behavior at the peer, school, family and
The Kansas Communities That Care (KCTC) y The survey tracks teen use of harmful substator for teen participation in, perception of, and community levels. It provides a measurable	ances such as alcohol, tobacco and other drugs. In addition, the survey provides a baseline attitudes toward both prosocial and antisocial behavior at the peer, school, family and level of risk and protective factors that influence behavior, attitudes, and opinions of Kansas
The Kansas Communities That Care (KCTC) y The survey tracks teen use of harmful substate for teen participation in, perception of, and community levels. It provides a measurable teens. New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurable In 2015 active consent legislation was passe consent and significantly impacting statewing system has worked with both school district participation; this focused effort has led to in districts that are outliers and the state is wo year. Funded communities are required to a	ances such as alcohol, tobacco and other drugs. In addition, the survey provides a baseline attitudes toward both prosocial and antisocial behavior at the peer, school, family and level of risk and protective factors that influence behavior, attitudes, and opinions of Kansas issures: ed, initially creating challenges for local school districts to obtain the required parental de participation rates as well as many local participation rates. Since then, the prevention is across the state to implement strategies to streamline the consent process and increase
The Kansas Communities That Care (KCTC) y The survey tracks teen use of harmful substate for teen participation in, perception of, and community levels. It provides a measurable teens. New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurable In 2015 active consent legislation was passe consent and significantly impacting statewing system has worked with both school district participation; this focused effort has led to it districts that are outliers and the state is work year. Funded communities are required to a	ances such as alcohol, tobacco and other drugs. In addition, the survey provides a baseline attitudes toward both prosocial and antisocial behavior at the peer, school, family and level of risk and protective factors that influence behavior, attitudes, and opinions of Kansas ed, initially creating challenges for local school districts to obtain the required parental de participation rates as well as many local participation rates. Since then, the prevention as across the state to implement strategies to streamline the consent process and increase increased participation statewide and among many school districts. There are however a few orking continuously to engage them and significant progress has been made within the last chieve a 60 percent participation rate; if at time they are not at 60 percent they must create by will implement strategies to increase participation.
The Kansas Communities That Care (KCTC) y The survey tracks teen use of harmful substate for teen participation in, perception of, and community levels. It provides a measurable teens. New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurable In 2015 active consent legislation was passe consent and significantly impacting statewing system has worked with both school district participation; this focused effort has led to it districts that are outliers and the state is we year. Funded communities are required to an specific action plans demonstrating that the New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	ances such as alcohol, tobacco and other drugs. In addition, the survey provides a baseline attitudes toward both prosocial and antisocial behavior at the peer, school, family and level of risk and protective factors that influence behavior, attitudes, and opinions of Kansas surves: Ed, initially creating challenges for local school districts to obtain the required parental de participation rates as well as many local participation rates. Since then, the prevention is across the state to implement strategies to streamline the consent process and increase increased participation statewide and among many school districts. There are however a few orking continuously to engage them and significant progress has been made within the last chieve a 60 percent participation rate; if at time they are not at 60 percent they must create by will implement strategies to increase participation.
The Kansas Communities That Care (KCTC) y The survey tracks teen use of harmful substator teen participation in, perception of, and community levels. It provides a measurable teens. New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurable teens and significantly impacting statewing system has worked with both school district participation; this focused effort has led to indistricts that are outliers and the state is wo year. Funded communities are required to an specific action plans demonstrating that the New Data issues/caveats that affect outcomes.	ances such as alcohol, tobacco and other drugs. In addition, the survey provides a baseline attitudes toward both prosocial and antisocial behavior at the peer, school, family and level of risk and protective factors that influence behavior, attitudes, and opinions of Kansas sequences. But initially creating challenges for local school districts to obtain the required parental departicipation rates as well as many local participation rates. Since then, the prevention is across the state to implement strategies to streamline the consent process and increase increased participation statewide and among many school districts. There are however a few orking continuously to engage them and significant progress has been made within the last chieve a 60 percent participation rate; if at time they are not at 60 percent they must create be will implement strategies to increase participation. Be measures:
The Kansas Communities That Care (KCTC) y The survey tracks teen use of harmful substate for teen participation in, perception of, and community levels. It provides a measurable teens. New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurable teens are supported by the substance of the substance	ances such as alcohol, tobacco and other drugs. In addition, the survey provides a baseline attitudes toward both prosocial and antisocial behavior at the peer, school, family and level of risk and protective factors that influence behavior, attitudes, and opinions of Kansas issures: Ed, initially creating challenges for local school districts to obtain the required parental de participation rates as well as many local participation rates. Since then, the prevention is across the state to implement strategies to streamline the consent process and increase increased participation statewide and among many school districts. There are however a few orking continuously to engage them and significant progress has been made within the last chieve a 60 percent participation rate; if at time they are not at 60 percent they must create by will implement strategies to increase participation. The measures: Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)
The Kansas Communities That Care (KCTC) y The survey tracks teen use of harmful substate for teen participation in, perception of, and community levels. It provides a measurable teens. New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurable teens. In 2015 active consent legislation was passed consent and significantly impacting statewing system has worked with both school district participation; this focused effort has led to a districts that are outliers and the state is we year. Funded communities are required to as specific action plans demonstrating that the New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and chemostrations are required to accommunities.	ances such as alcohol, tobacco and other drugs. In addition, the survey provides a baseline attitudes toward both prosocial and antisocial behavior at the peer, school, family and level of risk and protective factors that influence behavior, attitudes, and opinions of Kansas surves: and, initially creating challenges for local school districts to obtain the required parental de participation rates as well as many local participation rates. Since then, the prevention is across the state to implement strategies to streamline the consent process and increase increased participation statewide and among many school districts. There are however a few prking continuously to engage them and significant progress has been made within the last chieve a 60 percent participation rate; if at time they are not at 60 percent they must create every will implement strategies to increase participation. The measures: Al Attainment Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) Langes proposed to meet target:
The Kansas Communities That Care (KCTC) y The survey tracks teen use of harmful substator teen participation in, perception of, and community levels. It provides a measurable teens. New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurable teens. In 2015 active consent legislation was passed consent and significantly impacting statewing system has worked with both school district participation; this focused effort has led to it districts that are outliers and the state is we year. Funded communities are required to as specific action plans demonstrating that the New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and characterists.	ances such as alcohol, tobacco and other drugs. In addition, the survey provides a baseline attitudes toward both prosocial and antisocial behavior at the peer, school, family and level of risk and protective factors that influence behavior, attitudes, and opinions of Kansas issures: Ed, initially creating challenges for local school districts to obtain the required parental de participation rates as well as many local participation rates. Since then, the prevention is across the state to implement strategies to streamline the consent process and increase increased participation statewide and among many school districts. There are however a few orking continuously to engage them and significant progress has been made within the last chieve a 60 percent participation rate; if at time they are not at 60 percent they must create by will implement strategies to increase participation. The measures: Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)

Priority #: 4

Priority Area: KPCCI at-risk population identification and implementation of appropriate evidence-based strategies

Priority Type: SAP

Population(s): PP

Goal of the priority area:

Apply the data-driven Strategic Prevention Framework process to identify and address sub-populations with gaps in service or at high risk to improve behavioral health outcomes.

Strategies to attain the goal:

Communities will utilize their KCTC data to identify and target at risk populations and identify appropriate evidence based strategies. Each community's assessment will be unique to their individual needs and data outcomes. All strategies must be evidence-based as Kansas utilizes SAMHSA's definition when reviewing individual strategic plans.

k population assessment ete assessment to identify one 'at risk' appropriate prevention strategies to o measure progress. ment prevention strategies to reduce risk -level data will be used to measure risk
appropriate prevention strategies to o measure progress. ment prevention strategies to reduce risk
appropriate prevention strategies to o measure progress. ment prevention strategies to reduce risk
of charge throughout the state since 1994. addition, the survey provides a baseline havior at the peer, school, family and behavior, attitudes, and opinions of Kansas
tricts to obtain the required parental pation rates. Since then, the prevention mline the consent process and increase y school districts. There are however a few at progress has been made within the last ey are not at 60 percent they must create on.
in the second se

ation(s): SED of the priority area: Iren with SED are able to remain in home by building a community-based system of care to meet their needs. gies to attain the goal: as does not implement any one strategy statewide. Continue to identify culturally-competent, person-centered services to meet the child's/yout							
Provide access to community based services for children/youth with SED allowing them to remain in their homes and communiwith services and supports y Type: MHS ation(s): SED If the priority area: Ten with SED are able to remain in home by building a community-based system of care to meet their needs. gles to attain the goal: as does not implement any one strategy statewide. Continue to identify culturally-competent, person-centered services to meet the child's/yout season of implement any one strategy statewide. Continue to identify culturally-competent, person-centered services to meet the child's/yout season of implement any one strategy statewide. Continue to identify culturally-competent, person-centered services to meet the child's/yout season of the child store in the season of the child should be serviced. Indicator #: 1 Indicator: Percentage of children/adolescents, age 17 or younger, that received crisis intervention services (30) calendar days prior to a screen resulting in inpatient psychiatric admission, excluding PRTF. Baseline Measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 First-year target/outcome measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 Second-year target/outcome measurement: Establishing a baseline, determine a realistic target/outcome measurement New Second-year target/outcome measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 Second-year target/outcome measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Source(If needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period Denominator: Number of children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to	How first year target was achieved (optional)	:					
Provide access to community based services for children/youth with SED allowing them to remain in their homes and communiwith services and supports y Type: MHS ation(s): SED If the priority area: Ten with SED are able to remain in home by building a community-based system of care to meet their needs. gles to attain the goal: as does not implement any one strategy statewide. Continue to identify culturally-competent, person-centered services to meet the child's/yout season of implement any one strategy statewide. Continue to identify culturally-competent, person-centered services to meet the child's/yout season of implement any one strategy statewide. Continue to identify culturally-competent, person-centered services to meet the child's/yout season of the child store in the season of the child should be serviced. Indicator #: 1 Indicator: Percentage of children/adolescents, age 17 or younger, that received crisis intervention services (30) calendar days prior to a screen resulting in inpatient psychiatric admission, excluding PRTF. Baseline Measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 First-year target/outcome measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 Second-year target/outcome measurement: Establishing a baseline, determine a realistic target/outcome measurement New Second-year target/outcome measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 Second-year target/outcome measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Source(If needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period Denominator: Number of children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to							
Provide access to community based services for children/youth with SED allowing them to remain in their homes and communiwith services and supports y Type: MHS ation(s): SED If the priority area: Ten with SED are able to remain in home by building a community-based system of care to meet their needs. gles to attain the goal: as does not implement any one strategy statewide. Continue to identify culturally-competent, person-centered services to meet the child's/yout season of implement any one strategy statewide. Continue to identify culturally-competent, person-centered services to meet the child's/yout season of implement any one strategy statewide. Continue to identify culturally-competent, person-centered services to meet the child's/yout season of the child store in the season of the child should be serviced. Indicator #: 1 Indicator: Percentage of children/adolescents, age 17 or younger, that received crisis intervention services (30) calendar days prior to a screen resulting in inpatient psychiatric admission, excluding PRTF. Baseline Measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 First-year target/outcome measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 Second-year target/outcome measurement: Establishing a baseline, determine a realistic target/outcome measurement New Second-year target/outcome measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 Second-year target/outcome measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Source(If needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period Denominator: Number of children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to	:a., #.						
with services and supports y Type: MHS attorics): SED if the priority area: ren with SED are able to remain in home by building a community-based system of care to meet their needs. gies to attain the goal: as does not implement any one strategy statewide. Continue to identify culturally-competent, person-centered services to meet the child s/yout s. s. nanual Performance Indicators to measure goal success- Indicator #: I Indicator: Percentage of children/adolescents, age 17 or younger, that received crisis intervention services (30) calendar days prior to a screen resulting in inpatient psychiatric admission, excluding PRTF. Baseline Measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 Second-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Sourceiff needed): Description of Data: Numerator. Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The foliowing codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-H0 (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator. Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period. New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/aveets that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment							
ation(s): SED if the priority area: ren with SED are able to remain in home by building a community-based system of care to meet their needs. gles to attain the goal: as does not implement any one strategy statewide. Continue to identify culturally-competent, person-centered services to meet the child's/yout s. nanual Performance Indicators to measure goal success Indicator #: 1 Indicator: Percentage of children/adolescents, age 17 or younger, that received crisis intervention services (30) calendar days prior to a screen resulting in inpatient psychiatric admission, excluding PRIF. Baseline Measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 First-year target/outcome measurement: Based upon the baseline, determine a realistic target/outcome measurement New Second-year target/outcome measurement! Based upon the baseline, determine a realistic target/outcome measurement New Second-year target/outcome measurement! In needed): Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Source(If needed): Description of Data: Numerator. Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HR and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator. Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period Pata issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: The Pata issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:		based services for children/youth with SED allowing them to remain in their nomes and communi					
rem with SED are able to remain in home by building a community-based system of care to meet their needs. gles to attain the goal: as does not implement any one strategy statewide. Continue to identify culturally-competent, person-centered services to meet the child's/yout s. as does not implement any one strategy statewide. Continue to identify culturally-competent, person-centered services to meet the child's/yout s. mutual Performance Indicators to measure goal success- Indicator #: 1 Indicator: Percentage of children/adolescents, age 17 or younger, that received crisis intervention services (30) calendar days prior to a screen resulting in inpatient psychiatric admission, excluding PRTF. Baseline Measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 First-year target/outcome measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 Second-year target/outcome measurement: Based upon the baseline, determine a realistic target/outcome measurement New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) AIMS is a centralized database manage by KDADOS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period. New Description of Data:(if needed) Pata issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	ity Type: MHS						
rem with SED are able to remain in home by building a community-based system of care to meet their needs. gies to attain the goal: as does not implement any one strategy statewide. Continue to identify culturally-competent, person-centered services to meet the child's/yout s. anual Performance Indicators to measure goal success- Indicator #: 1 Indicator: Percentage of children/adolescents, age 17 or younger, that received crisis intervention services (30) calendar days prior to a screen resulting in inpatient psychiatric admission, excluding PRTF. Baseline Measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 First-year target/outcome measurement: Based upon the baseline, determine a realistic target/outcome measurement New Second-year target/outcome measurement(ff needed): Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period. New Description of Data:(If needed) Pata issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: The Progress Toward Goal Attainment	lation(s): SED						
gies to attain the goal: as does not implement any one strategy statewide. Continue to identify culturally-competent, person-centered services to meet the child's/yout s. natural Performance Indicators to measure goal success- Indicator #: 1 Indicator	of the priority area:						
as does not implement any one strategy statewide. Continue to identify culturally-competent, person-centered services to meet the child's/yout's. Indicator #: 1 Indicator: Percentage of children/adolescents, age 17 or younger, that received crisis intervention services (30) calendar days prior to a screen resulting in inpatient psychiatric admission, excluding PRTF. Baseline Measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 First-year target/outcome measurement: Based upon the baseline, determine a realistic target/outcome measurement New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period New Description of Data: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate.	dren with SED are able to remain in home by bui	lding a community-based system of care to meet their needs.					
Indicator #: 1 Indicator: Percentage of children/adolescents, age 17 or younger, that received crisis intervention services (30) calendar days prior to a screen resulting in inpatient psychiatric admission, excluding PRTF. Baseline Measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 First-year target/outcome measurement: Based upon the baseline, determine a realistic target/outcome measurement. New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: The Port of Progress Toward Goal Attainment	egies to attain the goal:						
Indicator #: 1 Indicator: Percentage of children/adolescents, age 17 or younger, that received crisis intervention services (30) calendar days prior to a screen resulting in inpatient psychiatric admission, excluding PRTF. Baseline Measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 First-year target/outcome measurement: Based upon the baseline, determine a realistic target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: (if needed): Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period New Description of Data: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment	sas does not implement any one strategy statewids.	ide. Continue to identify culturally-competent, person-centered services to meet the child's/youtl					
Percentage of children/adolescents, age 17 or younger, that received crisis intervention services (30) calendar days prior to a screen resulting in inpatient psychiatric admission, excluding PRTF. Baseline Measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 First-year target/outcome measurement: Based upon the baseline, determine a realistic target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement(If needed): Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Source(If needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period New Description of Data:(If needed) Pata issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment	nnual Performance Indicators to measu	re goal success					
Percentage of children/adolescents, age 17 or younger, that received crisis intervention services (30) calendar days prior to a screen resulting in inpatient psychiatric admission, excluding PRTF. Baseline Measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 First-year target/outcome measurement: Based upon the baseline, determine a realistic target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement(If needed): Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Source(If needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period New Description of Data:(If needed) Pata issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment	Indicator #	1					
services (30) calendar days prior to a screen resulting in inpatient psychiatric admission, excluding PRTF. Baseline Measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020 First-year target/outcome measurement: Based upon the baseline, determine a realistic target/outcome measurement New Second-year target/outcome measurement(If needed): Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Source(If needed): Description of Data: Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period New Description of Data:(If needed) New Description of Data:(If needed) Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment							
Second-year target/outcome measurement: Based upon the baseline, determine a realistic target/outcome measurement New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment	indicator.	services (30) calendar days prior to a screen resulting in inpatient psychiatric admission,					
Second-year target/outcome measurement: Based upon the baseline, determine a realistic target/outcome measurement New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment	Baseline Measurement:	Establishing a baseline for FY2020					
New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment	First-year target/outcome measurement:	Establishing a baseline for FY2020					
Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment	Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Based upon the baseline, determine a realistic target/outcome measurement					
Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment							
Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period New Description of Data:(if needed) This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment	Now Second-year target/outcome measurem	ont/if needed):					
AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period New Description of Data:(if needed) Pata issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment		ent(if needed):					
New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment	Data Source:						
Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment	Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (Contrac	CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including					
Numerator: Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment	Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (Contrac	CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including					
Numerator: Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment	Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CAIMS is a centralized database managed by I consumer demographic information and me	CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including					
within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment	Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CAIMS is a centralized database managed by Consumer demographic information and me New Data Source(if needed):	CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including					
New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment	Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CAIMS is a centralized database managed by Consumer demographic information and me New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data:	CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including ntal health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans.					
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment	Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CAIMS is a centralized database managed by Consumer demographic information and me New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents within reporting period. The following codes	CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including ntal health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission					
This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment	Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CAIMS is a centralized database managed by It consumer demographic information and me New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents within reporting period. The following codes by CMS)	CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including ntal health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission swill be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change					
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment	Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CAIMS is a centralized database managed by Consumer demographic information and me New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents within reporting period. The following codes by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents	CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including ntal health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission swill be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change					
Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment	Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CAIMS is a centralized database managed by Consumer demographic information and me New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents within reporting period. The following codes by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents New Description of Data:(if needed)	CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including Intal health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. That received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission Is will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change) When the work is the service of t					
	Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CAIMS is a centralized database managed by I consumer demographic information and me New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents within reporting period. The following codes by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome meaning the contract of the contrac	CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including intal health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission is will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change is with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period is with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period is with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period is with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period is with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period is with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period is with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period is with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period is with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period is with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period is with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period is with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period is with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period is with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period is with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period is within repo					
	Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CAIMS is a centralized database managed by Consumer demographic information and me New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents within reporting period. The following codes by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurement relies upon contractor database managed by Indiana issues/caveats that affect outcome measurement relies upon contractor database managed by Indiana issues/caveats that affect outcome measurement relies upon contractor database managed by Indiana issues/caveats that affect outcome measurement relies upon contractor database managed by Indiana is a central indiana is a central indiana	CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including intal health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission is will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change is with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period sures: ta, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate.					
	Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CAIMS is a centralized database managed by Consumer demographic information and me New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of children/adolescents within reporting period. The following codes by CMS) Denominator: Number children/adolescents New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurement relies upon contractor data the New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measurement relies upon contractor data.	CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including ntal health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period sures: ta, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate.					

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): Baseline (FY2020): 46/600 = 7.67% We now have data structured to allow a comparison between SFY2019 and SFY2020. In SFY2020, fewer children received crisis services 30 calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission. Because we do not have historical data prior to SFY2019 structured in a way to allow us to make a comparison with SFY2019, we cannot compare with SFY2020 to make a clear determination that more children stayed in their home communities or if the COVID-19 Pandemic played a role in the decrease. Indicator #: Indicator: The percentage of children/adolescents that received therapeutic intervention services (includes more than initial assessment and diagnosis such as Peer Support, Psychosocial individual/group, Community Psychiatric Support and Treatment, Therapy and/or Intake) within thirty (30) calendar days prior to a screen resulting in an inpatient psychiatric admission, excluding PRTF. **Baseline Measurement:** Establishing a baseline for FY2020. First-year target/outcome measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020. Second-year target/outcome measurement: Based upon the established baseline, determine a realistic target/outcome measure. New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): **Data Source:** Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Source(if needed): **Description of Data:** Numerator: Number of children/adolescents receiving a therapeutic intervention within 30 calendar days prior to a screen resulting in admission within reporting period. Services codes are as follows: 90791, H0036-HA, H0036-HB, H0036-HH, H0036-HJ, H0036-HK, H2017, H2017-HQ, H2017-TJ, H0038, H0038-HG, 90832, 90834, 90837, 90839, 90840, 90847, 90847HK, 90853, 90832, 90834, 90837, 90839, and/or 90840. (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Total number of children/adolescents with a screen resulting in an inpatient psychiatric admission, excluding PRTF, within reporting period New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: We are developing our baseline and have found that the social security numbers of children/youth need to be included in our data and the data we receive from our vendor to verify that we are not duplicating counts. While we were able to verify many most of the children/youth for the baseline but will need to include the social security number for future outcome measures. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): Baseline (FY2020): 98/600 = 16.33% We now have data structured to allow a comparison between SFY2019 and SFY2020. The percentage of children/adolescents that

received therapeutic intervention services (includes more than initial assessment and diagnosis such as Peer Support, Psychosocial individual/group, Community Psychiatric Support and Treatment, Therapy and/or Intake) within thirty (30) calendar days prior to a screen

Printed: 8/24/2022 10:50 AM - Kansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

resulting in an inpatient psychiatric admission decreased. Because we do not have historical data prior to SFY2019 structured in a way to allow us to make a comparison with SFY2019, we cannot compare with SFY2020 to make a clear determination that more children stayed in their home communities or if the COVID-19 Pandemic played a role in the decrease.

Priority #: 6

Priority Area: Provide access to community-based services for adults with SMI allowing them to remain in their homes and communities with

services and supports

Priority Type: MHS

Population(s): SMI

Goal of the priority area:

Adults with SMI are able to maintain community living and build a support system of care to improve their quality of life.

Strategies to attain the goal:

Kansas does not implement any one strategy statewide. Continue to identify culturally-competent, person-centered services to meet the person's needs.

-Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success-

Indicator #:

Indicator: Percentage of adults, age 18 and older, that received crisis intervention services (30)

calendar days prior to a screen resulting in admission to a State Mental Health Hospital (SMHH) or State Hospital Alternative (SHA) as utilized by the Osawatomie Temporary Census

Diversion Funds (OTCDF).

Baseline Measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020.

First-year target/outcome measurement: Establishing a baseline for FY2020.

Second-year target/outcome measurement: Based upon the baseline, determine a realistic target/outcome measurement.

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

Data Source:

Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System)

AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans.

New Data Source(if needed):

Description of Data:

Numerator: Number of adults that received crisis services within (30) calendar days of a crisis screen resulting in admission within reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to changes by CMS)

Denominator: Screens resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period

New Description of Data: (if needed)

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate.

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

We are developing our baseline and have found that the social security numbers of adults need to be included in our data and the data we receive from our vendor to verify that we are not duplicating counts. While we were able to verify many most of the adults for the baseline but will need to include the social security number for future outcome measures.

Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	
How first year target was achieved (optional)):
received crisis intervention services thirty (30 (SMHH) or State Hospital Alternative (SHA) a Because we do not have historical data prior	nparison between SFY2019 and SFY2020. Percentage of adults, age 18 and older, that 0) calendar days prior to a screen resulting in admission to a State Mental Health Hospital as utilized by the Osawatomie Temporary Census Diversion Funds (OTCDF) decreased. It to SFY2019 structured in a way to allow us to make a comparison with SFY2019, we cannot remination that more adults stayed in their home communities or if the COVID-19 Pandemic
Indicator #:	2
Indicator:	The percentage of adults that received therapeutic intervention services (includes more than initial assessment and diagnosis such as Peer Support, Psychosocial individual/group, Community Psychiatric Support and Treatment, Therapy, and/or Intake) within seven calendar days of discharge from a State Mental Health Hospital (SMHH) or State Hospital Alternative (SHA) as utilized by the Osawatomie Temporary Census Diversion Funds (OTCDF).
Baseline Measurement:	Establish a baseline for FY2020.
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Establish a baseline for FY2020.
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Based upon the baseline, determine a realistic target/outcome measurement.
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	nent(if needed):
Data Source:	
AIMS is a centralized database managed by	CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including ental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans.
AIMS is a centralized database managed by consumer demographic information and me	KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including
AIMS is a centralized database managed by consumer demographic information and me New Data Source(if needed):	KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including
AIMS is a centralized database managed by consumer demographic information and me New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of adults receiving CSS SMHH within reporting period. Services code H2017-HQ, H2017-TJ, H0038, H0038-HG, 908 90840. seven calendar from start the day aft then that will count toward the seven days.	KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including
AIMS is a centralized database managed by consumer demographic information and me New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of adults receiving CSS SMHH within reporting period. Services code H2017-HQ, H2017-TJ, H0038, H0038-HG, 908 90840. seven calendar from start the day aft then that will count toward the seven days. Denominator: Total number of adult dischar	KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including ental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. Services who had a therapeutic intervention within seven business days of discharge from a ses are as follows: 90791, H0036-HA, H0036-HB, H0036-HH, H0036-HJ, H0036-HK, H2017, B32, 90834, 90837, 90839, 90840, 90847, 90847HK, 90853, 90832, 90834, 90837, 90839, and/or ser discharge however if a CMHC provides one of these services the same day as discharge (code numbers are subject to changes by CMS)
AIMS is a centralized database managed by consumer demographic information and me New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of adults receiving CSS SMHH within reporting period. Services code H2017-HQ, H2017-TJ, H0038, H0038-HG, 908 90840. seven calendar from start the day aft then that will count toward the seven days. Denominator: Total number of adult discharman New Description of Data:(if needed)	KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including ental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. Services who had a therapeutic intervention within seven business days of discharge from a ses are as follows: 90791, H0036-HA, H0036-HB, H0036-HH, H0036-HJ, H0036-HK, H2017, 832, 90834, 90837, 90839, 90840, 90847, 90847HK, 90853, 90832, 90834, 90837, 90839, and/or ser discharge however if a CMHC provides one of these services the same day as discharge (code numbers are subject to changes by CMS) rges from SMHH or SHA within the reporting period.
AIMS is a centralized database managed by consumer demographic information and me New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of adults receiving CSS SMHH within reporting period. Services code H2017-HQ, H2017-TJ, H0038, H0038-HG, 908 90840. seven calendar from start the day aftithen that will count toward the seven days. Denominator: Total number of adult dischar New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome means.	KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including ental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. Services who had a therapeutic intervention within seven business days of discharge from a ses are as follows: 90791, H0036-HA, H0036-HB, H0036-HH, H0036-HJ, H0036-HK, H2017, 322, 90834, 90837, 90839, 90840, 90847, 90847HK, 90853, 90832, 90834, 90837, 90839, and/or ser discharge however if a CMHC provides one of these services the same day as discharge (code numbers are subject to changes by CMS) rges from SMHH or SHA within the reporting period.
AIMS is a centralized database managed by consumer demographic information and me New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of adults receiving CSS SMHH within reporting period. Services code H2017-HQ, H2017-TJ, H0038, H0038-HG, 908 90840. seven calendar from start the day aftithen that will count toward the seven days. Denominator: Total number of adult dischar New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome means.	KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including ental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. Services who had a therapeutic intervention within seven business days of discharge from a ses are as follows: 90791, H0036-HA, H0036-HB, H0036-HH, H0036-HJ, H0036-HK, H2017, 832, 90834, 90837, 90839, 90840, 90847, 90847HK, 90853, 90832, 90834, 90837, 90839, and/or ser discharge however if a CMHC provides one of these services the same day as discharge (code numbers are subject to changes by CMS) rges from SMHH or SHA within the reporting period.
AIMS is a centralized database managed by consumer demographic information and me New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of adults receiving CSS SMHH within reporting period. Services code H2017-HQ, H2017-TJ, H0038, H0038-HG, 908 90840. seven calendar from start the day aft then that will count toward the seven days. Denominator: Total number of adult discharman New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean Measurement relies upon contractor data, s	KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including ental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. Services who had a therapeutic intervention within seven business days of discharge from a ses are as follows: 90791, H0036-HA, H0036-HB, H0036-HH, H0036-HJ, H0036-HK, H2017, 832, 90834, 90837, 90839, 90840, 90847, 90847HK, 90853, 90832, 90834, 90837, 90839, and/or ser discharge however if a CMHC provides one of these services the same day as discharge (code numbers are subject to changes by CMS) rges from SMHH or SHA within the reporting period.
AIMS is a centralized database managed by consumer demographic information and me New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of adults receiving CSS SMHH within reporting period. Services code H2017-HQ, H2017-TJ, H0038, H0038-HG, 908 90840. seven calendar from start the day aft then that will count toward the seven days. Denominator: Total number of adult dischare. New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean Measurement relies upon contractor data, so the Measurement relies upon contrac	KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including ental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. Services who had a therapeutic intervention within seven business days of discharge from a ses are as follows: 90791, H0036-HA, H0036-HB, H0036-HH, H0036-HJ, H0036-HK, H2017, 832, 90834, 90837, 90839, 90840, 90847, 90847HK, 90853, 90832, 90834, 90837, 90839, and/or ser discharge however if a CMHC provides one of these services the same day as discharge (code numbers are subject to changes by CMS) rges from SMHH or SHA within the reporting period.
AIMS is a centralized database managed by consumer demographic information and me New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of adults receiving CSS SMHH within reporting period. Services code H2017-HQ, H2017-TJ, H0038, H0038-HG, 908 90840. seven calendar from start the day aft then that will count toward the seven days. Denominator: Total number of adult dischar New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean Measurement relies upon contractor data, so New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome We are developing our baseline and have for data we receive from our vendor to verify the the baseline but will need to include the social services.	KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including ental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. Services who had a therapeutic intervention within seven business days of discharge from a ses are as follows: 90791, H0036-HA, H0036-HB, H0036-HH, H0036-HJ, H0036-HK, H2017, 832, 90834, 90837, 90839, 90840, 90847, 90847HK, 90853, 90832, 90834, 90837, 90839, and/or ser discharge however if a CMHC provides one of these services the same day as discharge (code numbers are subject to changes by CMS) rges from SMHH or SHA within the reporting period. services: so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. services: so und that the social security numbers of adults need to be included in our data and the nat we are not duplicating counts. While we were able to verify many most of the adults for cial security number for future outcome measures.
AIMS is a centralized database managed by consumer demographic information and me New Data Source(if needed): Description of Data: Numerator: Number of adults receiving CSS SMHH within reporting period. Services code H2017-HQ, H2017-TJ, H0038, H0038-HG, 908 90840. seven calendar from start the day aft then that will count toward the seven days. Denominator: Total number of adult discharman New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mean Measurement relies upon contractor data, so the Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Measurement relies upon contractor data, so the Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Measurement relies upon contractor data, so the Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Measurement relies upon contractor data, so the Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Measurement relies upon contractor data, so the Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Measurement relies upon contractor data, so the Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Measurement relies upon contractor data, so the Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Measurement relies upon contractor data, so the Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Measurement relies upon contractor data, so the Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Measurement relies upon contractor data, so the Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Measurement relies upon contractor data, so the Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Measurement relies upon contractor data, so the Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Measurement relies upon contractor data, so the Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Measurement relies upon contractor data, so the Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Measurement relies upon contractor data, so the Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Measurement relies upon contractor data, so the Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Measurement relies upon contractor data, so the Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Measurement relies upon contractor data is the Data issues/caveats that	KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including ental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans. Services who had a therapeutic intervention within seven business days of discharge from es are as follows: 90791, H0036-HA, H0036-HB, H0036-HH, H0036-HJ, H0036-HK, H2017, 132, 90834, 90837, 90839, 90840, 90847, 90847HK, 90853, 90832, 90834, 90837, 90839, and/or ter discharge however if a CMHC provides one of these services the same day as discharge (code numbers are subject to changes by CMS) Triges from SMHH or SHA within the reporting period. The services who had a therapeutic intervention within seven business days of discharge from the same of the services who had a the services who had a therapeutic intervention within seven business days of discharge from seven in the same day as discharge (code numbers are subject to changes by CMS) Triges from SMHH or SHA within the reporting period. The services who had a therapeutic intervention within seven business days of discharge from seven in the same day as discharge from the same day as discharge (code numbers are subject to changes by CMS) The services who had a therapeutic intervention within seven business days of discharge from seven in the services who had seven seven in the services who had a the services who had a the services who had a therapeutic intervention within seven business days of discharge from seven in the services who had a the services who had a therapeutic intervention within seven business days of discharge from seven in the services who had a the services who had a therapeutic intervention within seven business days of discharge from seven in the services who had a the servic

How first year target was achieved (optional):

Baseline (FY2020): 147/2050 = 7.17%

We now have data structured to allow a comparison between SFY2019 and SFY2020. The percentage of adults that received therapeutic intervention services (includes more than initial assessment and diagnosis such as Peer Support, Psychosocial individual/group, Community Psychiatric Support and Treatment, Therapy, and/or Intake) within seven calendar days of discharge from a State Mental Health Hospital (SMHH) or State Hospital Alternative (SHA) as utilized by the Osawatomie Temporary Census Diversion Funds (OTCDF) decreased. Because we do not have historical data prior to SFY2019 structured in a way to allow us to make a comparison with SFY2019, we cannot compare with SFY2020 to make a clear determination that more adults stayed in their home communities or if the COVID-19 Pandemic played a role in the decrease.

Priority #: 7

Priority Area: Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children receive treatment that targets the PWWDC population

Priority Type: SAT

Population(s): PWWDC

Goal of the priority area:

Ensure that pregnant women and women with dependent children have access to specialty services.

Strategies to attain the goal:

Increase DWF program information and interim services information to referral sources.

Encourage greater collaboration and sharing of program success within network of DWFs.

Increase intra-agency collaboration with other state programs for families.

Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	Number of pregnant women and women with dependent children admitted to a Designated Women's Facility (DWF)
Baseline Measurement:	Proportion of total pregnant women and women with dependent children (PWWDC) served with Block Grant funds in SFY18 by designated women's facilities.
First-year target/outcome measurement:	5% increase from baseline
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	10% increase from baseline
New Second-year target/outcome measurem Data Source:	nent(if needed):
Administrative Services Organization (ASO) I	Designated Women's Facility Report
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
Designated Women's Facility Report - Summ	nary/Total Admitted to DWF percentage to increase
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:
Kansas is developing a new substance use d	isorder data system. The outcomes of the data that will be collected within the system is sti

Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)

Achieved

Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

First Year Target:

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

Kansas has developed a new substance use disorder data collection system (KSURS). The first phase of the new system includes a minimal data set of required fields, primarily TEDS data. The new system includes a field indicating whether a woman is pregnant at admission; the system does not have a field to indicate women with dependent children.

The new system captures data on all payor sources. There is not a field for manual entry to indicate which payor source to separate out Block Grant from other payor sources.

Providers continue to update back data into KSURS also impacting the data reported.

How f	first yea	r target	was ac	hieved	(opt	ional):
-------	-----------	----------	--------	--------	------	---------

Priority #:

Priority Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care

Priority Type:

Population(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB

Goal of the priority area:

Kansas Behavioral Health system supports a recovery-oriented system of care.

Strategies to attain the goal:

Incre

se the peer mentoring workforce capacity.	
nual Performance Indicators to measu	re goal success
Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	Number of Kansas Certified Peer Mentors increase.
Baseline Measurement:	Set a baseline (FY19)
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase the percentage of Kansas Certified Peer Mentors by 10% in FY20
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase the percentage of Kansas Certified Peer Mentors by 10% in FY21 from FY20
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	nent(if needed):
Data Source:	
Adult Consumer Affairs tracking spreadshee	t
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
Certified Peer Mentor roster	
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:
Training contractor reporting timeliness and	accuracy.

Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment

Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) First Year Target:

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

SFY2019 Baseline = 58 Peer Mentors certified

SFY2020 = 50 Peer Mentors certified (decrease of 13.8%)

The decrease of Peer Mentors certified is the result of the decrease of in-person trainings offered due to COVID-19 the last few months of SFY2020. KDADS is now offering the Peer Mentor Level 1 training online. KDADS is also researching options to offer the Peer Mentor

	virtually to avoid any further	, ,
How first year ta	arget was achieved (optional):	:
r y #: 9)	
-	expand access to youth experi	iencing their first psychotic episode and offer treatment and support within two years of the
y Type:	MHS	
ation(s):	SMI	
of the priority area	:	
n who have experi	enced their first psychotic epi	sode are free from the adverse effects of their mental illness.
gies to attain the g	goal:	
ify opportunities t	o increase access to services f	for ESMI.
ing adaguagy of F	SMI valated consists rates	
ine adequacy of Es	SMI-related service rates.	
lish care coordina	tion and case management re	equirements for our contractors that are provided through treatment and continuing care.
		ith young people in this age group to educate and build awareness around early intervention and tof Children and Families, colleges, schools and social media.
nnual Performa	nce Indicators to measu	re goal success
Indicator #:		1
Indicator:		ESMI served with Block Grant funded intervention.
Baseline Measur	rement:	Number of youth experiencing ESMI served with Block Grant funded intervention in SFY19.
First-year target	/outcome measurement:	5% increase in number of youth experiencing ESMI served with Block Grant funded intervention in SFY20
Second-year tar	get/outcome measurement:	5% increase in number of youth experiencing ESMI served with Block Grant funded intervention in SFY21 from number in SFY20.
New Second-yea	ar target/outcome measurem	ent(if needed):
Data Source:		
KDADS' Automa	ated Information Managemen	t System (AIMS)
New Data Sourc	e(if needed):	
Quarterly provi	der reports	
Description of D	ata:	
Proportion of to	otal number of vouth experie	ncing ESMI served with Block Grant funded intervention in a given State Fiscal Year (SFY).
	of Data:(if needed)	
New Description		ciliac.
	eats that affect outcome meas	sures.
Data issues/cave	correctly identified as being l	
Data issues/cave		ESMI

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

How first year target was achieved (optional):

SFY2019 Baseline: 54 youth experiencing ESMI served with Block Grant funded intervention SFY2020: 68 youth (increase of 14 youth or 26%)

Increase can be attributed to the implementation of a new program (Four County) and increased outreach by existing programs resulting in more youth served.

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:			

MHBG Table 2A (URS Table 7) - State Agency Expenditures Report

This table describes expenditures for public mental health services provided or funded by the State mental health agency by source of funding. Include ONLY funds expended by the executive branch agency administering the MH Block Grant.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2019 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2020

Activity (See instructions for using Row				Source of Funds			
1.)	A. Substance Abuse Block Grant	B. Mental Health Block Grant	C. Medicaid (Federal, State, and Local)	D. Other Federal Funds (e.g., ACF (TANF), CDC, CMS (Medicare) SAMHSA, etc.)	E. State Funds	F. Local Funds (excluding local Medicaid)	G. Other
Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment							
a. Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children							
b. All Other							
2. Primary Prevention*		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3. Evidence-Based Practices for Early Serious Mental Illness.**		\$452,861	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4. Tuberculosis Services							
5. HIV Early Intervention Services							
6. State Hospital			\$520,493	\$0	\$100,536,615	\$0	\$0
7. Other Psychiatric Inpatient Care			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
8. Other 24-Hour (residential Care)		\$0	\$50,303,881	\$0	\$24,521,191	\$0	\$0
9. Ambulatory/Community Non- 24 Hour Care		\$3,778,465	\$266,062,667	\$1,707,668	\$55,296,293	\$0	\$0
10. Administration (Excluding Program and Provider Level)		\$427,038	\$313,186	\$23,040	\$347,295	\$0	\$0
11. Total	\$0	\$4,658,364	\$317,200,227	\$1,730,708	\$180,701,394	\$0	\$0
Comments on Data:							

^{*}States may only use MHBG funds to provide primary prevention services to the priority populations of adults with serious mental illness and children with severe emotional disturbance.

^{**}Column 3B is for expenditures related to ESMI including Frist Episode Psychosis programs funded through MHBG setaside. These funds are not to be

Footnotes:
0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022
C Actual C Estimated
Please indicate the expenditures are <u>actual</u> or <u>estimated</u> .
also counted in #9 Ambulatory/Community Non-24-Hour Care.

MHBG Table 2B (URS Table 7A) - MHBG State Agency Early Serious Mental Illness and First Episode Psychosis Expenditures Report

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2019 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2020

Activity (See instructions for using Row 1.)	Source of Funds 1.)							
	A. Mental Health Block Grant	B. Medicaid (Federal, State, and Local)	C. Other Federal Funds (e.g., ACF (TANF), CDC, CMS (Medicare) SAMHSA, etc.)	D. State Funds	E. Local Funds (excluding local Medicaid)	F. Other		
1. CSC-Evidences-Based Practices for First Episode Psychosis*	\$452,861	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Training for CSC Practices	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Planning for CSC Practices	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Other Early Serious Mental Illnesses programs (other than FEP or partial CSC programs)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
3. Training for ESMI	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
4. Planning for ESMI	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
5. Total	\$452,861	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Comments on Data:								

^{*}When reporting CSC- Evidence Based Practices for First Episode Psychosis, report only those programs that are providing all the components of a CSC model. If the state uses only certain components of a CSC model specifically for FEP, please report them in row 2.

Note, The Totals for this table should equal the amounts reported on Row 3 (Evidence-Based Practices for Early Serious Mental Illness) on MHBG Table 2a (URS Table 7a)

0020 0160	A normund.	04/10/2010	Funires:	04/20/2022
0930-0168	Approved:	04/19/2019	Expires:	04/30/2022

Footnotes:			

MHBG Table 3 - Set-aside for Children's Mental Health Services

Reporting Period Start Date: 7/1/2019 Reporting Period End Date: 6/30/2020

Statewide Expenditures for Children's Mental Health Services					
Actual SFY 1994	Actual SFY 2019	Estimated/Actual SFY 2020	Expense Type		
\$2,843,496	\$18,605,872	\$13,933,733	• Actual C Estimated		

If <u>estimated</u> expenditures are provided, please indicate when <u>actual</u> expenditure data will be submitted to SAMHSA:	

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:

Please see the request from the Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services (KDADS) attached to this report for more information.

MHBG Table 4 (URS Table 8) - Profile of Community Mental Health Block Grant Expenditures for Non-Direct Service Activities

This table is used to describe the use of MHBG funds for non-direct service activities that are sponsored, or conducted, by the State Mental Health Authority

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2019 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2020

Activity	Total of Block Grant		
1. Information Systems	\$		
2. Infrastructure Support	\$		
3. Partnerships, Community Outreach and Needs Assessment	\$		
4. Planning Council Activities	\$3,049		
5. Quality Assurance and Improvement	\$		
6. Research and Evaluation	\$		
7. Training and Education	\$		
Total Non-Direct Services	\$3,049		
Comments on Data:			

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:			

MHBG Table 5 (URS Table 10) - Profiles of Agencies Receiving Block Grant Funds Directly from the State MHA

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2019 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2020

									Source of Funds		
Entity Number	Area Served (Statewide or Sub- State Planning Area)	Provider/Program Name	Street Address	City	State	Zip	Total Block Grant Funds	Adults with Serious Mental Illness	Children with Serious Emotional Disturbance	Set-aside for FEP Programs	Set-aside for ESMI Programs
22	Northeast	Bert Nash Community Mental Health	200 Maine Street	Lawrence	KS	66044	\$116,120.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
6	Central	Caring Place, Inc	PO Box 334	Newton	KS	67114	\$44,620.00	\$44,620.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
23	Central	Central Kansas Mental Health Center	809 Elmhurst Boulevard	Salina	KS	67401	\$20,998.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	South West	Compass Behavioral Health	404 North Baughman Street	Ulysses	KS	67880	\$116,120.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
24	South East	Crawford County	3101 Michigan Street	Pittsburg	KS	66762	\$41,197.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
11	Northeast	Currus, Inc	3512 SW Fairlawn Rd, Ste 300	Topeka	KS	66614	\$9,102.00	\$9,102.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
7	West	Dodge City Peaceful Tribe, Inc	PO 1111	Dodge City	KS	67801	\$68,196.00	\$68,196.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
25	Northeast	Elizabeth Layton Center, Inc	2537 Eisenhower Road	Ottawa	KS	66067	\$32,031.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
5	South East	Four County Mental Health Center, Inc	3751 West Main Street	Independence	KS	67301	\$62,329.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$62,329.00	\$0.00
8	North West	High Plains Independence, Inc	PO Box 956	Hays	KS	67601	\$120,808.00	\$120,808.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
26	North West	High Plains Mental Health Center	208 East 7th Street	Hays	KS	67601	\$55,301.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
27	West	Horizons Mental Health Center, Inc	1600 North Lorraine Street	Hutchinson	KS	67501	\$45,699.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
28	Southwest	Iroquois Center for Human	610 East Grant Avenue	Greensburg	KS	67054	\$8,897.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
29	Northeast	Johnson County Mental Health	6000 Lamar Avenue	Mission	KS	66202	\$269,625.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
9	South Central	Journey to Recovery, Inc	127 E 6th Avenue	Eldorado	KS	67402	\$59,400.00	\$59,400.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
10	South Central	Kansas Consumer Advisory Council	358 N Main ST	Wichita	KS	67202	\$118,749.00	\$118,749.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
12	Northeast	Kansas Independent Pharmacy Service Corp	3512 SW Fairlawn Rd, Ste 300	Topeka	KS	66614	\$170,777.00	\$170,777.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
30	Northeast	Kanza Mental Health & Guidance Center	909 South 2nd Street	Hiawatha	KS	66434	\$34,977.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
13	Northeast	Keys for Networking, Inc	900 S Kansas Ave	Topeka	KS	66612	\$36,842.00	\$0.00	\$36,842.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
31	Southeast	Labette Center for Mental Health	1730 Belmont Avenue	Parsons	KS	67357	\$25,665.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
32	Central	Mental Health Center for East Central	1000 Lincoln Street	Emporia	KS	66801	\$30,137.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
14	North Central	Morning Star, Inc	1018 Poyntz Ave	Manhattan	KS	66502	\$150,580.00	\$150,580.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
15	Northeast	NAMI of Kansas, Inc	501 SW Jackson ST	Shawnee	KS	66601	\$35,000.00	\$35,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
16	South Central	Open Door, Inc	PO Box 235	Arkansas City	KS	67005	\$40,854.00	\$40,854.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
34	Northeast	Pawnee Mental Health Services, Inc	2001 Claflin Road	Manhattan	KS	66502	\$68,083.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
35	Central	Prairie View, Inc	1901 East 1st Street	Newton	KS	67114	\$170,169.00	\$0.00	\$170,169.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
17	South Central	Project Independence of Sedgwick	PO Box 48369	Wichita	KS	67201	\$81,166.00	\$81,166.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
44	Statewide	Sam Tsemberis	27 Warren Place	Montclair	KS	7042	\$11,059.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

36	South Central	Sedgwick County	271 West 3rd St	Wichita	KS	67202	\$301,205.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
37	Northeast	Socialization Independence	PO Box 171856	Kansas City	KS	66117	\$211,815.00	\$211,815.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2	South Central	South Central Mental Health	524 North Main Street	El Dorado	KS	67042	\$13,903.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
38	Southeast	Southeast Kansas Mental Health Center	1106 South 9th Street	Humboldt	KS	66748	\$30,843.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
39	Southwest	Southwest Guidance Center	333 West 15th Street	Liberal	KS	67901	\$8,897.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
40	Southeast	Spring River Mental Health & Wellness, Inc	6610 SE Quakervale Road	Riverton	KS	66770	\$31,122.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
41	South Central	Sumner County Mental Health Center	1601 West 16th Street	Wellington	KS	67152	\$15,571.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
20	Northeast	Sunshine Connection	PO Box 4811	Topeka	KS	66604	\$141,200.00	\$141,200.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
42	Central	The Center for Counseling	5815 Broadway Street	Great Bend	KS	67530	\$32,594.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
43	Northeast	The Guidance Center	500 Limit Street	Leavenworth	KS	66048	\$69,861.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4	Northeast	Valeo Behavioral Health Care	5401 SW 7th Street	Topeka	KS	66606	\$75,835.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$75,835.00	\$0.00
21	Northwest	Wings Upon the Prairie, Inc	PO Box 385	Colby	KS	67701	\$87,376.00	\$87,376.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
45	South Central	Witchita State University	1845 Fairmount St	Witchita	KS	67260	\$84,757.00	\$84,757.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
3	Northeast	Wyandot Center for Community	757 Armstrong Avenue	Kansas City	KS	66101	\$314,697.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$314,697.00	\$0.00
Total							\$3,464,177.00	\$1,424,400.00	\$207,011.00	\$452,861.00	\$0.00

0930-0168	Approved:	04/19/2019	Expires: 04	/30/2022

|--|

MHBG Table 6 - Maintenance of Effort for State Expenditures on Mental Health Services

Reporting Period Start Date: 07/01/2019 Reporting Period End Date: 06/30/2020

Period	Expenditures	<u>B1(2018) + B2(2019)</u> 2
(A)	(B)	(C)
SFY 2018 (1)	\$144,031,559	
SFY 2019 (2)	\$167,934,943	\$155,983,251
SFY 2020 (3)	\$134,370,750	

Are the expenditure amounts reported	n Column B "actual"	expenditures for the S	tate fiscal years involved?
--------------------------------------	---------------------	------------------------	-----------------------------

SFY 2018	Yes	X	NO	
SFY 2019	Yes	Х	No	
SFY 2020	Yes	Х	No	

If estimated expenditures are provided, please indicate when actual expenditure data will be submitted to SAMHSA:

n	aan.	.0168	Approved:	04/19	/2019	Evnires.	04/30	/2022
U	950-	-0100	Approved.	04/19	/2019	expires.	04/50	12022

Footnotes:

Please see the request from the Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services (KDADS) attached to this report for more information.

MHBG Table 7 (URS Table 1) - Profile of the State Population by Diagnosis

This table summarizes the estimates of adults residing within the state with serious mental illness (SMI) and children residing within the state with serious emotional disturbances (SED). The table calls for estimates for two-time periods, one for the report year and one for three years into the future. CMHS will provide this data to states based on the standardized methodology developed and published in the Federal Register and the state level estimates for both adults with SMI and children with SED.

Expenditure Period Start Date: Expenditure Period End Date:

	Current Report Year	Three Years Forward
Adults with Serious Illness (SMI)		
Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)		
0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022		
Footnotes:		

MHBG Table 8A and MHBG Table 8B (URS Tables 2A and 2B) - Profile of Persons Served, All Programs by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

This table provides an aggregate profile of persons in the reporting year. The reporting year should be the latest state fiscal year for which data are available. This profile is based on a client receiving services in programs provided or funded by the state mental health agency. The client profile takes into account all institutional and community services for all such programs. Please provide unduplicated counts if possible.

Table 8A

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2019 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2020

	Total					rican Ind aska Na					Black or African American					iian or Islander	r			More Than One Race Reported			Race	Not Av	ailable
	Female	Male	Not Available	Total	Female		Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available
0-12 years	7,242	11,634	0	18,876	90	136	0	79	109	0	638	1,060	0	9	20	0	5,260	8,376	0	379	716	0	787	1,217	0
13-17 years	8,861	9,432	0	18,293	159	192	0	100	111	0	637	713	0	16	12	0	6,452	6,871	0	502	548	0	995	985	0
18-20 years	4,930	4,455	0	9,385	116	113	0	55	69	0	283	293	0	11	11	0	3,654	3,263	0	303	283	0	508	423	0
21-24 years	5,574	4,758	0	10,332	134	162	0	76	62	0	370	419	0	13	9	0	4,151	3,426	0	354	277	0	476	403	0
25-44 years	25,820	21,156	0	46,976	672	582	0	237	217	0	1,816	1,788	0	47	40	0	20,030	15,897	0	1,247	1,163	0	1,771	1,469	0
45-64 years	18,341	13,112	0	31,453	524	410	0	138	129	0	1,372	1,264	0	29	23	0	14,657	10,030	0	662	529	0	959	727	0
65-74 years	4,096	2,481	0	6,577	127	73	0	22	14	0	245	184	0	5	5	0	3,417	1,999	0	84	74	0	196	132	0
75 and older	2,139	998	0	3,137	44	24	0	9	6	0	55	28	0	0	0	0	1,919	859	0	26	10	0	86	71	0
Age not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	77,003	68,026	0	145,029	1,866	1,692	0	716	717	0	5,416	5,749	0	130	120	0	59,540	50,721	0	3,557	3,600	0	5,778	5,427	0
Pregnant Women	0	0	0	0	0			0			0			0			0			0			0		

Are these numbers unduplicated?	~	Unduplicated	and Co	Duplicated : between Hospitals ommunity	Duplicated : Among Community Programs
	and ac	Duplicated between children dults		Other: describe	

Comments on Data (for Age):	
Comments on Data (for Gender):	
Comments on Data (for Race/Ethnicity):	
Comments on Data (Overall):	Kansas does not collect information on pregnant women for this report.

	Not F	lispanic or I	Latino	His	panic or Lat	tino	Hispanic or L	atino Origin I	Not Available		То	tal			
	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Total		
0-12 years	6,387	10,174	0	803	1,372	0	52	88	0	7,242	11,634	0	18,876		
13-17 years	7,647	8,242	0	1,137	1,098	0	77	92	0	8,861	9,432	0	18,293		
18-20 years	4,344	3,946	0	531	460	0	55	49	0	4,930	4,455	0	9,385		
21-24 years	5,051	4,321	0	483	388	0	40	49	0	5,574	4,758	0	10,332		
25-44 years	24,004	19,557	0	1,586	1,373	0	230	226	0	25,820	21,156	0	46,976		
45-64 years	17,420	12,450	0	767	560	0	154	102	0	18,341	13,112	0	31,453		
65-74 years	3,943	2,391	0	121	67	0	32	23	0	4,096	2,481	0	6,577		
75 and older	2,055	963	0	52	12	0	32	23	0	2,139	998	0	3,137		
Age not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	70,851	62,044	0	5,480	5,330	0	672	652	0	77,003	68,026	0	145,029		
Pregnant Women	0				0			0				0 0 0			

Comments on Data (for Age):		
Comments on Data (for Gender):		
Comments on Data (for Ethnicity):		
Comments on Data (Overall):	Kansas does not collect information on pregnant women for this report.	
0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 0	4/30/2022	

MHBG Table 9 (URS Table 3) - Profile of Persons served in the Community Mental Health Settings, State Psychiatric Hospitals and Other Settings

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2019 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2020

This table provides a profile for the clients that received public funded mental health services in community mental health settings, in state psychiatric hospitals, in other psychiatric inpatient programs, and in residential treatment centers for children.

Note: Clients can be duplicated between Rows: e.g., The same client may be served in both state psychiatric hospitals and community mental health centers during the same year and thus would be reported in counts for both rows.

Service Setting	Age 0-17			Age 18-20			Age 21-64			Age 65+			Age Not Available				Total			
	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Total	
Community Mental Health Programs	16,103	21,065	0	4,930	4,455	0	49,735	39,027	0	6,235	3,479	0	0	0	0	77,003	68,026	0	145,029	
State Psychiatric Hospitals	0	0	0	50	78	0	863	1,763	0	22	96	0	0	0	0	935	1,937	0	2,872	
Other Psychiatric Inpatient	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Residential Treatment Centers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Institutions in the Justice System	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

ı	System													
	Comments on Data (for Age):	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		
	Comments on Data (for Gende	er):												
	Comments on Data (Overall):													
(0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/201	19 Expires:	04/30/202	22										
	Footnotes:													

MHBG Table 10A and MHBG Table 10B (URS Tables 5A and 5B) - Profile of Clients by Type of Funding Support

Table 10A

This table provides a summary of clients by Medicaid coverage. Since the focus of the reporting is on clients of the public mental health service delivery system, this table focuses on the clientele serviced by public programs that are funded or operated by the State Mental Health Authority. Persons are to be counted in the Medicaid row if they received a service reimbursable through Medicaid.

Please note that the same person may be served in both Medicaid and Non-Medicaid programs during the same reporting period.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2019 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2020

Experiartar	e : enou	., _ 0 1 9	Experientare remode and bate. 0/30/2020												ite More Than One Race N										
		To	al			ican In			Asian									White					Race N	ot Ava	ilable
					or Ala	iska Na	itive				Ai	mericar	1	or Ot	her Pa lander					Race	Repor	ted			
	Female	Male	Not Avail	Total	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail				Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail
Medicaid (only Medicaid)	16,651	14,779	0	31,430	449	425	0	216	228	0	1,697	1,650	0	35	21	0	11,668	10,015	0	798	807	0	1,788	1,633	0
Non- Medicaid Sources (only)	44,467	39,240	0	83,707	1,082	980	0	394	384	0	2,436	2,804	0	74	83	0	35,867	30,558	0	1,616	1,627	0	2,998	2,804	0
People Served by Both Medicaid and Non- Medicaid Sources	14,629	12,897	0	27,526	323	280	0	97	103	0	1,182	1,153	0	18	15	0	11,225	9,496	0	1,126	1,148	0	658	702	0
Medicaid Status Not Available	1,256	1,110	0	2,366	12	7	0	9	2	0	101	142	0	3	1	0	780	652	0	17	18	0	334	288	0
Total Served	77,003	68,026	0	145,029	1,866	1,692	0	716	717	0	5,416	5,749	0	130	120	0	59,540	50,721	0	3,557	3,600	0	5,778	5,427	0

	~	Data Based on Medicaid Services		Data Based on Medical Eligibility, not Medicaid Paid Services	'People Served By Both' includes people with any Medicaid
Comments on Data (for Race):					
Comments on Data (for Gender)	:				
Comments on Data (Overall): Medicaid coverage is determine	d by t	he payment source in consumer's record	that	vas effective when FY20 services were provided.	

Each row should have a unique (unduplicated) count of clients: (1) Medicaid Only, (2) Non-Medicaid Only, (3) Both Medicaid and Other Sources funded their treatment, and (4) Medicaid Status Not Available.

If a state is unable to unduplicate between people whose care is paid for by Medicaid only or Medicaid and other funds, then all data should be reported into the 'People Served by Both Medicaid and Non-Medicaid Sources' and the 'People Served by Both includes people with any Medicaid' check box should be checked.

Table 10B

Of the total persons covered by Medicaid, please indicate the gender and number of persons who are Hispanic/Latino or not Hispanic/Latino. Total persons covered by Medicaid would be the total indicated in Table 10A.

Please note that the same person may be served in both Medicaid and Non-Medicaid programs during the same reporting period.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2019 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2020

	Hisp	oanic or Lat	ino	Not H	ispanic or I	Latino	Hispanic	or Latino C Available	Prigin Not		То	tal	
	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail	Female	Male	Not Avail	Total
Medicaid Only	1,251	1,187	0	15,282	13,478	0	118	114	0	16,651	14,779	0	31,430
Non- Medicaid Only	2,785	2,667	0	41,398	36,318	0	284	255	0	44,467	39,240	0	83,707
People Served by Both Medicaid and Non- Medicaid Sources	1,277	1,344	0	13,246	11,424	0	106	129	0	14,629	12,897	0	27,526
Medicaid Status Unknown	167	132	0	924	822	0	165	156	0	1,256	1,110	0	2,366
Total Served	70,850	62,042	0	5,480	5,330	0	673	654	0	77,003	68,026	0	145,029

Comments on Data (for Ethnicity):

Comments on Data (for Gender):

Comments on Data (Overall):

Medicaid coverage is determined by the payment source in consumer's record that was effective when FY20 services were provided.

Each row should have a unique (unduplicated) count of clients: (1) Medicaid Only, (2) Non-Medicaid Only, (3) Both Medicaid and Other Sources funded their treatment, and (4) Medicaid Status Unknown.

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:			

D. Population and Services Report

MHBG Table 11 (URS Table 6) - Profile of Client Turnover

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2019 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2020

Profile of Service Utilization	Total Served at Beginning of Year (unduplicated)	Admissions During the year (duplicated)	Discharges During the year (duplicated)	Days): Di	f Stay (in scharged ents		nan 1 Year: f Stay (in sidents at	More That Length o Days): Res	in Facility on 1 Year: f Stay (in sidents at f year
				Average (Mean)	Median	Average (Mean)	Median	Average (Mean)	Median
State Hospitals	649	2,371	2,454	0	0	0	0	0	0
Children (0 to 17 years)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adults (18 yrs and over)	649	2,371	2,454	67	11	0	0	0	0
Age Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Psychiactric Inpatient	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Children (0 to 17 years)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adults (18 yrs and over)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Residential Tx Centers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Children (0 to 17 years)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adults (18 yrs and over)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Community Programs	114,981	34,333	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Children (0 to 17 years)	26,315	12,418							
Adults (18 yrs and over)	88,666	21,915							
Age Not Available	0	0							

Comments on Data (State Hospital):

Comments on Data (Other Inpatient):

Comments on Data (Residential Treatment):

Comments on Data (Community Programs):

See "General Comments" tab

Comments on Data (Overall):

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:

D. Population and Services Report

MHBG Table 12 (URS Table 12) - State Mental Health Agency Profile

The purpose of this profile is to obtain information that provides a context for the data provided in the tables. This profile covers the populations served, services for which the state mental health agency is responsible, data reporting capacities, especially related to duplication of numbers served as well as certain summary administrative information.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2019 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2020

Populations Served

1. Which of the following populations receive services operated or funded by the state mental health agency? Please indicate if they are included in the data provided in the tables. (Check all that apply.)

	•										
			Population	ns Covered:	Included in Data						
			State Hospitals	Community Programs	State Hospitals	Community Programs					
1. Ag	ed 0 to 3		☐ Yes	▼ Yes	☐ Yes	▼ Yes					
2. Ag	ed 4 to 17		☐ Yes	▼ Yes	☐ Yes	▼ Yes					
3. Ad	ults Aged 18 and over		▼ Yes	▼ Yes	▼ Yes	▼ Yes					
4. For	rensics		▼ Yes	☐ Yes	▼ Yes	☐ Yes					
Comr	nents on Data:										
2.	Do all of the adults ar serious emotional dis		served through the state r	mental health agency mee	t the Federal definitions of	serious mental illness and					
				Mental Illness Emotional Disturbances							
2.a.	If no, please indicate to serious emotional dist	-	age of persons served for	the reporting period who	met the federal definitions	of serious mental illness a					
2.a.1.	Percent of adults mee	ting Federa	ll definition of SMI:		17.7 %						
2.a.2.	Percentage of children	n/adolescer	nts meeting Federal definit	tion of SED:	66.8 %						
3.	Co-Occurring Mental	Health and	Substance Abuse:								
3.a.	What percentage of p	ersons serv	red by the SMHA for the re	porting period have a dua	l diagnosis of mental illnes	s and substance abuse?					
3.a.1.	Percentage of adults s	served by th	ne SMHA who also have a d	diagnosis of substance abu	use problem:	5.0 %					
3.a.2.	Percentage of children	n/adolescer	nts served by the SMHA wh	no also have a diagnosis of	substance abuse problem:	0.0 %					
3.b.	What percentage of p			d who met the Federal def	finitions of adults with SMI	and children with SED hav					
3.b.1.	Percentage of adults i	meeting Fe	deral definition of SMI who	o also have a diagnosis of	substance abuse problem:	8.4 %					
3.b.2.	Percentage of children	n/adolescei	nts meeting the Federal de	efinition of SED who also h	ave a diagnosis of substand	0.0 %					
3 h 3	·										

4. State Mental Health Agency Responsibilities

disorders.

a. Medicaid: Does the State Mental Health Agency have any of the following responsibilities for mental health services provided through Medicaid? (Check All that Apply)

the number of persons with co-occurring the KS mental health information system.

	 State Medicaid Operating Agency Setting Standards Quality Improvement/Program Compliance 			
	4. Resolving Consumer Complaints	▽		
	5. Licensing 6. Sanctions 7. Other	V		
	b. Managed Care (Mental Health Managed Care)			Are Data for these programs reported on URS Tables?
4.b.1	Does the State have a Medicaid Managed Care initia	tive?	∨ Yes	▼ Yes
4.b.2	Does the State Mental Health Agency have any responsible through Medicaid Managed Care?	onsibilities for mental health services provided	▼ Yes	Yes
If yes, 4.b.3	please check the responsibilities the SMHA has: Direct contractual responsibility and oversight of the	e MCOs or BHOs	▼ Yes	
4.b.4	Setting Standards for mental health services		▼ Yes	
4.b.5	Coordination with state health and Medicaid agenci	es	✓ Yes	
4.b.6	Resolving mental health consumer complaints		✓ Yes	
4.b.7	Input in contract development		∨ Yes	
4.b.8	Performance monitoring		✓ Yes	
4.b.9	Other			
	different parts of your mental health system. Please counts of clients served across your entire mental health are the data reporting in the tables?		J MINDO 13D, WING	in require unduplicated
5.a.	<u>Unduplicated:</u> counted once even if they were serve	d in both State hospitals and community progr	ams and if they w	ere served in
J.u.	community mental health agencies responsible for d		and it they w	ere served iii
5.b.	Duplicated: across state hospital and community pro	grams		
5.c. 5.d.	Duplicated: within community programs Duplicated: Between Child and Adult Agencies			
5.u. 5.e.	Plans for Unduplication: If you are not currently able	e to provide unduplicated client counts across	all parts of your m	nental health
	system, please describe your plans to get unduplicat			
6.	Summary Administrative Data			
6.a.	Report Year:	2020		
6.b.	State Identifier:	KS		
6.c.	Summary Information on Data Submitted by SMHA: Year being reported:	7/1/2019 12:00:00 AM to 6/30/2020 12:00:00 A	AM	
6.d.	Person Responsible for Submission:	Emma Persinger		
6.e.	Contact Phone Number:	785-296-0857		
6.f.	Contact Address:	503 South Kansas Avenue Topeka, KS 66603		
6.g.	E-mail:	emma.persinger@ks.gov		
0930-0	0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022			
Foot	tnotes:			

D. Population and Services Report

MHBG Tables 13A and 13B (URS Tables 14A and 14B) - Profile of Persons with SMI/SED Served By Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

Table 13A

This table requests counts for persons with SMI or SED using the definitions provided by SAMHSA. MHBG Table 8A and 8B (URS Table 2A and 2B) included all clients served by publicly operated or funded programs. This table counts only clients who meet the federal definition of SMI or SED. For many states, this table may be the same as MHBG Tables 8A and 8B (URS Table 2A and 2B). States should report using the Federal Definitions of SMI and SED if they can report them, if not, please report using your state's definitions of SMI and SED and provide information below describing your state's definition.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2019 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2020 Total American Indian or **Black or African** Native Hawaiian or Other White **More Than One Race** Race Not Available Asian Alaska Native American **Pacific Islander** Reported Female Male Not Total Female Male Not Available Available Available Available Available Available Available Available 0-12 4,478 8,337 12,815 48 82 45 75 462 866 9 3,203 5,896 280 593 437 816 0 years 13-17 5,645 6,410 12,055 91 121 0 60 70 0 479 560 10 4,056 4,583 358 432 0 591 636 years 18-20 4,163 43 2.077 2.086 64 27 30 0 139 1,532 1,482 131 145 0 200 191 0 166 0 years 21-24 1.203 1.432 2,635 46 83 25 28 0 109 161 841 965 70 72 0 107 119 years 25-44 4,438 4,892 9,330 156 195 0 51 76 0 502 696 10 10 3,234 3,306 326 0 217 283 268 years 45-64 4,505 3,694 8,199 164 155 49 53 0 512 563 10 3,378 2,569 197 194 0 195 153 years 65-74 868 585 1,453 33 31 7 0 89 86 0 682 418 22 19 0 35 26 years 75 and 271 104 375 12 5 0 14 Ω 229 92 2 0 older Age not 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Available Pregnant 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Women Total 23,485 27,540 51,025 593 733 269 339 2,306 3,101 43 46 17,155 19,311 1,330 1,783 0 1,789 2,227 Comments on Data (for Age): Comments on Data (for Gender): Comments on Data (for Race/Ethnicity):

Comments on Data (Overall):		

•	Yes	0	No	Adults with SMI, if No describe or attach state definition:	
0	Yes	•	No	Diagnoses included in the state SMI definition:	
•	Yes	0	No	Children with SED, if No describe or attach state definition:	

Table 13B

Of the total persons served, please indicate the age, gender and the number of persons who meet the Federal definition of SMI and SED and who are Hispanic/Latino or not Hispanic/Latino. The total persons served who meet the Federal definition of SMI or SED should be the total as indicated in MHBG Table 13b.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2019 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2020

O Yes No Diagnoses included in the state SED definition:

	Not F	lispanic or l	_atino	His	panic or Lat	tino	Hispanic or L	atino Origin I	Not Available		То	tal	
	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Total
0-12 years	3,954	7,267	0	485	1,008	0	39	62	0	4,478	8,337	0	12,815
13-17 years	4,823	5,578	0	772	773	0	50	59	0	5,645	6,410	0	12,055
18-20 years	1,814	1,845	0	239	211	0	24	30	0	2,077	2,086	0	4,163
21-24 years	1,102	1,302	0	100	119	0	1	11	0	1,203	1,432	0	2,635
25-44 years	4,193	4,558	0	212	277	0	33	57	0	4,438	4,892	0	9,330
45-64 years	4,328	3,532	0	151	134	0	26	28	0	4,505	3,694	0	8,199
65-74 years	843	571	0	23	12	0	2	2	0	868	585	0	1,453
75 and older	264	100	0	6	1	0	1	3	0	271	104	0	375
Age not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pregnant Women	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	21,321	24,753	0	1,988	2,535	0	176	252	0	23,485	27,540	0	51,025
Comments on Data (for Age)	:												
Comments on Data (for Gend	der):												

Comments on Data (for Race/Ethnicity):		
Comments on Data (Overall):		
0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2	2022	
Footnotes:		

D. Population and Services Report

MHBG Table 14 (URS Table 15A) - Profile of Persons served in the community mental health setting, State Psychiatric Hospitals and Other Settings for Adults with SMI and Children with SED

This table provides a profile for adults with Serious Mental Illness (SMI) and children with serious emotional disturbance (SED) that received public funded mental health services in community mental health settings, in state psychiatric hospitals, in other psychiatric inpatient programs, residential treatment centers and Institutions under Justice System.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2019 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2020

Service Setting	Age 0-17		Age 18-20				Age 21-64			Age 65+		Age Not Available			Total				
	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Total
Community Mental Health Programs	10,124	14,747	0	2,077	2,086	0	10,145	10,018	0	1,139	689	0	0	0	0	23,485	27,540	0	51,025
State Psychiatric Hospitals	0	0	0	45	72	0	715	1,607	0	19	97	0	0	0	0	779	1,776	0	2,555
Other Psychiatric Inpatient	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Residential Treatment Centers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Institutions in the Justice System	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Comments on Data (for Age):

Comments on Data (for Gender):

Comments on Data (Overall):

Note: Clients can be duplicated between Rows (e.g. The same client may be served in both state psychiatric hospitals and community mental health centers during the same year and thus would be reported in counts for both rows). 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:

MHBG Table 15A (URS Table 4) - Profile of Adult Clients by Employment Status

This table describes the status of adult clients served in the reporting year by the public mental health system, in terms of employment status. The focus is on employment for the working age population, recognizing, however, there are clients who are disabled, retired, or who are homemakers, care-givers, etc., and not a part of the workforce. These persons should be reported in the "Not in Labor Force" category. Unemployed refers to persons who are looking for work but have not found employment. Data should be reported for clients in non-institutional settings at time of discharge or last evaluation.

Adults Served		18-20			21-64			65+		Ag	e Not Availa		Total			
	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Avail	Total
Employed: Competitively Employed Full or Part Time (includes Supported Employment)	456	243	0	4,182	3,404	0	52	50	0	0	0	0	4,690	3,697	0	8,387
Unemployed	420	377	0	6,744	5,992	0	431	248	0	0	0	0	7,595	6,617	0	14,212
Not In Labor Force: Retired, Sheltered Employment, Sheltered Workshops, Other (homemaker, student, volunteer, disabled, etc.)	48	35	0	849	599	0	357	168	0	0	0	0	1,254	802	0	2,056
Not Available	2	7	0	17	16	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	19	25	0	44
Total	926	662	0	11,792	10,011	0	840	468	0	0	0	0	13,558	11,141	0	24,699
How Often Does your State Measure Employment Status?	▼ At Ad	Imission 🗆	At Discharge	✓ Monthly	Quarterl	y 🗆 Other,	describe:									
What populations are included:	ි All cli		y selected gr e General No		be:											
Comments on Data (for Age):																
Comments on Data (for Gender)	:															
Comments on Data (Overall):																
0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019	Expires: 04/3	0/2022														
Footnotes:																

MHBG Table 15B (URS Table 4A) - Optional Table: Profile of Adult Clients by Employment Status: by Primary Diagnosis Reported

The workgroup exploring employment found that, the primary diagnosis of consumer results in major differences in employment status. The workgroup has recommended that we explore the ability of states to report employment by primary diagnosis and the impact of diagnosis on employment. The workgroup recommended 5 diagnostic clusters for reporting.

Clients Primary Diagnosis	Employed: Competitively Employed Full or Part Time (includes Supported Employment)	Unemployed	Not In Labor Force: Retired, Sheltered Employment, Sheltered Workshops, Other (homemaker, student, volunteer, disabled, etc.)	Employment Status Not Available	Total
Schizophrenia & Related Disorders (F20, F25)	0	0	0	0	0
Bipolar and Mood Disorders (F30,F31,F32,F33,F34.1,F60.89,F34.0,F32.9)	0	0	0	0	0
Other Psychoses (F22,F23,F24,F28,F29)	0	0	0	0	0
All Other Diagnoses	0	0	0	0	0
No DX and Deferred DX (R69,R99,Z03.89)	0	0	0	0	0
Diagnosis Total	0	0	0	0	0

930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022	
Footnotes:	

Comments on Data (for Diagnosis):

MHBG Table 16 (URS Table 9) - Social Connectedness and Improved Functioning

Expenditure Period Start Date: 07/01/2019 Expenditure Period End Date: 06/30/2020

Adult Consumer Survey Results	Number of Positive Responses	Responses	Percent Positive (calculated)
1. Social Connectedness	291	377	77%
2. Functioning	292 379		77%
Child/Adolescent Consumer Survey Results	Number of Positive Responses	Responses	Percent Positive (calculated)
3. Social Connectedness	369	411	90%
4. Functioning	335	406	83%
Comments on Data:			

Adult Social Connectedness and Functioning Measures

1. Did you use the recommended new Social Connectedness Questions?	Yes ○ No
	Measure used
2. Did you use the recommended new Functioning Domain Questions?	Yes ○ No
	Measure used
3. Did you collect these as part of your MHSIP Adult Consumer Survey?	• Yes C No
	If No, what source did you use?
Child/Family Social Connectedness and Functioning Measures	
Clind/Failing Social Connectedness and Functioning Measures	
4. Did you use the recommended new Social Connectedness Questions?	• Yes • No
	Measure used
5. Did you use the recommended new Functioning Domain Questions?	• Yes C No
	Measure used
6. Did you collect these as part of your YSS-F Survey?	• Yes O No
	If No, what source did you use?

Recommended Scoring Rules

Please use the same rules for reporting Social connectedness and Functioning Domain scores as for calculating other Consumer Survey Domain scores for Table MHBG Table 18a: E.g.:

- 1. Recode ratings of "not applicable" as missing values.
- 2. Exclude respondents with more than 1/3 of the items in that domain missing
- 3. Calculate the mean of the items for each respondent.
- 4. FOR ADULTS: calculate the percent of scores less than 2.5 (percent agree and strongly agree).
- 5. FOR YSS-F: calculate the percent of scores greater than 3.5 (percent agree and strongly agree).

Footnotes:			

MHBG Table 17A (URS Table 11) - Summary Profile of Client Evaluation of Care

Expenditure Period Start Date: 07/01/2019 Expenditure Period End Date: 06/30/2020

Adult Consumer Survey Results:	Number of Positive Responses	Responses	Confidence Interval*
1. Reporting Positively about Access.	353	393	86.4%-92.4%
2. Reporting Positively about Quality and Appropriateness for Adults.	356	381	90.4%-95.5%
3. Reporting Positively about Outcomes.	286	362	74.4%-82.8%
4. Adults Reporting on Participation In Treatment Planning.	312	366	81.2%-88.5%
5. Adults Positively about General Satisfaction with Services.	371	397	90.6%-95.5%

Child/Adolescent Consumer Survey Results:	Number of Positive Responses	Responses	Confidence Interval*
Reporting Positively about Access.	362	410	84.9%-91.2%
2. Reporting Positively about General Satisfaction for Children.	374	414	87.0%-92.8%
3. Reporting Positively about Outcomes for Children.	341	405	80.5%-87.6%
4. Family Members Reporting on Participation In Treatment Planning for their Children.	390	408	93.2%-97.3%
5. Family Members Reporting High Cultural Sensitivity of Staff.	346	356	94.9%-98.6%

Please enter the number of persons responding positively to the questions and the number of total responses within each group. Percent positive will be calculated from these data.

" Please report Conflaence intervals at the 95% level. See alrections below regarding the calculation of conflaence intervals	πιs.
---	------

Comments on Data:

Adult Consumer Surveys

- 1. Was the Official 28 Item MHSIP Adult Outpatient Consumer Survey Used?

 Yes
 - 1.a. If no, which version:
 - Original 40 Item Version
 21-Item Version
 Yes
 State Variation of MHSIP
 Other Consumer Survey
 Yes
 - 1.b. If other, please attach instrument used.

1.c. Did you use any translations of the MHSIP into anothe	er language? 🛭 🖟	✓ 1. Spanish		
	Γ	2. Other Language:		
Adult Survey Approach				
Populations covered in survey? (Note all surveys should cover a	all regions of state	e) O 1. All Consumers	n State © 2.	Sample of MH Consumers
2.a. If a sample was used, what sample methodology was u		ndom Sample		
2.a. If a sample was asca, what sample methodology was c		atified / Random Stratified S	ample	
		nvenience Sample		
	C 4. Oth	ner Sample:		
2.b. Do you survey only people currently in services, or do y	uou also survou a	arcans no longer in service?	✓ 1 Parce	ns Currently Receiving Services
2.b. Do you survey only people currently in services, or do y	you also survey pe	ersons no longer in service:		
			≥ 2. Perso	ns No Longer Receiving Services
Please describe the populations included in your sample: (e.g.,	all adults only a	dulta with SML ata)	All Adult Cons	sumars la Ctata
3. Please describe the populations included in your sample: (e.g.	., all adults, only a			
				erious Mental Illness
				Vere Medicaid Eligible Or In Medicaid Managed Care
		□ 4.	Other (for exa	imple, if you survey anyone served in the last 3 months, describe that here):
4. Methodology of collecting data? (Check all that apply)		Self-Administered	Interview	
		Sen Administered	merview	
	Phone	☐ Yes	☐ Yes	
	Mail	▼ Yes		
	Face-to-face	☐ Yes	Yes	
	Web-Based	☐ Yes	Yes	
4.b. Who administered the survey? (Check all that apply)	☐ 1. MH Cons	umers		
	2. Family Me	embers		
	☐ 3. Professio	nal Interviewers		
	☐ 4. MH Clinic			
		ct Treatment Staff		
	✓ 6. Other, de			
		nal Survey Vendor		
		., 		

5. Are Responses Anonymous, Confidential and/or Linked to other Patient Databases? \Box 1. Responses are Anonymous

	L. Z. Responses are Conf	identiai				
	▼ 3. Responses are Mato	thed to Client D	Databases			
6. Sample Size and Response Rate						
6.a. How Many surveys were Attempted (sent out or calls initiated)?			7,844			
6.b. How many survey Contacts were made? (surveys to valid phone n	umbers or addresses)?		7,844			
6.c. How many surveys were completed? (survey forms returned or call	s completed)		835			
6.d. What was your response rate? (number of Completed surveys div	ided by number of Contacts)		11.3 %			
6.e. If you receive "blank" surveys back from consumers (surveys with	no responses on them), did you count these	surveys as "co	mpleted" for the calcula	tion of response rates? 🔿 \	∕es [®] No	
7. Who Conducted the survey						
7.a. SMHA Conducted or contracted for the survey (survey done at	state level)	Yes	○ No			
7.b. Local Mental Health Providers/County mental health providers (survey was done at the local or regional level)	conducted or contracted for the survey	○ Yes	No No			
7.c. Other, describe:						
* Report Confidence Intervals at the 95% confidence level						
Note: The confidence interval is the plus-or-minus figure usually reported in you had asked the question of the entire relevant population between 43% (The confidence level tells you how sure you can be. It is expressed as a perce can be 95% certain; the 99% confidence level means you can be 99% certain When you put the confidence level and the confidence interval together, you	47-4) and 51% (47+4) would have picked that a ntage and represents how often the true percent . Most researchers use the 95% confidence level.	inswer. age of the popul	lation who would pick an o	answer lies within the confider	nce interval. The 95% confidence level r	
Child / Family Consumer Surveys						
1. Was the MHSIP Children / Family Survey (YSS-F) ✓ Yes						
Used?	If no, what survey did you use?					
If no, please attach instrument used.						
1.c. Did you use any translations of the Child MHSIP into another land	guage?					
	2. Other Language:					
Child Survey Approach						
Populations covered in survey? (Note all surveys should cover all regions)	ns of state) O 1. All Consumers In State	e 🖲 2. Samp	ole of MH Consumers			
2.a. If a sample was used, what sample methodology was used?						
	C 2. Stratified / Random Stratified Sample					
	C 3. Convenience Sample					
	C 4. Other Sample:					
2.b. Do you survey only people currently in services, or do you also	survey persons no longer in service?	1. Persons Cu	rrently Receiving Service	<u></u> \$		
	V	2. Persons No	Longer Receiving Servi	ces		
2a. If yes to 2, please describe how your survey persons no lor	ger receiving services.					
3. Please describe the populations included in your sample: (e.g., all chil	dren, only children with SED, etc.)	1 All Child Co	onsumers In State			

Printed: 8/24/2022 10:50 AM - Kansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

All Child Consumers in State

							Eligible or in Medi	_		here):
				The s	survey inc	ludes any consu	mer in the Medica ce during the surv	id Managed Care		
4.	Methodology of collecting data? (Check all that apply)		Self-Administered	Interview	Ī					
		Phone	Yes	☐ Yes						
		Mail	▼ Yes							
		Face-to-face	□ Yes	☐ Yes						
		Web-Based	☐ Yes	☐ Yes						
	4.b. Who administered the survey? (Check all that apply)	1. MH Cons	umers	,	_					
		2. Family Me	embers							
		3. Professio								
		4. MH Clinic	cians							
		☐ 5. Non Direc	ct Treatment Staff							
		✓ 6. Other, de	scribe: nal Survey Vendor							
5.	Are Responses Anonymous, Confidential and/or Linked to oth	er Patient Databa	ses? 1. Responses a	re Anonymous						
			2. Responses a	re Confidential						
			▼ 3. Responses a	re Matched to C	Client Dat	abases				
õ.	Sample Size and Response Rate									
	6.a. How Many surveys were Attempted (sent out or calls initia	ated)?				4,0	143			
	6.b. How many survey Contacts were made? (surveys to valid p	ohone numbers or	addresses)?			4,0	143			
	6.c. How many surveys were completed? (survey forms returne	•				42				
	6.d. What was your response rate? (number of Completed sur						.3 %	0:	6	
	6.e. If you receive "blank" surveys back from consumers (surve	eys with no respon	ses on them), did you coun	it these surveys	as "comp	leted" for the ca	Ilculation of respor	nse rates? 🖸 Yes	No	
7.	Who Conducted the survey									
	7.a. SMHA Conducted or contracted for the survey (survey	done at state leve	1)		⁄es	○ No				
	7.b. Local Mental Health Providers/County mental health p (survey was done at the local or regional level)	roviders conducte	ed or contracted for the sur	vey © Y	⁄es	No				

✓ 2. Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances

7.c.	Other, describe:
0930-0168 Ар	proved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022
Footnotes:	

MHBG Table 17B (URS Table 11A) - Consumer Evaluation of Care by Consumer Characteristics: (Optional Table by Race/Ethnicity)

Adult Consumer Survey Results:

Indicators	Т	otal		n Indian or a Native	А	sian		or African erican	Othe	lawaiian or r Pacific ander	W	/hite		Than One Reported		er / Not silable	Hispai	nic Origin
	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses
1. Reporting Positively About Access.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reporting Positively About Quality and Appropriateness.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. Reporting Positively About Outcomes.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Reporting Positively about Participation in Treatment Planning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Reporting Positively about General Satisfaction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Social Connectedness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Functioning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Child/Adolescent Family Survey Results:

Indicators	т	otal		n Indian or a Native	A	sian		or African erican	Othe	lawaiian or r Pacific ander	W	/hite		Than One Reported		er / Not nilable	Hispar	nic Origin
	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses
Reporting Positively About Access.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Reporting Positively About General Satisfaction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

3. Reporting Positively About Outcomes.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reporting Positively Participation in Treatment Planning for their Children.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Reporting Positively about General Satisfaction.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Social Connectedness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Functioning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Comments on Data:

Please enter the number of persons responding positively to the questions and the number of total responses within each group. Percent positive will be calculated from these data. 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:			

MHBG Table 18 (URS Table 15) - Living Situation Profile

Number of Clients in Each Living Situation as Collected by the Most Recent Assessment in the Reporting Period All Mental Health Programs by Age, Gender, and Race/Ethnicity

Please provide unduplicated counts, if possible. This table provides an aggregate profile of persons served in the reporting year. The reporting year should be the latest state fiscal year for which data are available. This profile is based on a client's last known Living Situation.

Please report the data under the Living Situation categories listed - "Total" are calculated automatically.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2019 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2020

Private Residence	Foster Home	Residential Care	Crisis Residence	Children's Residential Treatment	Institutional Setting	Jail / Correctional Facility	Homeless / Shelter	Other	NA	Total
26,793	2,886	0	51	360	87	54	46	1,054	3,824	35,155
53,704	879	1,099	26	235	320	72	4,109	19,645	19,488	99,577
5,379	0	136	1	2	593	0	124	1,180	2,862	10,277
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
85,876	3,765	1,235	78	597	1,000	126	4,279	21,879	26,174	145,009
46,209	1,840	562	32	190	596	37	1,912	11,521	14,085	76,984
39,667	1,925	673	46	407	404	89	2,367	10,358	12,089	68,025
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
85,876	3,765	1,235	78	597	1,000	126	4,279	21,879	26,174	145,009
2,093	103	28	4	23	37	2	138	552	578	3,558
854	49	6	1	12	6	4	46	237	218	1,433
6,234	447	90	9	63	43	9	672	1,802	1,798	11,167
159	10	4	0	3	1	0	14	31	30	252
65,304	2,465	978	46	389	814	82	2,870	16,329	21,010	110,287
	685	129				29				17,798
	26,793 53,704 5,379 0 85,876 46,209 39,667 0 85,876 2,093 854 6,234	26,793 2,886 53,704 879 5,379 0 0 0 85,876 3,765 46,209 1,840 39,667 1,925 0 0 85,876 3,765 2,093 103 854 49 6,234 447 159 10 65,304 2,465	Residence Care 26,793 2,886 0 53,704 879 1,099 5,379 0 136 0 0 0 85,876 3,765 1,235 46,209 1,840 562 39,667 1,925 673 0 0 0 85,876 3,765 1,235 2,093 103 28 854 49 6 6,234 447 90 159 10 4 65,304 2,465 978	Residence Care Residence 26,793 2,886 0 51 53,704 879 1,099 26 5,379 0 136 1 0 0 0 0 85,876 3,765 1,235 78 46,209 1,840 562 32 39,667 1,925 673 46 0 0 0 0 85,876 3,765 1,235 78 2,093 103 28 4 854 49 6 1 6,234 447 90 9 159 10 4 0 65,304 2,465 978 46	Residence Care Residence Residential Treatment 26,793 2,886 0 51 360 53,704 879 1,099 26 235 5,379 0 136 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 85,876 3,765 1,235 78 597 46,209 1,840 562 32 190 39,667 1,925 673 46 407 0 0 0 0 0 0 85,876 3,765 1,235 78 597 2,093 103 28 4 23 854 49 6 1 12 6,234 447 90 9 63 159 10 4 0 3 65,304 2,465 978 46 389	Residence Care Residence Residential Treatment Setting 26,793 2,886 0 51 360 87 53,704 879 1,099 26 235 320 5,379 0 136 1 2 593 0 0 0 0 0 0 85,876 3,765 1,235 78 597 1,000 46,209 1,840 562 32 190 596 39,667 1,925 673 46 407 404 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 85,876 3,765 1,235 78 597 1,000 2,093 103 28 4 23 37 854 49 6 1 12 6 6,234 447 90 9 63 43 159 10 4 0 3 1	Residence Care Residence Treatment Residential Treatment Setting Facility Correctional Facility 26,793 2,886 0 51 360 87 54 53,704 879 1,099 26 235 320 72 5,379 0 136 1 2 593 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 85,876 3,765 1,235 78 597 1,000 126 46,209 1,840 562 32 190 596 37 39,667 1,925 673 46 407 404 89 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 85,876 3,765 1,235 78 597 1,000 126 2,093 103 28 4 23 37 2 854 49 6 1 12 6 4 <t< td=""><td>Residence Care Residence Residental Treatment Setting Facility Correctional Facility Shelter Facility 26,793 2,886 0 51 360 87 54 46 53,704 879 1,099 26 235 320 72 4,109 5,379 0 136 1 2 593 0 124 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 85,876 3,765 1,235 78 597 1,000 126 4,279 46,209 1,840 562 32 190 596 37 1,912 39,667 1,925 673 46 407 404 89 2,367 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 85,876 3,765 1,235 78 597 1,000 126 4,279 2,093 103 28<</td><td>Residence Care Residence Residential Treatment Setting Treatment Correctional Facility Shelter 26,793 2,886 0 51 360 87 54 46 1,054 53,704 879 1,099 26 235 320 72 4,109 19,645 5,379 0 136 1 2 593 0 124 1,180 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 85,876 3,765 1,235 78 597 1,000 126 4,279 21,879 46,209 1,840 562 32 190 596 37 1,912 11,521 39,667 1,925 673 46 407 404 89 2,367 10,358 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 85,876 3,765 1,235 78 597 <t< td=""><td>Residence Care Residence Interest Residential Treatment Setting Correctional Facility Shelter 26,793 2,886 0 51 360 87 54 46 1,054 3,824 53,704 879 1,099 26 233 320 72 4,109 19,643 19,488 5,379 0 136 1 2 593 0 124 1,180 2,862 0</td></t<></td></t<>	Residence Care Residence Residental Treatment Setting Facility Correctional Facility Shelter Facility 26,793 2,886 0 51 360 87 54 46 53,704 879 1,099 26 235 320 72 4,109 5,379 0 136 1 2 593 0 124 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 85,876 3,765 1,235 78 597 1,000 126 4,279 46,209 1,840 562 32 190 596 37 1,912 39,667 1,925 673 46 407 404 89 2,367 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 85,876 3,765 1,235 78 597 1,000 126 4,279 2,093 103 28<	Residence Care Residence Residential Treatment Setting Treatment Correctional Facility Shelter 26,793 2,886 0 51 360 87 54 46 1,054 53,704 879 1,099 26 235 320 72 4,109 19,645 5,379 0 136 1 2 593 0 124 1,180 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 85,876 3,765 1,235 78 597 1,000 126 4,279 21,879 46,209 1,840 562 32 190 596 37 1,912 11,521 39,667 1,925 673 46 407 404 89 2,367 10,358 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 85,876 3,765 1,235 78 597 <t< td=""><td>Residence Care Residence Interest Residential Treatment Setting Correctional Facility Shelter 26,793 2,886 0 51 360 87 54 46 1,054 3,824 53,704 879 1,099 26 233 320 72 4,109 19,643 19,488 5,379 0 136 1 2 593 0 124 1,180 2,862 0</td></t<>	Residence Care Residence Interest Residential Treatment Setting Correctional Facility Shelter 26,793 2,886 0 51 360 87 54 46 1,054 3,824 53,704 879 1,099 26 233 320 72 4,109 19,643 19,488 5,379 0 136 1 2 593 0 124 1,180 2,862 0

Printed: 8/24/2022 10:50 AM - Kansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Race/Ethnicity Not Available	163	6	0	0	0	2	0	8	38	297	514
TOTAL	85,876	3,765	1,235	78	597	1,000	126	4,279	21,879	26,174	145,009

	Private Residence	Foster Home	Residential Care	Crisis Residence	Children's Residential Treatment	Institutional Setting	Jail / Correctional Facility	Homeless / Shelter	Other	NA	Total
Hispanic or Latino Origin	6,079	304	45	7	40	30	17	169	1,436	2,684	10,811
Non Hispanic or Latino Origin	79,266	3,432	1,180	71	552	963	109	4,089	20,286	22,982	132,930
Hispanic or Latino Origin Not Available	531	29	10	0	5	7	0	21	157	508	1,268
TOTAL	85,876	3,765	1,235	78	597	1,000	126	4,279	21,879	26,174	145,009

Comments on Data:	See General Notes
How Often Does your State Measure Living Situation?	At Admission At Discharge Monthly Quarterly Other: Describe
0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022	
Eastnates	

Footnotes:

MHBG Table 19 (URS Table 16) - Profile of Adults With Serious Mental Illnesses And Children With Serious Emotional Disturbances Receiving Specific Services

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2019 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2020

Age	Adults with Serious	Mental Illnesses (SMI)			Children with Serious	Emotional Disturbance	es (SED)	
	N Receiving Supported Housing	N Receiving Supported Employment	N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment	Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served	N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care	N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy	N Receiving Family Functional Therapy	Total unduplicated N - Children with SED
0-12 years					5	0	0	7,138
13-17 years					8	0	0	5,204
18-20 years	27	27	0	152	0	0	0	1,002
21-64 years	1,766	939	0	7,095				
65-74 years	106	25	0	394				
75+ years	14	1	0	45				
Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	87
Total	1,913	992	0	7,686	13	0	0	13,431

Gender	Adults with Serious	Mental Illnesses (SMI)			Children with Serious	Emotional Disturbance	es (SED)	
	N Receiving Supported Housing	N Receiving Supported Employment	N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment	Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served	N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care	N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy	N Receiving Family Functional Therapy	Total unduplicated N - Children with SED
Female	1,001	440	0	3,980	5	0	0	5,353
Male	912	552	0	3,706	8	0	0	8,078
Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Race/Ethnicity Adults with Serious Mental Illnesses (SMI) Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)

	N Receiving Supported Housing	N Receiving Supported Employment	N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment	Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served	N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care	N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy	N Receiving Family Functional Therapy	Total unduplicated N - Children with SED
American Indian / Alaska Native	45	22	0	164	0	0	0	177
Asian	20	11	0	81	0	0	0	121
Black / African American	361	204	0	1,306	0	0	0	1,502
Hawaiian / Pacific Islander	4	3	0	18	0	0	0	19
White	1,317	636	0	5,222	0	0	0	9,339
More than one race	121	77	0	584	1	0	0	1,010
Not Available	45	39	0	311	12	0	0	1,263

Hispanic/Latino Origin	Adults with Serious	Mental Illnesses (SMI)			Children with Serious	Emotional Disturbance	es (SED)	
	N Receiving Supported Housing	N Receiving Supported Employment	N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment	Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served	N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care	N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy	N Receiving Family Functional Therapy	Total unduplicated N - Children with SED
Hispanic / Latino origin	63	51	0	378	1	0	0	1,472
Non Hispanic / Latino	1,832	929	0	7,229	12	0	0	11,834
Not Available	18	12	0	79	0	0	0	125

	Adults with Serious	Mental Illnesses (SMI)		Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)							
	N Receiving Supported Housing	N Receiving Supported Employment	N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment	Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served	N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care	N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy	N Receiving Family Functional Therapy	Total unduplicated N - Children with SED			
Do you monitor fidelity for this service?	ℂ Yes © No	● Yes ○ No	○ Yes ○ No		○ Yes • No	○ Yes ○ No	○ Yes ○ No				
IF YES,											
What fidelity measure do you use?											
Who measures fidelity?											

How often is fidelity measured?									
Is the SAMHSA EBP Toolkit used to guide EBP Implementation?	○ Yes ● No	• Yes • No	○ Yes ○ No		C Yes ● No	○ Yes ○ No	ℂ Yes ℂ No		
Have staff been specifically trained to implement the EBP?	C Yes ● No	• Yes O No	○ Yes ○ No		© Yes ● No	○ Yes ○ No	○ Yes ○ No		
Comments on Data (overall):									
Comments on Data (Supported Housing):	\cdots								
Comments on Data (Supported Employment):									
Comments on Data (Assertive Community Treatment): Kansas does not offer Assertive	Community Treatmen	t services as defined by fo	ederal guidelines.						
Comments on Data (Theraputic Foster Care):									
Comments on Data (Multi-System Therapy): Kansas does not offer Multi-System		s as defined by federal gu	uidelines.						
Comments on Data (Family Functional Therapy): Kansas does not offer Family Functional Therapy services as defined by federal guidelines.									
0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019	Expires: 04/30/2022								
Footnotes:	Footnotes:								

MHBG Table 19A (URS Table 16A) - Adults with Serious Mental Illness and Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances Receiving Evidence-Based Services for First Episode Psychosis

Program Name	Number of Adult Admissions into CSC Services During FY	Current Number of Adults with FEP Receiving CSC FEP Services	Number of Child/ Adolescents Admissions with FEP Receiving CSC FEP Services	Current number of Children/Adolecents with FEP Receiving CSC FEP Services	Did you monitor fidelity for this service?	What fidelity measure did you use?	Who measures fidelity?	How often is fidelity measured?	Has staff been specifically trained to implement the CSC EBP?
Wyandot Community Mental Health Center Early Initervention and Treatment program	19	10	6	4	Yes No	NAVIGATE		Bi-Monthly calls by providers with NAVIGATE	Yes ⑥ No ೧
Valeo Community Mental Health Center NAVIGATE program	27	17	7	5	Yes No	NAVIGATE		Bi-Monthly calls by providers with NAVIGATE	Yes No
Four County Community Mental Health Center NAVIGATE program	6	4	3	3	Yes © No ©	NAVIGATE		Bi-Monthly calls by providers with NAVIGATE	Yes No

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022							
Footnotes:							

MHBG Table 20 (URS Table 17) - Profile of Adults with Serious Mental Illnesses Receiving Specific Services during the Year

This table provides a profile of adults with serious mental illness receiving specific evidence-based practices in the reporting year. The reporting year should be the latest state fiscal year for which data are available.

	ADULTS WITH SERIOUS MENTAL ILLNESS							
	Receiving Family Psychoeducation	Receiving Integrated Treatment for Co- occurring Disorders (MH/SA)	Receiving Illness Self Management	Receiving Medication Management				
Age								
18-20	0	60	0	0				
21-64	0	1,448	0	0				
65-74	0	44	0	0				
75+	0	1	0	0				
Not Available	0	0	0	0				
TOTAL	0	1,553	0	0				

Gender								
Female	0	708	0	0				
Male	0	845	0	0				
Not Available	0	0	0	0				

Race								
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	28	0	0				
Asian	0	7	0	0				
Black or African American	0	267	0	0				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	2	0	0				
White	0	1,061	0	0				
More Than One Race	0	141	0	0				
Unknown	0	47	0	0				

Ethnicity				
Hispanic / Latino origin	0	74	0	0

Non Hispanic / Latino	0	1,466	0	0
Hispanic origin not available	0	13	0	0
	1	1		
Do you monitor fidelity for this service?	○ Yes ○ No	• Yes © No	○ Yes ○ No	C Yes C No
IF YES,				
What fidelity measure do you use?				
Who measures fidelity?				
How often is fidelity measured?				
Is the SAMHSA EBP Toolkit used to guide EBP Implementation?	○ Yes ○ No	● Yes ○ No	○ Yes ○ No	C Yes C No
Have staff been specifically trained to implement the EBP?	○ Yes ○ No	● Yes ○ No	C Yes C No	C Yes C No
Comments on Data (overall):				
Comments on Data (Family Psycho-education):				
Kansas does not offer Family Psycheducation services as de	fined by federal guideli	nes.		
Comments on Data (Integrated Treatment for Co-occurring D	isorders):			
Comments on Data (Illness Self-Management):				

Kansas does not offer Illness Self-Management & Recovery services as defined by federal guidelines.	
Comments on Data (Medication Management):	
Kansas does not offer Medication Management services as defined by federal guidelines.	
0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022	
Footnotes:	

MHBG Table 21 (URS Table 19A) - Profile of Criminal Justice or Juvenile Justice Involvement

- 1. The SAMHSA National Outcome Measure for Criminal Justice measures the change in Arrests over time.
- 2. If your SMHA has data on Arrest records from alternatives sources, you may also report that here. If you only have data for arrests for consumers in this year, please report that in the T2 columns. If you can calculate the change in Arrests from T1 to T2, please use all those columns.
- 3. Please complete the check boxes at the bottom of the table to help explain the data sources that you used to complete this table.
- 4. Please tell us anything else that would help us to understand your indicator (e.g., list survey or MIS questions; describe linking methodology and data sources; specify time period for criminal justice involvement; explain whether treatment data are collected).

Reporting Period Start Date: 07/01/2019 Reporting Period End Date: 06/30/2020

For Consumers in Service for at least 12 months

		T1			T2				T1 to T2	? Change				Assess	sment of the	Impact of S	ervices	
		or 12 mont an 1 year a	•	"T2" Mo	st Recent 1 (this year)		If Arres	sted at T1 Months	(Prior 12 s)		ot Arresto ior 12 M		Over the	last 12 m	onths, my e	ncounters wi	th the polic	e have
	Arrested	Not Arrested	No Response	Arrested	Not Arrested	No Response	# with an Arrest in T2	# with No Arrest at T2	No Response	# with an Arrest in T2	# with No Arrest at T2	No Response	# Reduced (fewer encounters)	# Stayed the Same	# Increased	# Not Applicable	No Response	Total Responses
Total	0	0	0	322	11541	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Children/Youth (under age 18)	0	0	0	194	7782	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Female	0	0	0	53	2896	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Male	0	0	0	141	4886	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gender NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Adults (age 18 and over)	0	0	0	128	3759	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Female	0	0	0	49	1613	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Male	0	0	0	79	2146	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gender NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

For Consumers Who Began Mental Health Services during the past 12 months

	T1	T2	T1 to T2 Change	Assessment of the Impact of Services

	"T1" 12 months prior to beginning services			"T2" Since Beginning Services (this year)			If Arres	If Arrested at T1 (Prior 12 Months)		If Not Arrested at T1 (Prior 12 Months)			Since starting to receive MH Services, my encounters with the police have					
	Arrested	Not Arrested	No Response	Arrested	Not Arrested	No Response	# with an Arrest in T2	# with No Arrest at T2	No Response	# with an Arrest in T2	# with No Arrest at T2	No Response	# Reduced (fewer encounters)	# Stayed the Same	# Increased	# Not Applicable	No Response	Total Responses
Total	0	0	0	739	7680	135	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Children/Youth (under age 18)	0	0	0	542	6148	135	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Female	0	0	0	181	3358	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Male	0	0	0	361	2790	69	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gender NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Adults (age 18 and over)	0	0	0	197	1532	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Female	0	0	0	68	743	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Male	0	0	0	129	789	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gender NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Please Describe the Sources of your Criminal Justice Data

Source of adult criminal justice information:		1. Consumer survey (recommended questions)		2. Other Consumer Survey: Please send copy of questions	~	3. Mental health MIS
		4. State criminal justice agency		5. Local criminal justice agency		6. Other (specify)
Sources of children/youth criminal justice information:		1. Consumer survey (recommended questions)		2. Other Consumer Survey: Please send copy of questions	~	3. Mental health MIS
		4. State criminal/juvenile justice agency		5. Local criminal/juvenile justice agency		6. Other (specify)
Measure of adult criminal justice involvement:	•	1. Arrests C 2. Other (s	specit	fy)		
Measure of children/youth criminal justice involvement:	•	1. Arrests C 2. Other (s	specif	fy)		
Mental health programs included:	~	1. Adults with SMI only	dults	(specify)		3. Both (all adults)
	~	1. Children with SED only	Childr	en (specify)		3. Both (all Children)
Region for which adult data are reported:	•	1. The whole state C 2. Less than the w	hole	state (please describe)		
Region for which children/youth data are reported:	•	1. The whole state C 2. Less than the w	/hole	state (please describe)		

What is the Total Number of Persons Surveyed or for whom Criminal Justice Data Are Reported

Child/Adolescents Adults

- 1. If data is from a survey, What is the total Number of people from which the sample was drawn?
- 2. What was your sample size? (How many individuals were selected for the sample)?
- 3. How many survey Contacts were made? (surveys to valid phone numbers or addresses)
- 4. How many surveys were completed? (survey forms returned or calls completed) If data source was not a Survey, How many persons were CJ data available for?
- 5. What was your response rate? (number of Completed surveys divided by number of Contacts)

State Comments/Notes:

Instructions: If you have responses to a survey by person not in the expected age group, you should include those responses with other responses from the survey (e.g., if a 16 or 17 year old responds to the Adult MHSIP survey, please include their responses in the Adult categories, since that was the survey they used)." to be included in BGAS form at the bottom of the page.

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Footnotes:			

MHBG Table 22 (URS Table 19B) - Profile of Change in School Attendance

- 1. The SAMHSA National Outcome Measure for School Attendance measures the change in days attended over time. The DIG Outcomes Workgroup pilot tested 3 consumer self-report items that can be used to provide this information. If your state has used the 3 Consumer Self-Report items on School Attendance, you may report them here.
- 2. If your SMHA has data on School Attendance from alternative sources, you may also report that here. If you only have data for School attendance for consumers in this year, please report that in the T2 columns. If you can calculate the change in the Attendance from T1 to T2, please use all these columns.
- 3. Please complete the check boxes at the bottom of the table to help explain the data sources that you used to complete this table.
- 4. Please tell us anything else that would help us to understand your indicator (e. g., list survey or MIS questions; describe linking methodology and data sources; specifiy time period for criminal justice involvement; explain whether treatment data are collected).

Reporting Period Start Date: 07/01/2019 Reporting Period End Date: 06/30/2020

For Consumers in Service for at least 12 months

	T1 T2				T1 to T2 Change						Impact of Services							
	# Suspended or Expelled	# Not Suspended or Expelled	No Response	# Suspended or Expelled	# Not Suspended or Expelled	No Response	# with an Expelled or Suspended in T2	# with No Suspension or Expulsion at T2	No Response	# with an Expelled or Suspended in T2	# with No Suspension or Expulsion at T2	No Response	# Greater (Improved)	# Stayed the Same	# Fewer days (gotten worse)	# Not Applicable	No Response	Total Responses
Total	0	0	0	967	7,842	140	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gender																		
Female	0	0	0	273	3,099	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Male	0	0	0	694	4,743	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gender NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age																		
Under 18	0	0	0	924	7,049	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

For Consumers Who Began Mental Health Services during the past 12 months

	T1 T2				T1 to T2 Change							Impact of Services						
	"T1" 12 months prior to beginning services			"T2" Since Beginning Services (this year)			If Suspended at T1 (Prior 12 If N Months)				If Not Suspended at T1 (Prior 12 Months)			Since starting to receive MH Services, the number of days my child was in school have				
	# Suspended or Expelled	# Not Suspended or Expelled	No Response	# Suspended or Expelled	# Not Suspended or Expelled	No Response	# with an Expelled or Suspended in T2	# with No Suspension or Expulsion at T2	No Response	# with an Expelled or Suspended in T2	# with No Suspension or Expulsion at T2	No Response	# Greater (Improved)	# Stayed the Same	# Fewer days (gotten worse)	# Not Applicable	No Response	Total Responses
Total	0	0	0	341	3,664	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gender						•												
Female	0	0	0	105	1,621	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Male	0	0	0	236	2,043	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gender NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age																		
Under 18	0	0	0	333	3,554	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Source of School Attendance Information:	1. Consumer survey (recommended items) 4. State Education Department	2. Other Survey: Please send us items 5. Local Schools/Education Agencies	3. Mental health MIS6. Other (specify)
Measure of School Attendance:	1. School Attendance	C 2. Other (specify):	
Mental health programs include:	1. Children with SED only	2. Other Children (specify)	☐ 3. Both
Region for which data are reported:	1. The whole state	C 2. Less than the whole state (please describe):	

What is the Total Number of Persons Surveyed or for whom School Attendance Data Are Reported?

Child/Adolescents:

- 1. If data is from a survey, what is the total number of people from which the sample was drawn?
- 2. What was your sample size? (How many individuals were selected for the sample)?
- 3. How many survey contacts were made? (surveys to valid phone numbers or addresses)

4. How many surveys were completed? (survey forms returned or calls completed) If data source was not a Survey, how many persons were data available for?							
5. What was your response rate? (number of Completed surveys divided by number of Contacts)							
State Comments/Notes:							
0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022							
Footnotes:							
Footnotes:							

MHBG Table 23A (URS Table 20A) - Profile of Non-Forensic (Voluntary and Civil-Involuntary) Patients Readmission to Any State Psychiatric Inpatient Hospital within 30/180 Days of Discharge

	Total number of Discharges in		lmissions to ANY pital within	Percent R	Readmitted
	Year	30 days	180 days	30 days	180 days
TOTAL	2138	209	469	9.78 %	21.94 %
Age					
)-12 years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
3-17 years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
8-20 years	121	9	18	7.44 %	14.88 %
21-64 years	1963	197	438	10.04 %	22.31 %
65-74 years	46	3	12	6.52 %	26.09 %
75+ years	8	0	1	0.00 %	12.50 %
Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Gender					
Female	842	95	208	11.28 %	24.70 %
Male	1296	114	261	8.80 %	20.14 %
Gender Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Race	·				
American Indian/Alaska Native	36	5	12	13.89 %	33.33 %
Asian	18	1	5	5.56 %	27.78 %
Black/African American	268	29	57	10.82 %	21.27 %
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
White ed: 8/24/2022 10:50 AM - Kansas - 0930-0	1593	154	347	9.67 %	21.78 % Page

More than one race	141	16	38	11.35 %	26.95 %
Race Not Available	82	4	10	4.88 %	12.20 %
Hispanic/Latino Origin					
Hispanic/Latino Origin	180	18	40	10.00 %	22.22 %
Non Hispanic/Latino	1931	191	428	9.89 %	22.16 %
Hispanic/Latino Origin Not Available	27	0	1	0.00 %	3.70 %

Are Forensic Patients Included?

○ Yes 🖲 N

Comments on Data:

Due to COVID, the alloted beds were restricted at one of the state hospitals from 90 to 70 beds to allow for single room occupancy. This can be seen in the data as the discharge numbers were decreased from the previous year.

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

Fo	O	tn	o	te	s:
	•		v	"	•

MHBG Table 23B (URS Table 20B) - Profile of Forensic Patients Readmission to Any State Psychiatric Inpatient Hospital within 30/180 Days of Discharge

	Total number of Discharges in	Number of Readmissions to ANY STATE Hospital within		Percent Readmitted	
	Year	30 days	180 days	30 days	180 days
TOTAL	316	20	43	6.33 %	13.61 %
Age					
0-12 years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
13-17 years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
18-20 years	4	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
21-64 years	303	20	42	6.60 %	13.86 %
65-74 years	7	0	1	0.00 %	14.29 %
75+ years	2	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Gender					
Female	58	0	4	0.00 %	6.90 %
Male	258	20	39	7.75 %	15.12 %
Gender Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Race					
American Indian/Alaska Native	5	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Asian	5	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Black/African American	87	7	10	8.05 %	11.49 %
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
White ed: 8/24/2022 10:50 AM - Kansas - 0930-0	176	11	28	6.25 %	15.91 % Page

More than one race	33	0	3	0.00 %	9.09 %		
Race Not Available	10	2	2	20.00 %	20.00 %		
Hispanic/Latino Origin							
Hispanic/Latino Origin	30	0	3	0.00 %	10.00 %		
Non Hispanic/Latino	275	18	38	6.55 %	13.82 %		

Comments on Data:

0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022

_						
	_		tn		+^	•
	u	u		u	Ľ	3

MHBG Table 24 (URS Table 21) - Profile of Non-Forensic (Voluntary and Civil-Involuntary Patients) Readmission to Any Psychiatric Inpatient Care Unit (State Operated or Other Psychiatric Inpatient Unit) within 30/180 Days of Discharge

	Total number of Discharges in Year	Number of Readmissions to ANY Psychiatric Inpatient Care Unit Hospital within the state		Percent Readmitted	
		30 days 180 days 30 d	30 days	180 days	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Age					
0-12 years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
13-17 years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
18-20 years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
21-64 years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
65-74 years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
75+ years	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %

Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	·				
Gender					
Female	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Male	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Gender Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Race					
American Indian/Alaska Native	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Asian	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Black/African American	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
White	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
More than one race	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Race Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
	1				

Hispanic/Latino Origin

Hispanic/Latino Origin	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Non Hispanic/Latino	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
Hispanic/Latino Origin Not Available	0	0	0	0.00 %	0.00 %
1. Does this table include readmission from state opsychiatric hospitals?	Yes © No				
2. Are Forensic Patients Included?	Yes C No				
Comments on Data:					
0930-0168 Approved: 04/19/2019 Expires: 04/30/2022					
Footnotes:					



New England Building 503 S. Kansas Ave. Topeka, KS 66603-3404

www.kdads.ks.gov

GOVERNOR LAURA Kelly

Sherman "Wes" Cole, Chairperson Ric Dalke, Vice Chairperson

November 18, 2020

To Whom It May Concern,

During the past year, the Kansas Governor's Behavioral Health Service Planning Council (GBHSPC) has continued to focus on ensuring that Behavioral Health Services are integrated and meet the needs of Kansas children, adults, and their families who are experiencing mental health, addictions, and co-occurring disorders. GBHSPC members continue to participate in subcommittees and task forces. Currently, the GBHSPC has eight active subcommittees. The subcommittees are: Housing and Homelessness, Justice Involved Youth and Adults, Supportive Employment and Vocational Services, Prevention, Children's, Rural and Frontier, Service Members Veterans and Families, and the Kansas Citizen's Committee on Alcohol and Drugs (KCC). The KCC is a unique subcommittee in that it is established under its own Kansas statute with the purpose to review the substance use disorders service system in Kansas and advise the Secretary on issues and needs for services.

As additional support for recommendations in mental health and substance use disorder programs and recovery services in Kansas with oversite reviews and recommendations for the Block Grant in Kansas, the GBHSPC will be adding three additional subcommittees this year. The new subcommittees are the Aging Populations, Problem Gambling and Other Addictions, and the Evidence Based Practices subcommittees.

Each of the Subcommittees provided their yearly reports and recommendation to the Secretary and Leadership team of the Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services and the reports are now available on the Behavioral Health Commission Website.

The reports from 2019 from the subcommittees have served as a vital source of information and for the development of recommendations for several special government taskforces in the past year. The reports are currently being utilized by the Kansas Legislature's Mental Health Modernization legislative committee for development of a ten-year planning recommendation to the legislature. The 2020 reports from the GBHSPC subcommittee will be submitted to the committee for further review and recommendations in this ongoing process.

This letter is confirmation that the Kansas FY 2021 Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant report and the FY 2021 Mental Health Block Grant report have both been reviewed and approved by the Kansas Governor's Behavioral Health Service Planning Council (GBHSPC).

Sincerely.

Sherman Wes Cole

Call

Chair, Governor's Behavioral Health Services Planning Council