Kansas

UNIFORM APPLICATION FY 2023 Mental Health Block Grant Report COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

OMB - Approved 03/31/2022 - Expires 03/31/2025 (generated on 07/25/2024 10.42.45 AM)

Center for Mental Health Services
Division of State and Community Systems Development

A. State Information

State Information

State DUNS Number

Number Q253Z5PLYFJ3

Expiration Date

I. State Agency to be the Grantee for the Block Grant

Agency Name Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services

Organizational Unit Behavioral Health Services

Mailing Address 503 S. Kansas Ave.

City Topeka Zip Code 66603

II. Contact Person for the Grantee of the Block Grant

First Name Andrew

Last Name Brown

Agency Name Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services

Mailing Address 503 S. Kansas Ave.

City Topeka Zip Code 66603

Telephone 785-291-3359

Fax 785-296-0256

Email Address Andrew.Brown@ks.gov

III. State Expenditure Period (Most recent State exependiture period that is closed out)

From 7/1/2021

To 6/30/2022

IV. Date Submitted

NOTE: This field will be automatically populated when the application is submitted.

Submission Date 11/28/2022 11:41:29 AM

Revision Date 7/25/2024 10:41:31 AM

V. Contact Person Responsible for Report Submission

First Name Jamie

Telephone 785-296-6495

Fax 785-296-0256

Email Address jamie.wallen@ks.gov

0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025

Wallen

Footnotes:

Last Name

were received.	

2023 Mental Health Block Grant Report was posted for public comment from November 18,2022 to November 25, 2022. No public comments

B. Implementation Report

MHBG Table 1 Priority Area and Annual Performance Indicators - Progress Report

Priority #: 1

Priority Area: Provide access to community-based services for children/youth with SED allowing them to remain in their homes and communities

with services and supports

Priority Type: MHS
Population(s): SED

Goal of the priority area:

Children with SED are able to remain in home by building a community-based system of care to meet their needs.

Objective:

Increase the utilization of community-based services in order to reduce the utilization of inpatient services.

Strategies to attain the goal:

Kansas does not implement any one strategy statewide. Continue to identify culturally-competent, person-centered services to meet the child's/youth's needs.

Edit Strategies to attain the objective here:

(if needed)

-Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success

Indicator #:

Indicator: Percentage of children/adolescents, age 17 or younger, that received crisis intervention

services (30) calendar days prior to a screen resulting in inpatient psychiatric admission,

excluding PRTF.

Baseline Measurement: SFY2021 Baseline: 6.26%

First-year target/outcome measurement: SFY2022: Increase percentage to 10%

Second-year target/outcome measurement: SFY2023: Increase percentage to 15%

New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed):

Data Source:

Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System).

AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans.

New Data Source(if needed):

Description of Data:

Numerator: Number of children/adolescents that received crisis services (30) calendar days prior to crisis screen resulting in admission within the reporting period. The following codes will be utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by CMS)

Denominator: Number of children/adolescents with a screen resulting in admission to inpatient within reporting period.

New Description of Data: (if needed)

*SFY2022 added code T1040

This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. For future outcomes, the social security numbers of children/youth need to be included in our data and the data we receive from our vendor to verify that we are not duplicating counts. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): Target was achieved by ensuring children experiencing behavioral or mental health symptoms were able to receive services as soon as possible. Baseline methodology was refined after this report to improve accuracy and completeness of data. Baseline should be 11.58%. Reported increase is determined by using this new baseline. SFY2021 (Baseline): 69/596 (11.58%) SFY2022 (First Year Target): 191/687 (27.80%) or 16.22% increase Indicator #: 2 Indicator: The percentage of children/adolescents that received therapeutic intervention services (includes more than initial assessment and diagnosis such as Peer Support, Psychosocial individual/group, Community Psychiatric Support and Treatment, Therapy and/or Intake) within thirty (30) calendar days prior to a screen resulting in inpatient psychiatric admission, excluding PRTF. **Baseline Measurement:** SFY2021 Baseline: 13.91%. First-year target/outcome measurement: SFY2022: Increase percentage to 20% **Second-year target/outcome measurement:** SFY2023: Increase percentage to 25% New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): **Data Source:** Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System). AIMS is a centralized database managed by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including consumer demographic information and mental health services provided by CMHCs to Kansans. New Data Source(if needed): **Description of Data:** Numerator: Number of children/adolescents receiving a therapeutic intervention within 30 calendar days prior to a screen resulting in admission within the reporting period. Service codes are as follows: 90791, H0036-HA, H0036-HB, H0036-HH, H0036-HJ, H0036-HK, H2017, H2017-HQ, H2017-TJ, H0038, H0038-HG, 90832, 90834, 90837, 90839, 90840, 90847, 90847HK, 90853, 90832, 90834, 90837, 90839, and/or 90840. (code numbers are subject to change by CMS) Denominator: Total number of children/adolescents with a screen resulting in inpatient psychiatric admission, excluding PRTF, within the reporting period. New Description of Data:(if needed) Upon review of the Data Description above, codes 90832, 90834, 90837, 90839, and 90840 were duplicated (accidentally listed twice). Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

our vendor to verify that we are not duplicating counts.

This measurement relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate.

For future outcome measures, social security numbers of children/youth need to be included in our data and the data we receive from

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

New Data is	ssues/caveats tha	t affect outcor	me measures:
Report	of Progress		oal Attainment
First Year	Target:	Achi	ieved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why	/ target was not a	chieved, and o	changes proposed to meet target:
How first ye	ear target was ach	ieved (optiona	al):
possible. B Adjusting 8.48%. SFY2021 (B	for new baseline asseline asseline): 149/596	ogy was refine of 25%, the ori	experiencing behavioral or mental health symptoms were able to receive services as soon as ed after this report to improve accuracy and completeness of data. Baseline should be 25%. Iginal goal was an increase of 6.09%. Using this methodology, goal was met by increasing by 6%) or 8.48% increase (met increase to 20%)
iority #:	2		
iority Area:	Provide acces		ty-based services for adults with SMI allowing them to remain in their homes and communities with
iority Type:	MHS		
pulation(s):	SMI		
al of the priority	area:		
dults with SMI ar	e able to maintair	n community li	ving and build a support system of care to improve their quality of life.
jective:			
icrease the utiliza	ition of communit	y-based servic	ces in order to reduce the utilization of inpatient services.
rategies to attain	the goal:		
ansas does not in eeds.	nplement any one	strategy state	wide. Continue to identify culturally-competent, person-centered services to meet the person's
lit Strategies to at f needed) —Annual Perfo	·		sure goal success—————————————————————————————————
Indicator #:			1
Indicator:			Percentage of adults, age 18 and older, that received crisis intervention services (30) calendar days prior to a screen resulting in admission to a State Mental Health Hospital (SMHH) or State Hospital Alternative (SHA) as utilized by the Osawatomie Temporary Census Diversion Funds (OTCDF).
Baseline Me	easurement:		FY2021 Baseline: 4.04%
First-year ta	arget/outcome m	easurement:	FY2022: Remain static due to COVID at 4.04%
Second-yea	r target/outcome	measurement	t: FY2023: Increase percentage to 5.5%
New Second	d-year target/out	come measure	ement(if needed):
Data Source			
AIMS is a c	entralized databa	ise managed b	g (CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) by KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including mental health services provided by the CMHCs to Kansans.

New Data Source(if needed):

	crisis services within (30) calendar days of a crisis screen resulting in admission within the e utilized: H2011, H2011-HK and/or H2011-HO (code numbers are subject to change by
Denominator: Screens resulting in admissio	n to inpatient within the reporting period.
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:
	nta, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. The substruction is about the data we receive from the vendor to
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:
Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
First Year Target:	ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	anges proposed to meet target
	erning our State Hospitals and crisis services. More Kansans are staying in their home duals decision to access services. Kansas has improved their data collection from the state record.
communities and COVID is impacting individed hospitals and diversion for a more complete	duals decision to access services. Kansas has improved their data collection from the state record.
communities and COVID is impacting individ	duals decision to access services. Kansas has improved their data collection from the state record.
communities and COVID is impacting individed hospitals and diversion for a more complete thow first year target was achieved (optional) and indicator #:	duals decision to access services. Kansas has improved their data collection from the state record. 2 The percentage of adults that received therapeutic intervention services (includes more
communities and COVID is impacting individed hospitals and diversion for a more complete who first year target was achieved (optional) andicator #: Indicator:	The percentage of adults that received therapeutic intervention services (includes more than initial assessment and diagnosis such as Peer Support, Psychosocial individual/group Community Psychiatric Support and Treatment, Therapy, and/or Intake) within seven calendar days of discharge from a State Mental Health Hospital (SMHH) or State Hospital Alternative (SHA) as utilized by the Osawatomie Temporary Census Diversion Funds
communities and COVID is impacting individed hospitals and diversion for a more complete. How first year target was achieved (optional) Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement:	The percentage of adults that received therapeutic intervention services (includes more than initial assessment and diagnosis such as Peer Support, Psychosocial individual/group Community Psychiatric Support and Treatment, Therapy, and/or Intake) within seven calendar days of discharge from a State Mental Health Hospital (SMHH) or State Hospital Alternative (SHA) as utilized by the Osawatomie Temporary Census Diversion Funds (OTCDF).
communities and COVID is impacting individed hospitals and diversion for a more complete. How first year target was achieved (optional) Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement:	The percentage of adults that received therapeutic intervention services (includes more than initial assessment and diagnosis such as Peer Support, Psychosocial individual/group Community Psychiatric Support and Treatment, Therapy, and/or Intake) within seven calendar days of discharge from a State Mental Health Hospital (SMHH) or State Hospital Alternative (SHA) as utilized by the Osawatomie Temporary Census Diversion Funds (OTCDF). FY2021 Baseline: 3.47%
communities and COVID is impacting individed hospitals and diversion for a more complete. How first year target was achieved (optional) Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement:	The percentage of adults that received therapeutic intervention services (includes more than initial assessment and diagnosis such as Peer Support, Psychosocial individual/group Community Psychiatric Support and Treatment, Therapy, and/or Intake) within seven calendar days of discharge from a State Mental Health Hospital (SMHH) or State Hospital Alternative (SHA) as utilized by the Osawatomie Temporary Census Diversion Funds (OTCDF). FY2021 Baseline: 3.47% FY2022: Increase percentage to 4% FY2023: Increase percentage to 6%
communities and COVID is impacting individed hospitals and diversion for a more complete. How first year target was achieved (optional) Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement	The percentage of adults that received therapeutic intervention services (includes more than initial assessment and diagnosis such as Peer Support, Psychosocial individual/group Community Psychiatric Support and Treatment, Therapy, and/or Intake) within seven calendar days of discharge from a State Mental Health Hospital (SMHH) or State Hospital Alternative (SHA) as utilized by the Osawatomie Temporary Census Diversion Funds (OTCDF). FY2021 Baseline: 3.47% FY2022: Increase percentage to 4% FY2023: Increase percentage to 6%
communities and COVID is impacting individed hospitals and diversion for a more complete. How first year target was achieved (optional) Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (AIMS is a centralized database managed by	The percentage of adults that received therapeutic intervention services (includes more than initial assessment and diagnosis such as Peer Support, Psychosocial individual/group Community Psychiatric Support and Treatment, Therapy, and/or Intake) within seven calendar days of discharge from a State Mental Health Hospital (SMHH) or State Hospital Alternative (SHA) as utilized by the Osawatomie Temporary Census Diversion Funds (OTCDF). FY2021 Baseline: 3.47% FY2022: Increase percentage to 4% FY2023: Increase percentage to 6%
communities and COVID is impacting individed hospitals and diversion for a more complete. How first year target was achieved (optional) Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (AIMS is a centralized database managed by consumer demographic information and me	The percentage of adults that received therapeutic intervention services (includes more than initial assessment and diagnosis such as Peer Support, Psychosocial individual/group. Community Psychiatric Support and Treatment, Therapy, and/or Intake) within seven calendar days of discharge from a State Mental Health Hospital (SMHH) or State Hospital Alternative (SHA) as utilized by the Osawatomie Temporary Census Diversion Funds (OTCDF). FY2021 Baseline: 3.47% FY2022: Increase percentage to 4% FY2023: Increase percentage to 6% Inent(if needed): CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including
communities and COVID is impacting individed hospitals and diversion for a more complete. How first year target was achieved (optional) Indicator #: Indicator: Baseline Measurement: First-year target/outcome measurement: Second-year target/outcome measurement: New Second-year target/outcome measurement: Data Source: Contractor of the Crisis Triage & Screening (AIMS is a centralized database managed by	The percentage of adults that received therapeutic intervention services (includes more than initial assessment and diagnosis such as Peer Support, Psychosocial individual/group Community Psychiatric Support and Treatment, Therapy, and/or Intake) within seven calendar days of discharge from a State Mental Health Hospital (SMHH) or State Hospital Alternative (SHA) as utilized by the Osawatomie Temporary Census Diversion Funds (OTCDF). FY2021 Baseline: 3.47% FY2022: Increase percentage to 4% FY2023: Increase percentage to 6% Inent(if needed): CTS) contract and AIMS (Automated Information Management System) KDADS and entered into by CMHC staff. AIMS tracks a comprehensive data set including

SMHH within reporting period. Services codes are as follows: 90791, H0036-HA, H0036-HB, H0036-HH, H0036-HJ, H0036-HK, H2017, $H2017-HQ,\ H2017-TJ,\ H0038,\ H0038-HG,\ 90832,\ 90834,\ 90837,\ 90839,\ 90840,\ 90847,\ 90847HK,\ 90853,\ 90832,\ 90834,\ 90837,\ 90839,\ and/or$ 90840. seven calendar from start the day after discharge however if a CMHC provides one of these services the same day as discharge then that will count toward the seven days. (code numbers are subject to changes by CMS)
Printed: 7/25/2024 10:42 AM - Kansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025

New Description	
	n of Data:(if needed)
Data issues/cave	eats that affect outcome measures:
For future outco	relies upon contractor data, so ensuring the contractor's data is complete and accurate. comes, the social security numbers of adults needs to be included in our data and the data we receive from our vendor to are not duplicating counts.
New Data issues	s/caveats that affect outcome measures:
Report of P	Progress Toward Goal Attainment
First Year Targ	get: Achieved Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why targ	get was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:
services provide continued to im communities ar	decrease of 6.12% from FY2021 at 13.89% and FY2022 at 13.04%. The workforce shortage in Kansas connected to many of ded (peer support, psychosocial individual/ group, therapy etc.) are understaffed and impacted by COVID. COVID has mpact Kansas especially concerning our State Hospitals and crisis services. More Kansans are staying in their home and COVID is impacting individuals decision to access services. Kansas has improved their data collection from the state diversion for a more complete record.
How first year ta	arget was achieved (optional):
y#: 3	3
y Area: R	Reduce underage drinking in Kansas
	SAP
	PP
f the priority area:	1:
f the priority areas	
ce the percentage	e of students in grades 6, 8, 10, and 12 that report drinking alcohol in the past 30-days.
ce the percentage ive: Diletion of commun	
ce the percentage ive: Diletion of commun	e of students in grades 6, 8, 10, and 12 that report drinking alcohol in the past 30-days. nity-level comprehensive strategic planning and provision of supports for community initiatives utilizing all steps of the Strate address underage alcohol use through the implementation of evidence-based prevention programs, practices, and police.
ive: Deletion of communitation Framework in the gies to attain the gies does not implement to create a	e of students in grades 6, 8, 10, and 12 that report drinking alcohol in the past 30-days. nity-level comprehensive strategic planning and provision of supports for community initiatives utilizing all steps of the Strate address underage alcohol use through the implementation of evidence-based prevention programs, practices, and police.
ce the percentage ive: Deletion of commun ention Framework gies to attain the g as does not implen sment to create a d. Kansas utilizes S	e of students in grades 6, 8, 10, and 12 that report drinking alcohol in the past 30-days. nity-level comprehensive strategic planning and provision of supports for community initiatives utilizing all steps of the Strate to address underage alcohol use through the implementation of evidence-based prevention programs, practices, and police goal: ment any one strategy statewide, rather community coalitions complete the planning phase of the SPF and utilize their need logic model and identify strategies that will impact their specific community needs and capacity. All strategies must be evid
ce the percentage ive: Deletion of communitation Framework gies to attain the gas does not implement to create a land. Kansas utilizes S	e of students in grades 6, 8, 10, and 12 that report drinking alcohol in the past 30-days. nity-level comprehensive strategic planning and provision of supports for community initiatives utilizing all steps of the Strate to address underage alcohol use through the implementation of evidence-based prevention programs, practices, and policing goal: ment any one strategy statewide, rather community coalitions complete the planning phase of the SPF and utilize their nee logic model and identify strategies that will impact their specific community needs and capacity. All strategies must be evid SAMHSA's definition of evidence-based when reviewing strategic plans.
ce the percentage ive: Deletion of communitation Framework gies to attain the gas does not implement to create a lad. Kansas utilizes S rategies to attain the ded)	e of students in grades 6, 8, 10, and 12 that report drinking alcohol in the past 30-days. nity-level comprehensive strategic planning and provision of supports for community initiatives utilizing all steps of the Strate to address underage alcohol use through the implementation of evidence-based prevention programs, practices, and policing goal: ment any one strategy statewide, rather community coalitions complete the planning phase of the SPF and utilize their nee logic model and identify strategies that will impact their specific community needs and capacity. All strategies must be evid SAMHSA's definition of evidence-based when reviewing strategic plans.
ce the percentage ive: Deletion of communitation Framework gies to attain the general services and the services and the services of the ser	e of students in grades 6, 8, 10, and 12 that report drinking alcohol in the past 30-days. nity-level comprehensive strategic planning and provision of supports for community initiatives utilizing all steps of the Strate to address underage alcohol use through the implementation of evidence-based prevention programs, practices, and policing strategy statewide, rather community coalitions complete the planning phase of the SPF and utilize their neelegic model and identify strategies that will impact their specific community needs and capacity. All strategies must be evid SAMHSA's definition of evidence-based when reviewing strategic plans. The objective here:
ce the percentage ive: Deletion of communitation Framework gies to attain the gas does not implement to create a lad. Kansas utilizes S rategies to attain the ded)	e of students in grades 6, 8, 10, and 12 that report drinking alcohol in the past 30-days. nity-level comprehensive strategic planning and provision of supports for community initiatives utilizing all steps of the Strate to address underage alcohol use through the implementation of evidence-based prevention programs, practices, and policing goal: ment any one strategy statewide, rather community coalitions complete the planning phase of the SPF and utilize their neer logic model and identify strategies that will impact their specific community needs and capacity. All strategies must be evid SAMHSA's definition of evidence-based when reviewing strategic plans.
ce the percentage ive: Deletion of communition Framework gies to attain the gies does not implement to create a law and the communities of the	e of students in grades 6, 8, 10, and 12 that report drinking alcohol in the past 30-days. nity-level comprehensive strategic planning and provision of supports for community initiatives utilizing all steps of the Strate of address underage alcohol use through the implementation of evidence-based prevention programs, practices, and policity and policity strategies that will impact their specific community needs and capacity. All strategies must be evided SAMHSA's definition of evidence-based when reviewing strategic plans. The objective here: 1 On how many occasions, if any, have you had beer, wine, or hard liquor in the past 30 days? (at least once)

New Second-year target/o	utcome measurement(if needed):	
Data Source:		
Kansas Communities Tha	Care (KCTC) Student Survey	
New Data Source(if neede	d):	
Description of Data:		
		administered annually free of charge throughout the state since 1994., tobacco, and other drugs. In addition, the survey provides a baseline
	•	h prosocial and antisocial behavior at the peer, school, family, and ective factors that influence behavior, attitudes, and opinions of Kansas
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that a	ffect outcome measures:	
have a goal to achieve a specific action plans desi -19, however Kansas was skip logic were made to t	io percent participation rate to ensure h gned to increase participation. Data coll able to implement online survey and m	th can put an added burden on school districts. Funded communities igh quality data; if at time they are not at 60 percent they must create ection during the 2020-2021 school year was challenged due to COVID aintained high rates of participation. Some changes, including use of clear how COVID and changes to the survey, including allowing remote a will be based on prior year (2020).
New Data issues/caveats t	hat affect outcome measures:	
participation rate to ensu- less than 60 percent of el Data collection during th administration was allow remote, non-school setti	re high-quality data to evaluate perform igible students, they are asked to create e 2020-2021 school year was challenged ed during the pandemic for the first time	ticipation. Funded communities have a goal to reach a 60 percent nance outcomes. If the coalition measurement unit (county/district) is an action plan specifically designed to increase survey participation. due to COVID-19. To accommodate the unique setting, remote e. In 2021, close to 35% of students reported they took the survey in a note administration may have impacted the data, however, the overall students participating.
Report of Progres	s Toward Goal Attainment	
First Year Target:	Achieved	Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why)
_	t achieved, and changes proposed to m	eet target:
		•
How first year target was	achieved (optional):	
based strategies to addre combination of environm pandemic and early scho substance use. In additio environments related to	ess risk factors for underage drinking, re ental, media, and prevention education of closures. Similar to what was seen acr n to the implementation of prevention s	ons were funded to develop a strategic plan and implement evidence- duce use, and prevent the onset of use. Strategic plans included a strategies. Some strategies were disrupted due to the COVID-19 oss the nation, smaller percentages of youth in Kansas reported trategies, this reduction may, in part be impacted by changing COVID ed access to substances. The Kansas Prevention Collaborative provided

Priority #:

Priority Area: Reduce adolescent marijuana use in Kansas

Priority Type: SAP Population(s): PP

Goal of the priority area:

Objective:

Completion of community-level comprehensive strategic planning and provision of supports for community initiatives utilizing all steps of the Strategic Prevention Framework to address adolescent marijuana use through the implementation of evidence-based prevention programs, practices, and policies.

Strategies to attain the goal:

Kansas does not implement any one strategy statewide, rather community coalitions complete the planning phase of the SPF and utilize their needs assessment to create a logic model and identify strategies that will impact their specific community needs and capacity. All strategies must be evidence based. Kansas utilizes SAMHSA's definition of evidence-based when reviewing strategic plans.

Edit Strategies to attain the objective her	e
(if needed)	

Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	On how many occasions, if any, have you used marijuana during the past 30 days? (at least once)
Baseline Measurement:	State = 6.8%
First-year target/outcome measurement:	State = 6.3%
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	State = 5.8%
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	ent(if needed):
Data Source:	
Kansas Communities That Care (KCTC) Stude	nt Survey

Description of Data:

The Kansas Communities That Care (KCTC) youth survey has been administered annually free of charge throughout the state since 1994. The survey tracks teen use of harmful substances such as alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs. In addition, the survey provides a baseline for teen participation in, perception of, and attitudes toward both prosocial and antisocial behavior at the peer, school, family, and community levels. It provides a measurable level of risk and protective factors that influence behavior, attitudes, and opinions of Kansas teens.

New Description of Data: (if needed)

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

The survey requires written parent consent for participation which can put an added burden on school districts. Funded communities have a goal to achieve a 60 percent participation rate to ensure high quality data; if at time they are not at 60 percent they must create specific action plans designed to increase participation. Data collection during the 2020-2021 school year was challenged due to COVID -19, however Kansas was able to implement online survey and maintained high rates of participation. Some changes, including use of skip logic were made to the survey during this time. It is not yet clear how COVID and changes to the survey, including allowing remote administration have impacted the data, thus baseline for this data will be based on prior year (2020).

New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:

The KCTC Student Survey requires written parent consent for participation. Funded communities have a goal to reach a 60 percent participation rate to ensure high-quality data to evaluate performance outcomes. If the coalition measurement unit (county/district) is less than 60 percent of eligible students, they are asked to create an action plan specifically designed to increase survey participation. Data collection during the 2020-2021 school year was challenged due to COVID-19. To accommodate the unique setting, remote administration was allowed during the pandemic for the first time. In 2021, close to 35% of students reported they took the survey in a remote, non-school setting. It is not yet clear how COVID and remote administration may have impacted the data, however, the overall participation rate remained high with over 70,000 eligible Kansas students participating.

R	eport of	Progress Toward (Goal Attainm	ent					
Fi	rst Year Tar	get: 🔽 Ac	hieved	Ε	Not A	Achieved (if not achieved,ex	plain why)		
Re	eason why ta	rget was not achieved, and	l changes proposed	d to meet targ	et:				
		target was achieved <i>(optio</i>							
t c p s	pased strateg combination op pandemic and substance use environments	Strategic Prevention Framies to address risk factors of environmental, media, and early school closures. Sime In addition to the implementated to less peer interacting, technical assistance,	for underage drinki nd prevention educ ilar to what was sec nentation of preven ction and potential	ng, reduce us ation strategi en across the tion strategie reduced acces	e, and press. Some nation, so so, this recess to subs	revent the onset of use. St e strategies were disrupted maller percentages of you duction may, in part be in ostances. The Kansas Preve	trategic plans incl d due to the COVI ath in Kansas repo npacted by chang ention Collaborati	luded a ID-19 orted ing COVID	
Priority #:		5							
Priority A	rea:	Reduce vaping in adolesce	ents and young adu	ults.					
Priority Ty	/pe:	SAP							
Populatio	n(s):	PP							
Goal of th	e priority are	a:							
Reduce p	_	f students in ages 6, 8, 10, a	and 12 that report t	there is "no ris	sk" of har	rm from taking one or two	drinks of an alco	holic beverage	
Objective:									
Prevention		unity-level comprehensive k to address vaping in yout and policies.					_		
Strategies	to attain the	goal:							
SPF and i	utilize their n	ement any one strategy sta eeds assessment to create evidence-based. Kansas u	a logic model and i	dentify strate	gies that	t identify with their particu	ılar community ne		
(if needed	ו	n the objective here: ance Indicators to me	asure goal succe	·ss-					
In	dicator #:		1						
In	dicator:		How frequent	ly have you va	ped in th	he past 30 days? (at least o	once)		
Ва	aseline Meas	urement:	State = 9.8%						
Fi	rst-year targe	et/outcome measurement:	State = 8.3%						
Se	econd-year ta	rget/outcome measureme	nt: State = 6.8%						
N	ew Second-y	ear target/outcome measu	rement(if needed):						
Da	ata Source:								
K	Kansas Comm	unities That Care (KCTC) St	udent Survey						
	ew Data Sour	cce(if needed): Data:							

The Kansas Communities That Care (KCTC) youth survey has been administered annually free of charge throughout the state since 1994.

Printed: 7/25/2024 10:42 AM - Kansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025

	ances such as alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs. In addition, the survey provides a baseline attitudes toward both prosocial and antisocial behavior at the peer, school, family, and evel of risk and protective factors that influence behavior, attitudes, and opinions of Kansas
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
	sures:
have a goal to achieve a 60 percent participal specific action plans designed to increase participal participal specific action plans designed to implement skip logic were made to the survey during the	for participation which can put an added burden on school districts. Funded communities ation rate to ensure high quality data; if at time they are not at 60 percent they must create articipation. Data collection during the 2020-2021 school year was challenged due to COVID online survey and maintained high rates of participation. Some changes, including use of his time. It is not yet clear how COVID and changes to the survey, including allowing remote baseline for this data will be based on prior year (2020).
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	measures:
participation rate to ensure high-quality data less than 60 percent of eligible students, the Data collection during the 2020-2021 school administration was allowed during the pand	arent consent for participation. Funded communities have a goal to reach a 60 percent a to evaluate performance outcomes. If the coalition measurement unit (county/district) is y are asked to create an action plan specifically designed to increase survey participation. I year was challenged due to COVID-19. To accommodate the unique setting, remote emic for the first time. In 2021, close to 35% of students reported they took the survey in a
participation rate remained high with over 7	r how COVID and remote administration may have impacted the data, however, the overall 0,000 eligible Kansas students participating.
participation rate remained high with over 7	0,000 eligible Kansas students participating.
participation rate remained high with over 7 Report of Progress Toward Go	0,000 eligible Kansas students participating. al Attainment
participation rate remained high with over 7 Report of Progress Toward Goa First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and cha	al Attainment The Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) The anges proposed to meet target:
Report of Progress Toward Goa First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and characterist year target was achieved (optional): With the rising concern of youth vaping and understand and address the concern, with a prevention strategies related to media and in	al Attainment The Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) The anges proposed to meet target:
Report of Progress Toward Goa First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics year target was achieved (optional). With the rising concern of youth vaping and understand and address the concern, with a prevention strategies related to media and it training, technical assistance, capacity-build	al Attainment The Mot Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) The anges proposed to meet target: The associated health risks, several statewide groups convened during this timeframe to particular focus on school policies and procedures. Community coalitions focused on information dissemination. The Kansas Prevention Collaborative provided statewide
Report of Progress Toward Goa First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics year target was achieved (optional). With the rising concern of youth vaping and understand and address the concern, with a prevention strategies related to media and it training, technical assistance, capacity-build indicator #:	al Attainment The Mot Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) The anges proposed to meet target: The associated health risks, several statewide groups convened during this timeframe to particular focus on school policies and procedures. Community coalitions focused on information dissemination. The Kansas Prevention Collaborative provided statewide ing activities, and support that bolstered these prevention efforts.
Report of Progress Toward Goalirst Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics year target was achieved (optional). With the rising concern of youth vaping and understand and address the concern, with a prevention strategies related to media and intraining, technical assistance, capacity-build indicator:	al Attainment The Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) The anges proposed to meet target: The associated health risks, several statewide groups convened during this timeframe to particular focus on school policies and procedures. Community coalitions focused on information dissemination. The Kansas Prevention Collaborative provided statewide ing activities, and support that bolstered these prevention efforts.
Report of Progress Toward Goalirst Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics year target was achieved (optional). With the rising concern of youth vaping and understand and address the concern, with a prevention strategies related to media and intraining, technical assistance, capacity-build indicator: Achieved Achieved (optional).	al Attainment ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: associated health risks, several statewide groups convened during this timeframe to particular focus on school policies and procedures. Community coalitions focused on information dissemination. The Kansas Prevention Collaborative provided statewide ing activities, and support that bolstered these prevention efforts. 2 During the past 30 days, how frequency have you used electronic cigarettes of Juul? (at least once)
Report of Progress Toward Goalirst Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristics year target was achieved (optional). With the rising concern of youth vaping and understand and address the concern, with a prevention strategies related to media and intraining, technical assistance, capacity-build indicator: Indicator: Report of Progress Toward Goalies and intraction with a prevention and characteristics assistance, apacity-build indicator: Report of Progress Toward Goalies and intraction with a prevention strategies was achieved (optional).	al Attainment ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: associated health risks, several statewide groups convened during this timeframe to particular focus on school policies and procedures. Community coalitions focused on information dissemination. The Kansas Prevention Collaborative provided statewide ing activities, and support that bolstered these prevention efforts. 2 During the past 30 days, how frequency have you used electronic cigarettes of Juul? (at least once) State = 29.0%
Report of Progress Toward Goa irrst Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and characteristic year target was achieved (optional): With the rising concern of youth vaping and understand and address the concern, with a prevention strategies related to media and it training, technical assistance, capacity-build indicator: Reaseline Measurement: Recond-year target/outcome measurement:	al Attainment ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: : associated health risks, several statewide groups convened during this timeframe to particular focus on school policies and procedures. Community coalitions focused on information dissemination. The Kansas Prevention Collaborative provided statewide ing activities, and support that bolstered these prevention efforts. 2 During the past 30 days, how frequency have you used electronic cigarettes of Juul? (at least once) State = 29.0% State = 28.0% State = 27.0%
Report of Progress Toward Goa irst Year Target: Achieved Achieve	al Attainment ed Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: : associated health risks, several statewide groups convened during this timeframe to particular focus on school policies and procedures. Community coalitions focused on information dissemination. The Kansas Prevention Collaborative provided statewide ing activities, and support that bolstered these prevention efforts. 2 During the past 30 days, how frequency have you used electronic cigarettes of Juul? (at least once) State = 29.0% State = 28.0% State = 27.0%

The Kansas Young Adults Survey (KYAS) is a statewide survey administered online to individuals aged 18-25. The survey asks questions about attitudes and behaviors among young adults on public health issues, including the usage of tobacco and consumption of alcohol, prescription and non-prescription drugs, as well as gambling. Demographic questions include age, education, and income level and sources, among others.

Description of Data:

New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	STIFFS:
The survey has been administered every other	er year and will use data from 2019 for baseline. The data is only available at a state level ble to measure local progress toward the goal.
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:
college and not in college. However, as a sta	valuable tool for gathering data for a difficult to reach population of young adults both in atewide survey, it and does not allow for monitoring and evaluation of individual counties 21, the survey will be administered annually which will enhance statewide monitoring.
Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
First Year Target:	ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not achieved, and cha	anges proposed to meet target:
How first year target was achieved (optional)	:
on the risk of harm from e-cigarette use. The	emic levels of e-cigarette use led to media campaigns for youth and young adults focusing e Kansas Prevention Collaborative provided statewide training, technical assistance, capacity red prevention efforts. Efforts to further reduce and prevent e-cigarette use and health tal COVID funding.
rity #: 6	
rity Area: Increase the number of preven	ntion trainings in rural Kansas communities
rity Type: SAP	
ulation(s): PP	
of the priority area:	
ADS would like to infuse more prevention knowle	edge and efforts into rural Kansas communities to increase rural coalition capacity to develop and
ctive:	
rease opportunities for rural Kansas communities	s to attend prevention training
tegies to attain the goal:	
inings will be held in rural communities and will t ff, and families.	focus on behavioral/mental health and substance use prevention for youth, young adults, school
Strategies to attain the objective here: eeded)	
Annual Performance Indicators to measu	re goal success
Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	Increase the number of prevention trainings in rural Kansas communities
Baseline Measurement:	State = Establish Baseline in 2022
First-year target/outcome measurement:	State = baseline + 20
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	State = first-year target + 10
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	nent(if needed):
Data Source:	

Description of Data: Training data will be documented in the Community Check Box. Documentation will include a description of the training, dates, attendance, location if in person or participant location if virtual, demographic rosters which include profession, age, gender, race/ethnicity, county, city. New Description of Data; finededd) Training events were documented through entry into the Community Check Box online monitoring and evaluation system. Sources of information about trainings were post event entry by staff and calendar of training schedules. Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Training contractors have not yet been selected so baseline is not able to be established this time. Performance estimates are based on desired targets across two years. Data quality in the Community Check Box will be dependent on complete and regular documentation. Training opportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes, cannot be Participation in prevention training New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Documentation of training events were good but not totally complete, so the results may understate the number of trainings. In addition, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendess, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information about location, attendess, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information was finalized and used by most providers of trainings. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: Achieved for not achieved (in not achieved explain why) Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for	Description of Data: Training data will be documented in the Community Check Box. Documentation will include a description of the training, dates, attendance, location if in person or participant location if virtual, demographic rosters which include profession, age, gender, race/ethnicity, county, city. New Description of Data:(if needed) Training events were documented through entry into the Community Check Box online monitoring and evaluation system. Sources of information about trainings were post event entry by staff and calendar of training schedules. Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Training contractors have not yet been selected so baseline is not able to be established this time. Performance estimates are based on desired targets across two years. Data quality in the Community Check Box will be dependent on complete and regular documentation. Training appentualities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes, cannot be Participation in prevention training. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Documentation of training events were good but not totally complete, so the results may understate the number of trainings. In addition, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendees, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information was finalized and used by most providers of trainings. In addition, accurate documentation required detailed information was finalized and used by most providers of trainings. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: First Year Target: Not Achieved (if not achieved explain why) Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, suppo	Co	mmunity Check Box
Training data will be documented in the Community Check Box. Documentation will include a description of the training, dates, attendance, location if in-person or participant location if virtual, demographic rosters which include profession, age, gender, race/ethnicity, county, city. New Description of Data; (if needed) Training ewents were documented through entry into the Community Check Box online monitoring and evaluation system. Sources of information about trainings were post event entry by staff and calendar of training schedules. Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Training contractors have not yet been selected so baseline is not able to be established this time. Performance estimates are based on desired targets across two years. Data quality in the Community Check Box will be dependent on complete and regular documentation. Training opportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes, cannot be Participation in prevention training New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Documentation of training events were good but not totally complete, so the results may understate the number of trainings. In addition, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendees, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation for training events were good but not totally complete, so the results may understate the number of trainings. In addition, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendees, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information was finalized and used by most providers of trainings. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: Achieved (prional): Not a Chieved (prional): Not the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitiona	Training data will be documented in the Community Check Box. Documentation will include a description of the training, dates, attendance, location if in-person or participant location if virtual, demographic rosters which include profession, age, gender, race/ethnicity, county, city. New Description of Data; (if needed) Training ewents were documented through entry into the Community Check Box online monitoring and evaluation system. Sources of information about trainings were post event entry by staff and calendar of training schedules. Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Training contractors have not yet been selected so baseline is not able to be established this time. Performance estimates are based on desired targets across two years. Data quality in the Community Check Box will be dependent on complete and regular documentation. Training opportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes, cannot be Participation in prevention training New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Documentation of training events were good but not totally complete, so the results may understate the number of trainings. In addition, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendees, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation for training events were good but not totally complete, so the results may understate the number of trainings. In addition, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendees, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information was finalized and used by most providers of trainings. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: Achieved (prional): Not a Chieved (prional): Not the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitiona	New	Data Source(if needed):
Training data will be documented in the Community Check Box. Documentation will include a description of the training, dates, attendance, location if in-person or participant location if virtual, demographic rosters which include profession, age, gender, race/ethnicity, county, city. New Description of Data; (if needed) Training events were documented through entry into the Community Check Box online monitoring and evaluation system. Sources of information about trainings were post event entry by staff and calendar of training schedules. Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Training contractors have not yet been selected so baseline is not able to be established this time. Performance estimates are based on desired targets across two years. Data quality in the Community Check Box will be dependent on complete and regular documentation. Training apportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes, cannot be Participation in prevention training New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Documentation of training events were good but not totally complete, so the results may understate the number of trainings. In addiction, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendees, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information was finalized and used by most providers of trainings. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved apploin why) Reason why target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies us that the Goad Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig. mental health training, and related prevention issues. 1 Power: Recovery Oriented System of Care 1 Type: SAT Sation(s): 1	Training data will be documented in the Community Check Box. Documentation will include a description of the training, dates, attendance, location if in-person or participant location if virtual, demographic rosters which include profession, age, gender, race/ethnicity, county, city. New Description of Data; (if needed) Training events were documented through entry into the Community Check Box online monitoring and evaluation system. Sources of information about trainings were post event entry by staff and calendar of training schedules. Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Training contractors have not yet been selected so baseline is not able to be established this time. Performance estimates are based on desired targets across two years. Data quality in the Community Check Box will be dependent on complete and regular documentation. Training apportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes, cannot be Participation in prevention training New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Documentation of training events were good but not totally complete, so the results may understate the number of trainings. In addiction, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendees, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information was finalized and used by most providers of trainings. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved apploin why) Reason why target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies us that the Goad Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig. mental health training, and related prevention issues. 1 Power: Recovery Oriented System of Care 1 Type: SAT Sation(s): 1		
attendance, location if in-person or participant location if virtual, demographic rosters which include profession, age, gender, race/ethnicity, county, city. New Description of Data:(if needed) Training events were documented through entry into the Community Check Box online monitoring and evaluation system. Sources of information about trainings were post event entry by staff and calendar of training schedules. Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Training contractors have not yet been selected so baseline is not able to be established this time. Performance estimates are based on desired largets across two years. Data quality in the Community Check Box will be dependent on complete and regular documentation. Training opportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes. cannot be Participation in prevention training New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Documentation of training events were good but not totally complete, so the results may understate the number of trainings. In addition, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendes, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information was finalized and used by most providers of trainings. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved explain why) Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: Not Soft the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. Training opport for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related preventio	attendance, location if in-person or participant location if virtual, demographic rosters which include profession, age, gender, race/ethnicity, county, city. New Description of Data:(if needed) Training events were documented through entry into the Community Check Box online monitoring and evaluation system. Sources of information about trainings were post event entry by staff and calendar of training schedules. Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Training contractors have not yet been selected so baseline is not able to be established this time. Performance estimates are based on desired largets across two years. Data quality in the Community Check Box will be dependent on complete and regular documentation. Training opportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes. cannot be Participation in prevention training New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Documentation of training events were good but not totally complete, so the results may understate the number of trainings. In addition, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendes, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information was finalized and used by most providers of trainings. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved explain why) Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: Not Soft the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. Training opport for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related preventio	Des	cription of Data:
Training events were documented through entry into the Community Check Box online monitoring and evaluation system. Sources of information about trainings were post event entry by staff and calendar of training schedules. Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Training contractors have not yet been selected so baseline is not able to be established this time. Performance estimates are based on desired targets across two years. Data quality in the Community Check Box will be dependent on complete and regular documentation. Training opportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes, cannot be Participation in prevention training. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Documentation of training events were good but not totally complete, so the results may understate the number of trainings. In addition, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendees, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information about location, attendees, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information was finalized and used by most providers of trainings. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved.explain why) Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was not achieved (aptional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. The province of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies to a the food of the provi	Training events were documented through entry into the Community Check Box online monitoring and evaluation system. Sources of information about trainings were post event entry by staff and calendar of training schedules. Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Training contractors have not yet been selected so baseline is not able to be established this time. Performance estimates are based on desired targets across two years. Data quality in the Community Check Box will be dependent on complete and regular documentation. Training opportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes, cannot be Participation in prevention training. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Documentation of training events were good but not totally complete, so the results may understate the number of trainings. In addition, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendees, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information about location, attendees, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information was finalized and used by most providers of trainings. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved.explain why) Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was not achieved (aptional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. The province of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies to a the food of the provi	atte	endance, location if in-person or participant location if virtual, demographic rosters which include profession, age, gender,
Information about trainings were post event entry by staff and calendar of training schedules. Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Training contractors have not yet been selected so baseline is not able to be established this time. Performance estimates are based on desired targets across two years. Data quality in the Community Check Box will be dependent on complete and regular documentation. Training opportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes, cannot be Participation in prevention training. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Documentation of training events were good but not totally complete, so the results may understate the number of trainings. In addition, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendees, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information was finalized and used by most providers of trainings. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved explain why) Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. If the priority area: 8 Recovery Oriented System of Care 17 ye: 8 SAT 17 the priority area: 8 Selvavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. 18 Selvavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. 19 Selvavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care.	Information about trainings were post event entry by staff and calendar of training schedules. Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Training contractors have not yet been selected so baseline is not able to be established this time. Performance estimates are based on desired targets across two years. Data quality in the Community Check Box will be dependent on complete and regular documentation. Training opportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes, cannot be Participation in prevention training. New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Documentation of training events were good but not totally complete, so the results may understate the number of trainings. In addition, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendees, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information was finalized and used by most providers of trainings. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved explain why) Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. If the priority area: 8 Recovery Oriented System of Care 17 ye: 8 SAT 17 the priority area: 8 Selvavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. 18 Selvavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. 19 Selvavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care.	New	Description of Data:(if needed)
Training contractors have not yet been selected so baseline is not able to be established this time. Performance estimates are based on desired targets across two years. Data quality in the Community Check Box will be dependent on complete and regular documentation. Training opportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes. Cannot be Participation in prevention training poportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes. Cannot be Participation in prevention training opportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes. Cannot be Participation in prevention training opportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes. Cannot be Participation in prevention stable stable and the participation of the participation in prevention stable and the participation in prevention of training sent prevention stable and used by most providers of trainings. In addition, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendes, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information was finalized and used by most providers of trainings. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: Achieved Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) Reason why target was achieved (aptional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies us as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. ### 7 Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care ### 7**Type: SAT Ition(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB ### the priority area: **Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. **Wet Carterian the objective here: **Behavioral H	Training contractors have not yet been selected so baseline is not able to be established this time. Performance estimates are based on desired targets across two years. Data quality in the Community Check Box will be dependent on complete and regular documentation. Training opportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes. Cannot be Participation in prevention training poportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes. Cannot be Participation in prevention training opportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes. Cannot be Participation in prevention training opportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes. Cannot be Participation in prevention stable stable and the participation of the participation in prevention stable and the participation in prevention of training sent prevention stable and used by most providers of trainings. In addition, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendes, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information was finalized and used by most providers of trainings. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: Achieved Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) Reason why target was achieved (aptional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies us as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. ### 7 Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care ### 7**Type: SAT Ition(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB ### the priority area: **Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. **Wet Carterian the objective here: **Behavioral H		
desired targets across two years. Data quality in the Community Check Box will be dependent on complete and regular documentation. Training opportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes. cannot be Participation in prevention training New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Documentation of training events were good but not totally complete, so the results may understate the number of trainings. In addition, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendees, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information was finalized and used by most providers of trainings. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. #: 7 Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care	desired targets across two years. Data quality in the Community Check Box will be dependent on complete and regular documentation. Training opportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes. cannot be Participation in prevention training New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Documentation of training events were good but not totally complete, so the results may understate the number of trainings. In addition, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendees, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information was finalized and used by most providers of trainings. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. #: 7 Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care	Data	a issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:
Documentation of training events were good but not totally complete, so the results may understate the number of trainings. In addition, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendees, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information was finalized and used by most providers of trainings. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. **# To y Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care **Y Type: SAT **Retion(s): **PWWDC, PWID, TB **If the priority area: Its Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. **Individuals have access to peer mentoring services **Jeise to attain the goal: **Jeise to attain the objective here: **Province:** **Individuals have access to peer mentoring services **Jeise to attain the objective here:**	Documentation of training events were good but not totally complete, so the results may understate the number of trainings. In addition, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendees, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information was finalized and used by most providers of trainings. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. **# To y Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care **Y Type: SAT **Retion(s): **PWWDC, PWID, TB **If the priority area: Its Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. **Individuals have access to peer mentoring services **Jeise to attain the goal: **Jeise to attain the objective here: **Province:** **Individuals have access to peer mentoring services **Jeise to attain the objective here:**	des Tra	sired targets across two years. Data quality in the Community Check Box will be dependent on complete and regular documentation. ining opportunities will be provided, but level of participation may not reflect desired outcomes. cannot be Participation in
addition, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendees, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information was finalized and used by most providers of trainings. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. y #: 7 y Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care y Type: SAT tition(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB f the priority area: us Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. ive: individuals have access to peer mentoring services gies to attain the goal: use the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	addition, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendees, attendance and description of the events. A common documentation form asking required information was finalized and used by most providers of trainings. Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. y #: 7 y Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care y Type: SAT tition(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB f the priority area: us Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. ive: individuals have access to peer mentoring services gies to attain the goal: use the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	New	Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:
First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. y #: 7 y Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care y Type: SAT ation(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB f the priority area: Is Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. ive: individuals have access to peer mentoring services gies to attain the goal: asse the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. y #: 7 y Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care y Type: SAT ation(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB f the priority area: Is Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. ive: individuals have access to peer mentoring services gies to attain the goal: asse the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	ado	dition, accurate documentation required detailed information about location, attendees, attendance and description of the events. A
First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. ##: 7	First Year Target: Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. ##: 7	D.	part of Bragrass Toward Coal Attainment
Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. ##: 7 **Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care #*Type: SAT **tion(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB **the priority area:* **s Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. **ve: **individuals have access to peer mentoring services **jest to attain the goal:* **see the peer mentoring workforce capacity **rategies to attain the objective here:*	Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. ##: 7 **Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care #*Type: SAT **tion(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB **the priority area:* **s Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. **ve: **individuals have access to peer mentoring services **jest to attain the goal:* **see the peer mentoring workforce capacity **rategies to attain the objective here:*	Ke	_
How first year target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. ##: 7 ##: 7 ##: 7 ## Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care #Type: SAT ## Ition(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB ## the priority area: ## Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. ## individuals have access to peer mentoring services ## ition the peer mentoring workforce capacity ## targetes to attain the objective here:	How first year target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. ##: 7 ##: 7 ##: 7 ## Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care #Type: SAT ## Ition(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB ## the priority area: ## Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. ## individuals have access to peer mentoring services ## ition the peer mentoring workforce capacity ## targetes to attain the objective here:	Firs	t Year Target: Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
How first year target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. y #: 7 y Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care y Type: SAT sation(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB if the priority area: us Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. ive: individuals have access to peer mentoring services gies to attain the goal: ase the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	How first year target was achieved (optional): Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. y #: 7 y Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care y Type: SAT stion(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB f the priority area: us Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. ive: individuals have access to peer mentoring services gies to attain the goal: ase the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	Reas	son why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:
Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. y #: 7 y Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care y Type: SAT ation(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB f the priority area: as Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. ive: individuals have access to peer mentoring services gies to attain the goal: ase the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	Most of the new and additional trainings to rural areas were provided by grantees utilizing ARPA and COVID funding for implementing prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. y #: 7 y Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care y Type: SAT ation(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB f the priority area: as Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. ive: individuals have access to peer mentoring services gies to attain the goal: ase the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:		
prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. y #: 7 y Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care y Type: SAT stion(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB f the priority area: s Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. ive: individuals have access to peer mentoring services gies to attain the goal: ase the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	prevention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity building, and support for new strategies targeting E-Cig, mental health training, and related prevention issues. y #: 7 y Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care y Type: SAT stion(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB f the priority area: s Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. ive: individuals have access to peer mentoring services gies to attain the goal: ase the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	How	first year target was achieved (optional):
y Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care y Type: SAT ntion(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB f the priority area: Is Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. ive: individuals have access to peer mentoring services gies to attain the goal: ase the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	y Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care y Type: SAT ntion(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB f the priority area: Is Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. ive: individuals have access to peer mentoring services gies to attain the goal: ase the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	pre	evention strategies such as the Good Behavior Game in schools and homes, support for transitional youth, coalition capacity
Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care Arype: SAT Ition(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB If the priority area: Is Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. Ive: Individuals have access to peer mentoring services Juies to attain the goal: Issee the peer mentoring workforce capacity Frategies to attain the objective here:	Area: Recovery Oriented System of Care Arype: SAT Ition(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB If the priority area: Is Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. Ive: Individuals have access to peer mentoring services Juies to attain the goal: Issee the peer mentoring workforce capacity Frategies to attain the objective here:		
A Type: SAT Intion(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB If the priority area: Is Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. Individuals have access to peer mentoring services Individuals have access to peer mentoring services Inse the peer mentoring workforce capacity Interpretation of the priority area.	A Type: SAT Intion(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB If the priority area: Is Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. Individuals have access to peer mentoring services Individuals have access to peer mentoring services Inse the peer mentoring workforce capacity Interpretation of the priority area.	y #:	7
tion(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB f the priority area: s Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. ve: individuals have access to peer mentoring services gies to attain the goal: see the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	tion(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB f the priority area: s Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. ve: individuals have access to peer mentoring services gies to attain the goal: see the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	/ Area	Recovery Oriented System of Care
f the priority area: s Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. ive: individuals have access to peer mentoring services jies to attain the goal: isse the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	f the priority area: s Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. ive: individuals have access to peer mentoring services jies to attain the goal: isse the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	, Тур	e: SAT
s Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. ive: individuals have access to peer mentoring services gies to attain the goal: ase the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	s Behavioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care. ive: individuals have access to peer mentoring services gies to attain the goal: ase the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	tion(s): PWWDC, PWID, TB
ve: individuals have access to peer mentoring services jies to attain the goal: use the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	ve: individuals have access to peer mentoring services jies to attain the goal: use the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	f the [priority area:
individuals have access to peer mentoring services lies to attain the goal: use the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	individuals have access to peer mentoring services lies to attain the goal: use the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	s Beh	avioral Health System supports a recovery-oriented system of care.
se the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	se the peer mentoring workforce capacity rategies to attain the objective here:	ve:	
rategies to attain the objective here:	rategies to attain the objective here:	indivi	iduals have access to peer mentoring services
rategies to attain the objective here:	rategies to attain the objective here:	jies to	o attain the goal:
-	-	se th	e peer mentoring workforce capacity
		_	ies to attain the objective here:

Indicator:	Number of Kansas Certified Peer Mentors increase
Baseline Measurement:	baseline in FY20 of 9 Peer Mentors
First-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase Kansas Certified Peer Mentors by 10% in FY22
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	Increase Kansas Certified Peer Mentors by 10% in FY23
New Second-year target/outcome measurem Data Source:	ent(if needed):
Adult Consumer Affairs tracking spreadshee Mentors	t of the number of people trained to be Kansas Certified Peer Mentors and in-training Peer
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
New Description of Data:(if needed)	sures:
New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures: imeliness
New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea Training contractor reporting accuracy and t	sures: imeliness
New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea Training contractor reporting accuracy and the contractor outcome is the contractor outcome.	sures: imeliness measures:
New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea Training contractor reporting accuracy and to the contract of Progress Toward Good	sures: imeliness measures: al Attainment
New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea Training contractor reporting accuracy and to the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of Progress Toward Good	sures: imeliness measures: al Attainment ed
New Description of Data: (if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea Training contractor reporting accuracy and to New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Government of P	imeliness measures: al Attainment ed

Priority #: 8

Priority Area: Expand access to youth experiencing their first psychotic episode and offer treatment and support within two years of the

episode

Priority Type: MHS

Population(s): ESMI

Goal of the priority area:

Youth who have experienced their first psychotic episode are free from the adverse effects of their mental illness

Objective:

Increase the number of youth who receive early intervention increasing their chance of successful recovery

Strategies to attain the goal:

Identify opportunities to increase access to services for ESMI

Examine adequacy of ESMI-related service rates

Establish care coordinator and case management requirements for our contractors that are provided through treatment and continuing care Identify potential partners who may have contact with young people in this age group to educate and build awareness around early intervention and

Edit Strategies to attain the objective here: (if needed) Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success Indicator #: ESMI served with Block Grant funded intervention Indicator: **Baseline Measurement:** Number of youth experiencing ESMI served with Block Grant funded intervention in SFY21 5% increase in number of youth experiencing ESMI served with Block Grant funded First-year target/outcome measurement: intervention in SFY22 Second-year target/outcome measurement: 5% increase in number of youth experiencing ESMI served with Block Grant funded intervention in SFY23 New Second-year target/outcome measurement(if needed): **Data Source:** Quarterly provider reports New Data Source(if needed): **Description of Data:** Proportion of total number of youth experiencing ESMI served with Block Grant funded intervention in a given State Fiscal Year (SFY) New Description of Data:(if needed) Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Individuals not correctly identified as being ESMI New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures: Report of Progress Toward Goal Attainment Achieved Not Achieved (if not achieved, explain why) First Year Target: Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target: How first year target was achieved (optional): SFY21 (Baseline): 55 youth SFY22: 61 youth (increase of 10.9%) 0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025 **Footnotes:**

treatment availability such as the Kansas Department of Children and Families, colleges, schools and social media

COVID Testing and Mitigation Program Report for the Community Services Mental Health Block Grant (MHBG) for Federal Fiscal Year Ending September 30, 2022 Due Date: December 30, 2022

For the Federal Fiscal Year ending September 30, 2022, please upload a Word or PDF document in Table 1 of the FY23 MHBG Report on the COVID Testing and Mitigation activities and expenditures by answering the following question, due by December 30, 2022.

List the items and activities of expenditures completed from October 1, 2021 thru September 30, 2022 (if no activities were completed, note here with Not Applicable)

COVID Testing and Mitigation Program Report for STATE				
Item/Activity Amount of Expenditure				
Not Applicable	Not Applicable			

As outlined in the table below, Kansas has sent in a request to alter the plan to utilize and allocate the COVID-19 Testing and Mitigation Funds to Ernest Fields on November 30, 2022. Kansas is awaiting our request for the new implementation of our plan but there were no funds expended by the reporting period end date of this report, September 30, 2022.

MHBG Kansas Strategy for COVID Mitigation	Amount
Contractual: Contract with CAC and CROs to implement	\$330,063
strategies as identified by the State and the contractor	
Other: Marketing outreach activities	\$28,701
	\$358,764

MHBG Table 2A (URS Table 7) - State Agency Expenditures Report

This table describes expenditures for public mental health services provided by mental health providers funded by the state mental health agency by source of funding.

Reporting Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Reporting Period End Date: 6/30/2022

Activity (See instructions for using				Sourc	e of Funds				
Row 1.)	A. Substance Abuse Block Grant	B. Mental Health Block Grant	C. Medicaid (Federal State & Local)	D. Other Federal Funds(e.g. ACF (TANF), CDC, CMS (Medicare), SAMSHSA, etc.)	E. State Funds	F. Local Funds (excluding local Medicaid)	G. Other	H. COVID- 19 Relief Funds (MHBG) 1	I. ARP Funds (MHBG) ²
Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment									
a. Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children									
b. All Other									
2. Primary Prevention ³		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$206,338	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3. Evidence-Based Practices for Early Serious Mental Illness including First Episode Psychosis (10 percent of total award MHBG) ⁴		\$594,501	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4. Tuberculosis Services									
5. Early Intervention Services for HIV									
6. State Hospital			\$17,968,594	\$0	\$109,797,943	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7. Other Psychiatric Inpatient Care			\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
8. Other 24-Hour Care (Residential Care)		\$0	\$49,317,806	\$0	\$23,641,868	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9. Ambulatory/Community Non- 24 Hour Care		\$3,906,286	\$183,536,561	\$376,401	\$56,892,702	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
10. Administration (Excluding Program and Provider Level) ⁵		\$97,752	\$725,879	\$94,631	\$439,635	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
11. Crisis Services (5 percent set -aside) ⁶		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
12. Total	\$0	\$4,598,539	\$251,548,840	\$471,032	\$190,978,486	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

¹The 24-month expenditure period for the COVID-19 Relief supplemental funding is **March 15, 2021 – March 14, 2023**, which is different from the expenditure period for the "standard" MHBG. Per the instructions, the standard MHBG expenditures captured in Columns A – G are for the state expenditure period of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022, for most states. Column H should reflect the spending for the state reporting period. The total may reflect the COVID-19 Relief allotment portion used during the state reporting period.

²The expenditure period for The American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (ARP) supplemental funding is **September 1, 2021 – September 30, 2025**, which is different from the expenditure period for the "standard" MHBG. The standard MHBG expenditures captured in Columns A – G are for the state expenditure period of July 1, 2021 – June 30, 2022, for most states." Column I should reflect the spending for the state reporting period. The total may reflect the ARP allotment portion used during the state reporting period.

³While the state may use state or other funding for these services, the MHBG funds must be directed toward adults with SMI or children with SED.

⁴Column 3B should include Early Serious Mental Illness programs funded through MHBG set aside.

⁵Per statute Administrative expenditures cannot exceed 5% of the fiscal year award.

⁶Row 11 should include Crisis Services programs funded through different funding sources, including the MHBG set aside. States may expend more than 5 percent of their MHBG allocation.

Footnotes:	
------------	--

MHBG Table 2B (URS Table 7A) - MHBG State Agency First Episode Psychosis Expenditure Report

Reporting Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Reporting Period End Date: 6/30/2022

Activity (See instructions for using Row 1.)	Source of Funds						
	A. Mental Health Block Grant	B. Medicaid (Federal State & Local)	C. Other Federal Funds (e.g. ACF (TANF), CDC, CMS (Medicare), SAMSHSA, etc.)	D. State Funds	E. Local Funds (excluding local Medicaid)	F. Other	
1. CSC-Evidences-Based Practices for First Episode Psychosis ¹	\$594,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Training for CSC Practices	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Planning for CSC Practices	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
2. Other Early Serious Mental Illnesses programs (other than FEP or partial CSC programs)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
3. Training for ESMI	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
4. Planning for ESMI	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
5. Total	\$594,500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Comments on Data:							

¹When reporting CSC- Evidence Based Practices for First Episode Psychosis, report only those programs that are providing all the components of a CSC model. If the state uses only certain components of a CSC model specifically for FEP, please report them in row 2.

Note, The Totals for this table should equal the amounts reported on Row 3 (Evidence-Based Practices for Early Serious Mental Illness) on MHBG Table 2a (URS Table 7a)

|--|

MHBG Table 2C (URS Table 7B) - MHBG State Agency Crisis Services Expenditures Report

Reporting Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Reporting Period End Date: 6/30/2022

Source of Funds							
Services	A. Mental Health Block Grant	B. Medicaid (Federal State & Local)	C. Other Federal Funds(e.g. ACF (TANF), CDC, CMS (Medicare), SAMSHSA, etc.)	D. State Funds	E. Local Funds (excluding local Medicaid)	F. Other	G. Total
1. Call Center	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. 24/7 Mobile Crisis Team	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3. Crisis Stabilization Programs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4. Training and Technical Assistance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5. Strategic Planning and Coordination	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Comments on Data:

Mental Health Block Grant funding includes one-time funding i.e. 5% Crisis Set-Aside, and ARP sources. If the funding source is not captured in the table, please report the name of 'Other' funding per service under the commetns section.

Footnotes:			

MHBG Table 3 - Set-aside for Children's Mental Health Services

Reporting Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Reporting Period End Date: 6/30/2022

Statewide Expenditures for Children's Mental Health Services						
Actual SFY 1994	Actual SFY 2021	Estimated/Actual SFY 2022	Expense Type			
\$2,843,496	\$13,472,453	\$22,518,320	Actual Estimated			

	If <u>estimated</u> expenditures are provided, please indicate when <u>actual</u> expenditure data will be submitted to SAMHSA:	
--	---	--

States and jurisdictions are required not to spend less than the amount expended in FY 1994.

0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025

Footnotes:

The state changed the methodology for determining the % of children served by the State's uninsured/underinsured Mental Health Program. The revised methodology uses actual claims data to determine the % of children served vs a program assumption in the past.

MHBG Table 4 (URS Table 8) - Profile of Community Mental Health Block Grant Expenditures for Non-Direct Service Activities

This table is used to describe the use of MHBG funds for non-direct service activities that are sponsored, or conducted, by the State Mental Health Authority.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2022

Activity	A. MHBG	B. COVID-19 Funds ^a	C. ARP Funds ^b
1. Information Systems	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. Infrastructure Support	\$ <u>0</u>	\$0	\$ <u>0</u>
3. Partnerships, Community Outreach and Needs Assessment	\$ <u>0</u>	\$0	\$0
4. Planning Council Activities	\$ <mark>0</mark>	\$0	\$0
5. Quality Assurance and Improvement	\$ <mark>0</mark>	\$0	\$0
6. Research and Evaluation	\$ <mark>0</mark>	\$0	\$0
7. Training and Education	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Non-Direct Services	\$0	\$0	\$0
Comments on Data:			

5. 55/5 1/2525			
	5, 65, 5, 7, 25, 25	5. 05/5 / 12025	5. 05/5 // 25/25

^a The 24-month expenditure period for the COVID-19 Relief supplemental funding is **March 15, 2021 – March 14, 2023,** which is different from the expenditure period for the "standard" MHBG. Per the instructions, the standard MHBG expenditures captured in Columns A - C are for the state expenditure period of July 1 – June 30 of the same year for most states. Column B should reflect the spending for the state reporting period. The total may reflect the COVID-19 Relief allotment portion used during the state budget reporting period.

^b The expenditure period for The American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (ARP) supplemental funding is **September 1, 2021 – September 1, 2025**, which is different from the expenditure period for the "standard" MHBG. Per the instructions, the standard MHBG expenditures captured in Columns A – C are for the state expenditure period of July 1 – June 30 of the same year, for most states. Column C should reflect the spending for the state reporting period. The total may reflect the ARP allotment portion used during the state reporting period.

MHBG Table 5 (URS Table 10) - Profiles of Agencies Receiving Block Grant Funds Directly from the State MHA

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2022

									Source of Funds		
Entity Number	Area Served (Statewide or Sub- State Planning Area)	Provider/Program Name	Street Address	City	State	Zip	Total Block Grant Funds	Adults with Serious Mental Illness	Children with Serious Emotional Disturbance	Set-aside for FEP Programs	Set-aside for ESMI Programs
1	Northeast	BERT NASH COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH	200 Maine Street	Lawrence	KS	66044	\$116,120.00	\$116,120.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
2	Central	CARING PLACE INC	PO Box 334	Newton	KS	67114	\$44,620.00	\$44,620.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
3	Central	CENTRAL KANSAS MENTAL HEALTH CENTER	809 Elmhurst Boulevard	Salina	KS	67401	\$20,998.00	\$20,998.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
4	South West	COMPASS BEHAVIORAL HEALTH	404 North Baughman Street	Ulysses	KS	67880	\$126,131.00	\$126,131.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
5	South East	CRAWFORD COUNTY	3101 Michigan Street	Pittsburg	KS	66762	\$44,755.00	\$44,755.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
6	Northeast	CURRUS INC	3512 SW Fairlawn Rd, Ste 300	Topeka	KS	66614	\$329,774.00	\$329,774.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
7	West	DODGE CITY PEACEFUL TRIBE INC	PO 1111	Dodge City	KS	67801	\$52,827.00	\$52,827.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8	Northeast	ELIZABETH LAYTON CENTER INC	2537 Eisenhower Road	Ottawa	KS	66067	\$32,031.00	\$32,031.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
9	South East	FOUR COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH CENTER INC	3751 West Main Street	Independence	KS	67301	\$213,214.00	\$127,051.00	\$0.00	\$86,163.00	\$0.00
10	North West	HIGH PLAINS INDEPENDENCE INC	PO Box 956	Hays	KS	67601	\$120,808.00	\$120,808.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
11	North West	HIGH PLAINS MENTAL HEALTH CENTER	208 East 7th Street	Hays	KS	67601	\$55,301.00	\$55,301.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
12	West	HORIZONS MENTAL HEALTH CENTER INC	1600 North Lorraine Street	Hutchinson	KS	67501	\$45,699.00	\$45,699.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
13	Southwest	IROQUOIS CENTER FOR HUMAN	610 East Grant Avenue	Greensburg	KS	67054	\$8,897.00	\$8,897.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
14	Northeast	JOHNSON COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH	6000 Lamar Avenue	Mission	KS	66202	\$388,530.00	\$269,626.00	\$0.00	\$118,904.00	\$0.00
15	South Central	JOURNEY TO RECOVERY INC	127 E 6th Avenue	Eldorado	KS	67402	\$68,361.00	\$68,361.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
16	South Central	KANSAS CONSUMER ADVISORY COUNCIL	358 N Main ST	Wichita	KS	67202	\$106,025.00	\$106,025.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
17		KANSAS SUICIDE PREVENTION H1 - KSPHQ					\$39,800.00	\$39,800.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
18	Northeast	KANZA MENTAL HEALTH & GUIDANCE CENTER	909 South 2nd Street	Hiawatha	KS	66434	\$34,977.00	\$34,977.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
19	Northeast	KEYS FOR NETWORKING INC	900 S Kansas Ave	Topeka	KS	66612	\$36,842.00	\$0.00	\$36,842.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
20	Southeast	LABETTE CENTER FOR MENTAL HEALTH	1730 Belmont Avenue	Parsons	KS	67357	\$25,665.00	\$25,665.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
21	Central	MENTAL HEALTH CENTER OF EAST CENTRAL	1000 Lincoln Street	Emporia	KS	66801	\$30,137.00	\$30,137.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
22	North Central	MORNING STAR INC	1018 Poyntz Ave	Manhattan	KS	66502	\$150,580.00	\$150,580.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
23	Northeast	NAMI KANSAS INC	501 SW Jackson ST	Shawnee	KS	66601	\$35,000.00	\$35,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
24	Northeast	PAWNEE MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES INC	2001 Claflin Road	Manhattan	KS	66502	\$99,233.00	\$99,233.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
25	Central	PRAIRIE VIEW INC	1901 East 1st Street	Newton	KS	67114	\$170,169.00	\$0.00	\$170,169.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
26	South Central	PROJECT INDEPENDENCE OF	PO Box 48369	Wichita	KS	67201	\$95,438.00	\$95,438.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

		SEDGWICK									
27	South Central	SEDGWICK COUNTY	271 West 3rd ST	Wichita	KS	67202	\$301,205.00	\$301,205.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
28	Northeast	SOCIALIZATON INDEPENDENCE	PO Box 171856	Kansas City	KS	66117	\$220,334.00	\$220,334.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
29	South Central	SOUTH CENTRAL MENTAL HEALTH	524 North Main Street	El Dorado	KS	67042	\$13,903.00	\$13,903.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
30	Southeast	SOUTHEAST KANSAS MENTAL HEALTH CENTER	1106 South 9th Street	Humboldt	KS	66748	\$30,843.00	\$30,843.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
31	Southwest	SOUTHWEST GUIDANCE CTR	333 West 15th Street	Liberal	KS	67901	\$8,897.00	\$8,897.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
32	Southeast	SPRING RIVER MENTAL HEALTH & WELLNESS IN	6610 SE Quakervale Road	Riverton	KS	66770	\$31,122.00	\$31,122.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
33	South Central	SUMNER COUNTY MENTAL HEALTH CENTER	1601 West 16th Street	Wellington	KS	67152	\$15,570.00	\$15,570.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
34	Northeast	SUNSHINE CONNECTION	PO Box 4811	Topeka	KS	66604	\$141,200.00	\$141,200.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
35	Central	THE CENTER FOR COUNSELING	5815 Broadway Street	Great Bend	KS	67530	\$16,298.00	\$16,298.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
36	Central	THE CENTER FOR COUNSELING AND	5815 Broadway Street	Great Bend	KS	67530	\$16,296.00	\$16,296.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
37	Northeast	THE GUIDANCE CENTER	500 Limit Street	Leavenworth	KS	66048	\$69,861.00	\$69,861.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
38	Northeast	VALEO BEHAVIORAL HEALTH CARE	5401 SW 7th Street	Topeka	KS	66606	\$496,641.00	\$398,749.00	\$0.00	\$97,892.00	\$0.00
39	Northwest	WINGS UPON THE PRAIRIE INC	PO Box 385	Colby	KS	67701	\$87,376.00	\$87,376.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
40	Northeast	WYANDOT CENTER FOR COMMUNITY	757 Armstrong Avenue	Kansas City	KS	66101	\$559,309.00	\$267,767.00	\$0.00	\$291,542.00	\$0.00
Total							\$4,500,787.00	\$3,699,275.00	\$207,011.00	\$594,501.00	\$0.00

0930-0168	Approved:	03/31	/2022	Expires:	03/31/2025

Footnotes:	
------------	--

MHBG Table 6 - Maintenance of Effort for State Expenditures on Mental Health Services

	Period				Expenditures	<u>B1 (2020) + B2 (2021)</u> 2
	(A)				(B)	(C)
	SFY 2020 (1)				\$134,370,750	
	SFY 2021 (2)				\$131,976,527	\$133,173,638
	SFY 2022 (3)				\$133,579,805	
Are	the expenditure amount	s reporte	d in Col	umn B "	"actual" expenditures for the State fisca	ıl years involved?
	SFY 2020	Yes		No	· 	,
	SFY 2021	Yes	Х	No		
	SFY 2022	Yes	X	No		
If e	stimated expenditures ar	e provide	ed, plea:	se indica	cate when actual expenditure data will b	pe submitted to SAMHSA:
093	0-0168 Approved: 03/31/	2022 Expi	res: 03/	31/2025	5	
Fo	ootnotes:					

MHBG Table 7 (URS Table 1) - Profile of the State Population by Diagnosis

This table summarizes the estimates of adults residing within the state with serious mental illness (SMI) and children residing within the state with serious emotional disturbances (SED). The table calls for estimates for two-time periods, one for the report year and one for three years into the future. CMHS will provide this data to states based on the standardized methodology developed and published in the Federal Register and the state level estimates for both adults with SMI and children with SED.

Expenditure Period Start Date: Expenditure Period End Date:		
	Current Report Year	Three Years Forward
Adults with SMI		
Children with SED		
0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025		
Footnotes:		

MHBG Table 8A and MHBG Table 8B (URS Tables 2A and 2B) - Profile of Persons Served, All Programs by Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

This table provides an aggregate profile of persons in the reporting year. The reporting year should be the latest state fiscal year for which data are available. This profile is based on a client receiving services in programs provided or funded by the state mental health agency. The client profile takes into account all institutional and community services for all such programs. Please provide unduplicated counts if possible.

Table 8A

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2022

		Т	otal			ican lı aska N	ndian or		Asia	1		k or A	frican			aiian or : Islander		Whit	te		Than C	ne Race	Race	Not A	vailable					
	Female	Male	Not Available	Total	Female			Female		Not Available	Female			Female			Female	Male	Not Available	Female		Not Available	Female		Not Available					
0-12 years	4,590	7,246	0	11,840	71	111	0	0	31	40	0	0	421	698	0	0	6	15	0	0	3,496	5,389	3	0	141	251	0 0	424	742	1 0
13-17 years	5,916	4,947	0	10,895	95	96	1	0	59	47	0	0	454	434	0	0	15	5	0	0	4,393	3,666	25	0	209	174	1 0	691	525	5 0
18-20 years	2,192	1,508	0	3,731	28	28	1	0	20	23	0	0	149	118	0	0	3	2	0	0	1,684	1,098	24	0	69	78	3 0	239	161	3 0
21-24 years	2,041	1,506	0	3,579	31	35	0	0	33	19	0	0	148	143	0	0	6	2	0	0	1,539	1,072	28	0	90	70	1 0	194	165	3 0
25-44 years	8,297	7,349	0	15,699	161	121	2	0	74	50	0	0	635	766	2	0	18	19	0	0	6,455	5,467	42	0	397	323	5 0	557	603	2 0
45-64 years	5,189	4,129	0	9,327	118	92	0	0	36	31	0	0	462	511	0	0	8	8	0	0	4,034	3,090	5	0	202	158	3 0	329	239	1 0
65-74 years	1,076	618	0	1,698	28	9	0	0	6	2	0	0	69	53	0	0	3	1	0	0	880	481	3	0	44	27	0 0	46	45	1 0
75 and older	375	183	0	558	2	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	11	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	321	148	0	0	10	3	0 0	28	24	0 0
Age not Available	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	83	0	0	0 2	0	0	0 7
Total	29,676	27,486	100	57,427	534	493	4	3	262	212	0	2	2,349	2,729	2	3	59	53	0	0	22,802	20,411	130	83	1,162	1,084	13 2	2,508	2,504	16 7
Pregnant Women	0	0	0	0	0			0			0			0			0			0			0							

Are these numbers unduplicated?		Unduplicated	and Co	Duplicated : between Hospitals ommunity	V	Duplicated : Among Community Programs
	□ and ac	Duplicated between children		Other : describe		

Comments on Data (for Age):	
Comments on Data (for Gender):	
Comments on Data (for Race/Ethnicity):	
Comments on Data (Overall):	

Table 8BOf the total persons served, please indicate the age, gender and the number of persons who are Hispanic/Latino or not Hispanic/Latino. Total persons served would be the total as indicated in Table 8A.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2022

	Not H	ispanic or	Latino	His	panic or La	ntino	Hispanio	or Latino O Available	rigin Not		To	otal				
	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Female	Male	Not Available	Total			
0-12 years	4,076	6,400	4	0	491	804	0	0	23	42	0	0	4,590	7,246	0	11,840
13-17 years	5,006	4,263	26	0	811	613	2	0	99	71	4	0	5,916	4,947	0	10,895
18-20 years	1,890	1,290	27	0	269	186	1	0	33	32	3	0	2,192	1,508	0	3,731
21-24 years	1,780	1,307	28	0	204	153	4	0	57	46	0	0	2,041	1,506	0	3,579
25-44 years	7,625	6,644	48	0	562	509	2	0	110	196	3	0	8,297	7,349	0	15,699
45-64 years	4,870	3,861	7	0	228	167	1	0	91	101	1	0	5,189	4,129	0	9,327
65-74 years	1,036	573	4	0	27	27	0	0	13	18	0	0	1,076	618	0	1,698
75 and older	354	169	0	0	10	2	0	0	11	12	0	0	375	183	0	558
Age not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	0	0	100	100
Total	26,637	24,507	144	0	2,602	2,461	10	0	437	518	11	100	29,676	27,486	100	57,427
Pregnant Women	0			0			0			0	0	0	0			

1 1		1	1	1	1	 		
Comments on Data (for Age):								
Comments on Data (for Gender):								
Comments on Data (for Ethnicity):								
Comments on Data (Overall):								
0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 0.	3/31/2025							-

MHBG Table 9 (URS Table 3) - Profile of Persons served in the Community Mental Health Settings, State Psychiatric Hospitals and Other Settings

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2022

This table provides a profile for the clients that received public funded mental health services in community mental health settings, in state psychiatric hospitals, in other psychiatric inpatient programs, and in residential treatment centers for children.

Note: Clients can be duplicated between Rows: e.g., The same client may be served in both state psychiatric hospitals and community mental health centers during the same year and thus would be reported in counts for both rows.

Service Setting		Age ()-17	3,		Age 1	8-20			Age 2	1-64			Age	65+	3	А	ge Not /	Available				Total		
	Female	Male	Other	N/A	Female	Male	Other	N/A	Female	Male	Other	N/A	Female	Male	Other	N/A	Female	Male	Other	N/A	Female	Male	Other	N/A	Total
Community Mental Health Programs	10,506	12,193	36	0	2,192	1,508	31	0	15,527	12,984	94	0	1,451	801	4	0	0	0	0	100	29,676	27,486	165	100	57,427
State Psychiatric Hospitals	0	0	0	0	36	84	0	0	771	1,533	0	0	37	112	0	0	0	0	0	0	844	1,729	0	0	2,573
Other Psychiatric Inpatient	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Residential Treatment Centers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Institutions in the Justice System	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

in the Justice System	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Comments o	n Data (fo	or Age):																							
Comments o	n Data (fo	or Gendei	r):																						
Comments or	n Data (O	verall):																							
0930-0168 Ap	proved: 0	3/31/202	2 Expires:	03/31/2	025																				
Footnotes	:																								

MHBG Table 10A and MHBG Table 10B (URS Tables 5A and 5B) - Profile of Clients by Type of Funding Support

Table 10A

This table provides a summary of clients by Medicaid coverage. Since the focus of the reporting is on clients of the public mental health service delivery system, this table focuses on the clientele serviced by public programs that are funded or operated by the State Mental Health Authority. Persons are to be counted in the Medicaid row if they received a service reimbursable through Medicaid.

Please note that the same person may be served in both Medicaid and Non-Medicaid programs during the same reporting period.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2022

			Total					Indian Native			Asi	ian		В	lack or Amer		1			waiiar fic Isla			Whi	te		Mor	e Than Repo		ace	Rac	e Not A	vailal	ole
	Female	Male	Other	Not Avail	Total	Female	Male	Other	Not Avail	Female	Male	Other	Not Avail	Female	Male	Other	Not Avail	Female	Male	Other	Not Avail	Female	Male	Other	Not Avail	Female	Male		Not Avail	Female	Male	Other	Not Avai
Medicaid (only Medicaid)	6,621	6,053	13	0	12,687	107	112	0	0	47	54	0	0	641	674	0	0	11	10	0	0	4,918	4,291	11	0	188	172	1	0	709	740	1	0
Non- Medicaid Sources (only)	10,023	9,294	47	44	19,408	171	150	2	1	113	79	0	1	542	742	0	1	26	19	0	0	8,039	7,210	39	38	285	251	3	0	847	843	3	3
People Served by Both Medicaid and Non- Medicaid Sources	7,900	6,854	79	15	14,848	179	141	2	0	47	41	0	1	687	722	1	1	13	18	0	0	5,988	5,070	59	10	511	449	9	1	475	413	8	2
Medicaid Status Not Available	5,132	5,285	26	41	10,484	77	90	0	2	55	38	0	0	479	591	1	1	9	6	0	0	3,857	3,840	21	35	178	212	0	1	477	508	4	2
Total Served	29,676	27,486	165	100	57,427	534	493	4	3	262	212	0	2	2,349	2,729	2	3	59	53	0	0	22,802	20,411	130	83	1,162	1,084	13	2	2,508	2,504	16	7
			•	~	Data Bas	ed on M	1edica	id Serv	ices	•		ata Ba	sed or	Medic	al Eligil	oility, n	ot Me	dicaid P	aid Se	rvices		'Peo	ple Serv	ed By B	oth' ir	ncludes	people	with a	ny Me	dicaid			
Comments	on Data	a (for Rad	ce):																														
Comments	on Data	a (for Ge	nder):																			_											
Comments	on Data	a (Overal	l):																			-											

Each row should have a unique (unduplicated) count of clients: (1) Medicaid Only, (2) Non-Medicaid Only, (3) Both Medicaid and Other Sources funded their treatment, and (4) Medicaid Status Not Available.

If a state is unable to differentiate between people whose care is paid for by Medicaid only or Medicaid and other funds, then all data should be reported into the 'People Served by Both Medicaid and Non-Medicaid Sources' and the 'People Served by Both includes people with any Medicaid' check box should be checked.

Table 10B

Of the total persons covered by Medicaid, please indicate the gender and number of persons who are Hispanic/Latino or not Hispanic/Latino. Total persons covered by Medicaid would be the total indicated in Table 10A.

Please note that the same person may be served in both Medicaid and Non-Medicaid programs during the same reporting period.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2022

	Not	Not Hispanic or Latino				Hispanic or Latino Hispanic or Latino Origin Unknown							Total				
	Female	Male	Other	Not Avail	Female	Male	Other	Not Avail	Female	Male	Other	Not Avail	Female	Male	Other	Not Avail	Total
Medicaid Only	6,136	5,587	11	0	439	420	2	0	46	46	0	0	6,621	6,053	13	0	12,687
Non- Medicaid Only	9,188	8,493	45	0	705	644	0	0	130	157	2	44	10,023	9,294	47	44	19,408
People Served by Both Medicaid and Non- Medicaid Sources	7,093	6,113	69	0	755	668	7	0	52	73	3	15	7,900	6,854	79	15	14,848
Medicaid Status Unknown	4,220	4,314	19	0	703	729	1	0	209	242	6	41	5,132	5,285	26	41	10,484
Total Served	26,637	24,507	144	0	2,602	2,461	10	0	437	518	11	100	29,676	27,486	165	100	57,427

Comments or	Data	(for	Ethnicity):	
			,,,	

Comments on Data (for Gender):		

Comments on Data (Overall):

Each row should have a unique (unduplicated) count of clients: (1) Medicaid Only, (2) Non-Medicaid Only, (3) Both Medicaid and Other Sources funded their treatment, and (4) Medicaid Status Unknown.

Footnotes:			

MHBG Table 11 (URS Table 6) - Profile of Client Turnover

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2022

Profile of Service Utilization	Total Served at Beginning of Year (unduplicated)	Admissions During the year (duplicated)	Discharges During the year (duplicated)	Length o Days): Di Pati	scharged	For Clients in Facility for Less Than 1 Year: Length of Stay (in Days): Residents at end of year		For Clients in Facility More Than 1 Year: Length of Stay (in Days): Residents at end of year	
				Average (Mean)	Median	Average (Mean)	Median	Average (Mean)	Median
State Hospitals	567	2,148	2,145						
Children (0 to 17 years)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adults (18 yrs and over)	567	2,148	2,145	112	22	61	22	2,499	1,542
Age Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Psychiactric Inpatient	0	0	0						
Children (0 to 17 years)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adults (18 yrs and over)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Residential Treatment Centers	0	0	0						
Children (0 to 17 years)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Adults (18 yrs and over)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Community Programs	37,919	37,367							
Children (0 to 17 years)	15,411	13,253							
Adults (18 yrs and over)	22,317	22,700							
Age Not Available	191	1,414							

Comments on Data (State Hospital):		

 ${\bf Comments\ on\ Data\ (Other\ Inpatient):}$

Comments on Data (Residential Treatment):

MHBG Table 12 (URS Table 12) - State Mental Health Agency Profile

The purpose of this profile is to obtain information that provides a context for the data provided in the tables. This profile covers the populations served, services for which the state mental health agency is responsible, data reporting capacities, especially related to duplication of numbers served as well as certain summary administrative information.

Reporting Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Reporting Period End Date: 6/30/2022

Populations Served

1. Which of the following populations receive services operated or funded by the state mental health agency? Please indicate if they are included in the data provided in the tables. (Check all that apply.)

			Population	ns Covered	Included in Data				
			State Hospitals	Community Programs	State Hospitals	Community Programs			
1. Age	ed 0 to 3		☐ Yes	▼ Yes	☐ Yes	✓ Yes			
2. Age	ed 4 to 17		☐ Yes	▼ Yes	☐ Yes	✓ Yes			
3. Adı	ults Aged 18 and over		∨ Yes	▼ Yes	✓ Yes	✓ Yes			
4. For	ensics		▽ Yes	☐ Yes	✓ Yes	☐ Yes			
Comn	nents on Data:								
2.	Do all of the adults a serious emotional dis				t the Federal definitions of	serious mental illness and			
			☐ Serious E	Mental Illness Emotional Disturbances					
2.a.	If no, please indicate serious emotional dis		age of persons served for	the reporting period who	met the federal definitions	of serious mental illness a			
2.a.1.	Percent of adults mee	eting Federa	l definition of SMI:		32.9%				
2.a.2.	Percentage of childre	n/adolescer	nts meeting Federal definit	tion of SED:	76.9%				
3.	Co-Occurring Mental	Health and	Substance Abuse:						
3.a.	What percentage of p	persons serv	ed by the SMHA for the re	porting period have a dua	l diagnosis of mental illnes	s and substance abuse?			
3.a.1.	Percentage of adults	served by th	ne SMHA who also have a d	diagnosis of substance abu	use problem:	11%			
3.a.2.	Percentage of childre	n/adolescer	nts served by the SMHA wh	no also have a diagnosis of	substance abuse problem:	1%			
3.b.	p. Percentage of persons served for the reporting period who met the federal definitions of adults with SMI and children with SED have a du diagnosis of mental illness and substance abuse:								
3.b.1.	Percentage of adults	meeting Fe	deral definition of SMI who	o also have a diagnosis of	substance abuse problem:	11%			
3.b.2.	Percentage of childre abuse problem:	n/adolesce	nts meeting the Federal de	efinition of SED who also h	ave a diagnosis of substand	1%			
3.b.3.	Please describe how y								

4. State Mental Health Agency Responsibilities

a. Medicaid: Does the State Mental Health Agency have any of the following responsibilities for mental health services provided through Medicaid? (Check All that Apply)

	 Setting Standards Quality Improvement/Program Compliance Resolving Consumer Complaints Licensing Sanctions 			
	7. Other			
	b. Managed Care (Mental Health Managed Care)			Are Data for these programs reported on URS Tables?
4.b.1	Does the State have a Medicaid Managed Care initiati	ve?	✓ Yes	▽ Yes
4.b.2	Does the State Mental Health Agency have any responding through Medicaid Managed Care? please check the responsibilities the SMHA has:	nsibilities for mental health services provided	∨ Yes	☐ Yes
4.b.3	Direct contractual responsibility and oversight of the	MCOs or BHOs	▼ Yes	
4.b.4	Setting Standards for mental health services		▼ Yes	
4.b.5	Coordination with state health and Medicaid agencie	S	▼ Yes	
4.b.6	Resolving mental health consumer complaints		▼ Yes	
4.b.7	Input in contract development		✓ Yes	
4.b.8	Performance monitoring		✓ Yes	
4.b.9	Other Data Reporting: Please describe the extent to which y			
5.a. 5.b. 5.c. 5.d. 5.e.	Unduplicated: counted once even if they were served community mental health agencies responsible for did Duplicated: across state hospital and community prog Duplicated: within community programs Duplicated: Between Child and Adult Agencies Plans for Deduplication: If you are not currently able system, please describe your plans to get unduplicated.	fferent geographic or programmatic areas. grams to provide unduplicated client counts across	all parts of your n	□ ☑
6.	•			
6.a.	Report Year:	2022		
6.b.	State Identifier:	KS		
6.c.	Summary Information on Data Submitted by SMHA: Year being reported:	From: 7/1/2021 To: 6/30/20	22	
6.d.	Person Responsible for Submission:	Brian Lietz		
6.e.	Contact Phone Number:	785-296-0857		
6.f.	Contact Address:	503 South Kansas Avenue Topeka, KS 66603		
6.g.	E-mail:	brian.lietz@ks.gov		
0930-0	0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025			
Foot	tnotes:			

~

1. State Medicaid Operating Agency

D. Population and Services Report

MHBG Tables 13A and 13B (URS Tables 14A and 14B) - Profile of Persons with SMI/SED Served By Age, Gender and Race/Ethnicity

Table 13A

This table requests counts for persons with SMI or SED using the definitions provided by SAMHSA. MHBG Table 8A and 8B (URS Table 2A and 2B) included all clients served by publicly operated or funded programs. This table counts only clients who meet the federal definition of SMI or SED. For many states, this table may be the same as MHBG Tables 8A and 8B (URS Table 2A and 2B). States should report using the Federal Definitions of SMI and SED if they can report them, if not, please report using your state's definitions of SMI and SED and provide information below describing your state's definition.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2022 Total American Indian or Asian Black or African Native Hawaiian or White More Than One Race Race Not Available Alaska Native Other Pacific Islander American Reported Female Male Other N/A Total Female Male Other N/A Female Male Othe 0-12 3,326 5,888 9,215 2,518 4,352 years 13-17 4,372 3,885 8,277 3,266 2,878 years 18-20 1.583 years 21-24 years 25-44 2,065 2,245 4,335 1,493 1,531 years 45-64 2,153 1,874 4,031 1,589 1,306 years 65-74 years 75 and Ω Ω older Age not Available Total 13,593 15,199 0 28,863 1,380 1,822 10,148 10,994 1,110 1,272 Comments on Data (for Age): Comments on Data (for Gender): Comments on Data (for Race/Ethnicity): Comments on Data (Overall):

1. State Definitions Match the Federal Definitions	
• Yes • No Adults with SMI, if No describe or attach state definition:	
No Diagnoses included in the state SMI definition:	
• Yes C No Children with SED, if No describe or attach state definition:	÷
Yes No Diagnoses included in the state SED definition:	

Table 13B

Of the total persons served, please indicate the age, gender and the number of persons who meet the Federal definition of SMI and SED and who are Hispanic/Latino or not Hispanic/Latino. The total persons served who meet the Federal definition of SMI or SED should be the total as indicated in MHBG Table 13b.

	N N	lot Hispani			iture i eno	Hispanic			Hispa	anic or Lat Avai	ino Origin lable	Not			Total		
	Female	Male	Other	N/A	Female	Male	Other	N/A	Female	Male	Other	N/A	Female	Male	Other	N/A	Total
0-12 years	2,965	5,194	1	0	351	667	0	0	10	27	0	0	3,326	5,888	1	0	9,215
13-17 years	3,670	3,352	17	0	664	498	2	0	38	35	1	0	4,372	3,885	20	0	8,277
18-20 years	751	610	12	0	110	90	0	0	4	6	0	0	865	706	12	0	1,583
21-24 years	350	304	7	0	27	39	1	0	7	8	0	0	384	351	8	0	743
25-44 years	1,931	2,049	22	0	117	162	1	0	17	34	2	0	2,065	2,245	25	0	4,335
45-64 years	2,061	1,786	4	0	83	66	0	0	9	22	0	0	2,153	1,874	4	0	4,031
65-74 years	361	217	1	0	7	9	0	0	0	1	0	0	368	227	1	0	596
75 and older	60	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	23	0	0	83
Age not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	12,149	13,535	64	0	1,359	1,531	4	0	85	133	3	0	13,593	15,199	71	0	28,863
Comment	s on Data (for Age):															
Comment	s on Data (for Gender	·):														
Comments	Comments on Data (for Race/Ethnicity):																

Footnotes:			
0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2	2025		
Comments on Data (Overall):			

D. Population and Services Report

Footnotes:

MHBG Table 14 (URS Table 15A) - Profile of Persons served in the community mental health setting, State Psychiatric Hospitals and Other Settings for Adults with SMI and Children with SED

This table provides a profile for adults with Serious Mental Illness (SMI) and children with serious emotional disturbance (SED) that received public funded mental health services in community services in hospitals, other psychiatric inpatient programs, residential treatment centers and Institutions under Justice System

Services Setting		Age 0	-17			Age 1				Age 2	1-64			Age 6	65+		Ą	ge Not A	vailable				Total		
	Female	Male	Other	N/A	Female	Male	Other	N/A	Female	Male	Other	N/A	Total												
Community Mental Health Programs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
State Psychiatric Hospitals	0	0	0	0	39	83	0	0	766	1,526	0	0	32	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	837	1,719	0	0	2,556
Other Psychiatric Inpatient	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Residential Treatment Centers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Institutions in the Justice System	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Comments on Data (for Age):	
Comments on Data (for Gender):	
Comments on Data (Overall):	
Note: Clients can be duplicated between Rows (e.g. The same client may be served in both state psychiatric hospitals and community mental health centers during the same year and thus would be reported in counts for both rows). 0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025	

MHBG Table 15A (URS Table 4) - Profile of Adult Clients by Employment Status

This table describes the status of adult clients served in the reporting year by the public mental health system, in terms of employment status. The focus is on employment for the working age population, recognizing, however, there are clients who are disabled, retired, or who are homemakers, care-givers, etc., and not a part of the workforce. These persons should be reported in the "Not in Labor Force" category. Unemployed refers to persons who are looking for work but have not found employment. Data should be reported for clients in non-institutional settings at time of discharge or last evaluation.

Reporting Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Reporting Period End Date: 6/30/2022

Adults Served	ults Served 18-20			21-64 65+				5+			Age Not	Available			Total						
	Female	Male	Other	N/A	Female	Male	Other	N/A	Female	Male	Other	N/A	Female	Male	Other	N/A	Female	Male	Other	Not Avail	Total
Employed: Competitively Employed Full or Part Time (includes Supported Employment)	497	232	0	3	3,960	3,235	0	31	62	57	0	0	0	0	2	0	4,519	3,524	2	34	8,079
Unemployed	368	275	0	15	5,375	4,952	0	39	402	227	0	2	0	0	5	0	6,145	5,454	5	56	11,660
Not In Labor Force: Retired, Sheltered Employment, Sheltered Workshops, Other (homemaker, student, volunteer, disabled, etc.)	38	28	0	0	778	462	0	2	332	168	0	0	0	0	1	0	1,148	658	1	2	1,809
Not Available	1,289	973	0	13	5,414	4,335	0	22	655	349	0	2	0	0	92	0	7,358	5,657	92	37	13,144
Total	2,192	1,508	0	31	15,527	12,984	0	94	1,451	801	0	4	0	0	100	0	19,170	15,293	100	129	34,692
How Often Does your State Measure Employment Status?	☑ At	Admissio	on 🗆 At [Discharge	✓ Month	ly 🗆 Qua	arterly 🗆	Other, de	scribe:												
What populations are included	: O	All clients			d groups, al Comme																
Comments on Data (for Age):																					
Comments on Data (for Gender):																				
Comments on Data (Overall):																					
0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/202	2 Expires: 0	3/31/202	5																		
Footnotes:																					

MHBG Table 15B (URS Table 4A) - Optional Table: Profile of Adult Clients by Employment Status: by Primary Diagnosis Reported

The workgroup exploring employment found that, the primary diagnosis of consumer results in major differences in employment status. The workgroup has recommended that we explore the ability of states to report employment by primary diagnosis and the impact of diagnosis on employment. The workgroup recommended 5 diagnostic clusters for reporting.

Clients Primary Diagnosis	Employed: Competitively Employed Full or Part Time (includes Supported Employment)	Unemployed	Not In Labor Force: Retired, Sheltered Employment, Sheltered Workshops, Other (homemaker, student, volunteer, disabled, etc.)	Employment Status Not Available	Total
Schizophrenia & Related Disorders (F20, F25)	99	613	43	321	1,076
Bipolar and Mood Disorders (F30, F31, F32, F33, F34.1, F60.89, F34.0, F32.9)	337	366	78	588	1,369
Other Psychoses (F22, F23, F24, F29)	67	365	31	291	754
All Other Diagnoses	6,802	8,126	1,380	9,005	25,313
No DX and Deferred DX (R69, R99, Z03.89)	774	2,190	277	2,939	6,180
Diagnosis Total	8,079	11,660	1,809	13,144	34,692

Comments on Data (for Diag	ynosis):			
0330 0100 / pproved. 03/3 1/2	<u> </u>			
Footnotes:				

MHBG Table 16 (URS Table 9) - Social Connectedness and Improved Functioning

Reporting Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Reporting Period End Date: 6/30/2022

Adult Consumer Survey Results	Number of Positive Responses	Responses	Percent Positive (calculated)
1. Social Connectedness	445	543	82%
2. Functioning	98	565	17%
Child/Adolescent Consumer Survey Results	Number of Positive Responses	Responses	Percent Positive (calculated)
3. Social Connectedness	353	372	95%
4. Functioning	209	300	70%
Comments on Data:			

Adult Social Connectedness and Functioning Measures

Did you use the recommended new Social Connectedness Questions?	
	ECHO Survey
2. Did you use the recommended new Functioning Domain Questions?	Measure used Yes No
	ECHO Survey
3. Did you collect these as part of your MHSIP Adult Consumer Survey?	Measure used Yes No
	If No, what source did you use?
	ECHO Survey
Child/Family Social Connectedness and Functioning Measures	C Yes No
Child/Family Social Connectedness and Functioning Measures 4. Did you use the recommended new Social Connectedness Questions?	Yes No
4. Did you use the recommended new Social Connectedness Questions?	ECHO Survey Measure used
4. Did you use the recommended new Social Connectedness Questions?	ECHO Survey Measure used Yes No
4. Did you use the recommended new Social Connectedness Questions?5. Did you use the recommended new Functioning Domain Questions?	ECHO Survey Measure used Yes No ECHO Survey Measure used

Recommended Scoring Rules

Please use the same rules for reporting Social connectedness and Functioning Domain scores as for calculating other Consumer Survey Domain scores for Table MHBG Table 18a: E.g.:

1. Recode ratings of "not applicable" as missing values.

- 2. Exclude respondents with more than 1/3 of the items in that domain missing
- 3. Calculate the mean of the items for each respondent.
- 4. FOR ADULTS: calculate the percent of scores less than 2.5 (percent agree and strongly agree).
- 5. FOR YSS-F: calculate the percent of scores greater than 3.5 (percent agree and strongly agree).

0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025

Fo	ootnotes:				

MHBG Table 17A (URS Table 11) - Summary Profile of Client Evaluation of Care

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2022

Adult Consumer Survey Results:	Number of Positive Responses	Responses	Confidence Interval*
1. Reporting Positively about Access.	270	307	95
2. Reporting Positively about Quality and Appropriateness for Adults.	223	245	95
3. Reporting Positively about Outcomes.	152	288	95
4. Adults Reporting on Participation In Treatment Planning.	217	246	95
5. Adults Positively about General Satisfaction with Services.	90	247	95

Child/Adolescent Consumer Survey Results:	Number of Positive Responses	Responses	Confidence Interval*
1. Reporting Positively about Access.	206	262	95
2. Reporting Positively about General Satisfaction for Children.	226	266	95
3. Reporting Positively about Outcomes for Children.	234	330	95
4. Family Members Reporting on Participation In Treatment Planning for their Children.	235	268	95
5. Family Members Reporting High Cultural Sensitivity of Staff.	257	267	95

Please enter the number of persons responding positively to the questions and the number of total responses within each group. Percent positive will be calculated from these data.

No
 No

* Please report Confidence Intervals at	the 95% level. See directions below r	regarding the calculation o	of confidence intervals

Comments on Data:

Adult Consumer Surveys

1.	Was the Official 28 Item MHSIP Adult Outpatient Consumer Survey Used?	O Yes
----	---	-------

1.a. If no, which version:

1.	Original 40 Item Version	← Ye
2.	21-Item Version	← Ye

3. State Variation of MHSIP

4. Other Consumer Survey	'es			
1.b. If other, please attach instrument used.				
1.c. Did you use any translations of the MHSIP into anoth	er language?	▼ 1. Spanish		
	ſ	2. Other Language:		
dult Survey Approach				
2. Populations covered in survey? (Note all surveys should cover	all regions of stat	e) () 1. All Consumers In S	State	2. Sample of MH Consumers
2.a. If a sample was used, what sample methodology was	* I. R	andom Sample		
	C 2. S	tratified / Random Stratifie	d Sample	
	○ 3. C	Convenience Sample		
		Other Sample:		
2.b. Do you survey only people currently in services, or do	you also survey p	ersons no longer in service	? 🗹 1. Perso	ons Currently Receiving Services
			✓ 2. Perso	ons No Longer Receiving Services
Please describe the populations included in your sample: (e.g.)	g., all adults, only a	adults with SMI, etc.)	1. All Adult Cor	nsumers In State
		▼ 2	2. Adults With	Serious Mental Illness
		▽ 5	3. Adults Who	Were Medicaid Eligible Or In Medicaid Managed Care
		▽ 2	4. Other (for ex	ample, if you survey anyone served in the last 3 months, describe that here):
				ded all members who had received a mental health service within the last 12 months based or
			Medicaid cla	ims data. Fee-for-service members were not included.
4. Methodology of collecting data? (Check all that apply)		Self-Administered	Interview	
	Phone	□ Yes	☐ Yes	
	Mail			
		✓ Yes		
	Face-to-face	☐ Yes	☐ Yes	
	Web-Based	☐ Yes	☐ Yes	
		1	!	_
4.b. Who administered the survey? (Check all that apply)	☐ 1. MH Cons	umers		

☐ 2. Family Members

☐ 3. Professional Interviewers

☐ 4. MH Clinician	ns .
☐ 5. Non Direct T	reatment Staff
☑ 6. Other, descr	ibe:
Professional	Survey Vendor
5. Are Responses Anonymous, Confidential and/or Linked to other Patient Databases	s? □ 1. Responses are Anonymous
	2. Responses are Confidential
	▼ 3. Responses are Matched to Client Databases
6. Sample Size and Response Rate	
6.a. How Many surveys were Attempted (sent out or calls initiated)?	510
6.b. How many survey Contacts were made? (surveys to valid phone numbers or ad	
6.c. How many surveys were completed? (survey forms returned or calls completed)	
6.d. What was your response rate? (number of Completed surveys divided by numb	
6.e. If you receive "blank" surveys back from consumers (surveys with no responses	s on them), did you count these surveys as "completed" for the calculation of response rates? Yes No
7. Who Conducted the survey	
7.a. SMHA Conducted or contracted for the survey (survey done at state level)	$_{ m Yes}$ $_{ m No}$ $_{ m No}$ $_{ m No}$ $_{ m No}$
 Local Mental Health Providers/County mental health providers conducted of (survey was done at the local or regional level) 	or contracted for the survey
7.c. Other, describe:	
* Report Confidence Intervals at the 95% confidence level	
you had asked the question of the entire relevant population between 43% (47-4) and 51%. The confidence level tells you how sure you can be. It is expressed as a percentage and reprocan be 95% certain; the 99% confidence level means you can be 99% certain. Most research	resents how often the true percentage of the population who would pick an answer lies within the confidence interval. The 95% confidence level means y
Child / Family Consumer Surveys	
1. Was the MHSIP Children / Family Survey (YSS-F) ☐ Yes Used? ☐ If no, what so	survey did you use? ECHO Survey
If no, please attach instrument used.	
1.c. Did you use any translations of the Child MHSIP into another language?	▼ 1. Spanish
	2. Other Language:
Child Survey Approach	
Populations covered in survey? (Note all surveys should cover all regions of state)	2. Sample of MH Consumers 1. All Consumers In State

2.a. If a sample was used, what sample methodology was used?

1. Random Sample

Printed: 7/25/2024 10:42 AM - Kansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025

	C 2. St	ratified / Random Stratified	Sample	
		onvenience Sample		
	C 4. O	ther Sample:		
2.b. Do you survey only people currently in services, or do y	ou also survey pe	ersons no longer in service?	▼ 1. Per	rsons Currently Receiving Services
			▼ 2. Per	rsons No Longer Receiving Services
2a. If yes to 2, please describe how your survey persons	s no longer receiv	ing services.		
Please describe the populations included in your sample: (e.g.,	all children only	children with SED, etc.)	□ 1 AII	Child Consumers In State
o. The control of the populations included in your completing	u cu.c, c,	ca.c 525, c.c,		ildren with Serious Emotional Disturbances
				ildren who were Medicaid Eligible or in Medicaid Managed Care
				ner (for example, if you survey anyone served in the last 3 months, describe that here):
4. Methodology of collecting data? (Check all that apply)		Self-Administered	Interview	
	Phone	☐ Yes	☐ Yes	
	Mail	✓ Yes		T .
	- · · ·			4
	Face-to-face	☐ Yes	☐ Yes	
	Web-Based	□ Yes	☐ Yes	
4.b. Who administered the survey? (Check all that apply)	☐ 1. MH Consu	umers		
	☐ 2. Family Me	embers		
	☐ 3. Profession	nal Interviewers		
	☐ 4. MH Clinic	ians		
	☐ 5. Non Direc	t Treatment Staff		
	☑ 6. Other, de	scribe:		
	Profession	nal Survey Vendor		
5. Are Responses Anonymous, Confidential and/or Linked to other	er Patient Databas	•	•	
		2. Responses are		

6.a. How Many surveys were Attempted (sent out or calls initiated)?		7,	,550		
6.b. How many survey Contacts were made? (surveys to valid phone numbers or addresses)?		7,	,550		
6.c. How many surveys were completed? (survey forms returned or calls completed)	3	89			
6.d. What was your response rate? (number of Completed surveys divided by number of Contacts)		5	.5%		
6.e. If you receive "blank" surveys back from consumers (surveys with no responses on them), did you count these	surveys as "comple	eted" for the calc	culation of response rates?	Yes	No No
7. Who Conducted the survey					
7.a. SMHA Conducted or contracted for the survey (survey done at state level)	Yes	€ No			
7.b. Local Mental Health Providers/County mental health providers conducted or contracted for the survey (survey was done at the local or regional level)	C Yes	● No			
7.c. Other, describe:					
0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025					
Footnotes:					

6. Sample Size and Response Rate

MHBG Table 17B (URS Table 11A) - Consumer Evaluation of Care by Consumer Characteristics: (Optional Table by Race/Ethnicity)

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2022

Adult Consumer Survey Results:

			American Indian or Alaska Native		Asian		Black or African American		Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander		White		More Than One Race Reported		Other / Not Available		nic Origin	
	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses
1. Reporting Positively About Access.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reporting Positively About Quality and Appropriateness.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. Reporting Positively About Outcomes.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. Reporting Positively about Participation in Treatment Planning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Reporting Positively about General Satisfaction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Social Connectedness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Functioning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Child/Adolescent Family Survey Results:

Indicators	Indicators Total American Indian or Alaska Native		А	Asian Black or A Americ						White		More Than One Race Reported		Other / Not Available		nic Origin		
	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses	# Positive	Responses
1. Reporting Positively About Access.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Reporting Positively About General Satisfaction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Printed: 7/25/2024 10:42 AM - Kansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025

3. Reporting Positively About Outcomes.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reporting Positively Participation in Treatment Planning for their Children.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Reporting Positively About Cultural Sensitivity of Staff.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Social Connectedness	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7. Functioning	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

$^{-}$	0	m	m	AI	1tc	OΠ	Data

Please enter the number of persons responding positively to the questions and the number of total responses within each group. Percent positive will be calculated from these data. 0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025

Footnotes:			

MHBG Table 18 (URS Table 15) - Living Situation Profile

Number of Clients in Each Living Situation as Collected by the Most Recent Assessment in the Reporting Period All Mental Health Programs by Age, Gender, and Race/Ethnicity

Please provide unduplicated counts, if possible. This table provides an aggregate profile of persons served in the reporting year. The reporting year should be the latest state fiscal year for which data are available. This profile is based on a client's last known Living Situation.

Please report the data under the Living Situation categories listed - "Total" are calculated automatically.

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2022

	Private Residence	Foster Home	Residential Care	Crisis Residence	Children's Residential Treatment	Institutional Setting	Jail / Correctional Facility	Homeless / Shelter	Other	NA	Total
0-17	14,769	1,825	0	25	345	69	38	13	635	5,016	22,735
18-64	17,840	75	505	1	18	93	6	1,607	1,224	10,967	32,336
65+	1,067	0	32	0	0	90	0	32	30	1,005	2,256
Not Available	26	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	68	100
TOTAL	33,702	1,904	537	26	363	252	44	1,654	1,889	17,056	57,427
Female	17,316	900	250	17	162	146	10	672	891	9,312	29,676
Male	16,272	997	284	9	201	106	34	969	986	7,628	27,486
Other	26	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	68	100
Not Available	88	3	3	0	0	0	0	11	12	48	165
TOTAL	33,702	1,904	537	26	363	252	44	1,654	1,889	17,056	57,427
	•								•		
American Indian/Alaska Native	570	53	13	1	3	5	0	41	34	314	1,034
Asian	263	6	5	0	4	3	0	7	7	181	476
Black/African American	3,148	290	53	0	39	17	6	324	180	1,026	5,083
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	51	8	2	1	0	0	0	7	3	40	112
White/Caucasian	25,483	1,261	400	20	252	200	29	1,094	1,432	13,255	43,426
stad: 7/25/2024 10:42 AM Kanasa 00	00.0400	I 00/04/000/	. F	1/0005						•	DoBoa501o

Printed: 7/25/2024 10:42 AM - Kansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025

More than One Race Reported	1,255	91	32	0	15	10	4	101	73	680	2,261
Race/Ethnicity Not Available	2,932	195	32	4	50	17	5	80	160	1,560	5,035
TOTAL	33,702	1,904	537	26	363	252	44	1,654	1,889	17,056	57,427

	Private Residence	Foster Home	Residential Care	Crisis Residence	Children's Residential Treatment	Institutional Setting	Jail / Correctional Facility	Homeless / Shelter	Other	NA	Total
Hispanic or Latino Origin	2,582	167	31	1	38	10	6	88	135	2,015	5,073
Non Hispanic or Latino Origin	30,867	1,714	495	24	325	238	37	1,541	1,737	14,310	51,288
Hispanic or Latino Origin Not Available	253	23	11	1	0	4	1	25	17	731	1,066
TOTAL	33,702	1,904	537	26	363	252	44	1,654	1,889	17,056	57,427

Comments on Data (for Gender):	
How Often Does your State Measure Living Situation?	✓ At Admission ☐ At Discharge ✓ Monthly ☐ Quarterly ☐ Other: Describe
0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025	

Footnotes:

MHBG Table 19 (URS Table 16) - Profile of Adults With Serious Mental Illnesses And Children With Serious Emotional Disturbances Receiving Specific Services

Age	Adults with Serious	Mental Ilinesses (SMI)			Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)				
	N Receiving Supported Housing	N Receiving Supported Employment	N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment	Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served	N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care	N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy	N Receiving Family Functional Therapy	Total unduplicated N - Children with SED	
0-12 years					4	0	0	9,215	
13-17 years					17	0	0	8,277	
18-20 years	51	38	0	1,583	1	0	0	0	
21-64 years	1,727	590	0	9,109					
65-74 years	124	19	0	596					
75+ years	11	1	0	83					
Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	1,913	648	0	11,371	22	0	0	17,492	

Gender	Adults with Serious	Mental Illnesses (SMI)			Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)				
	N Receiving Supported Housing	N Receiving Supported Employment	N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment	Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served	N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care	N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy	N Receiving Family Functional Therapy	Total unduplicated N - Children with SED	
Female	996	290	0	5,895	6	0	0	7,698	
Male	909	352	0	5,426	16	0	0	9,773	
Other	8	6	0	50	0	0	0	21	
Not Available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Race/Ethnicity	Adults with Serious	Mental Illnesses (SMI)			Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)				
	N Receiving Supported Housing	N Receiving Supported Employment	N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment	Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served	N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care	N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy	N Receiving Family Functional Therapy	Total unduplicated N - Children with SED	
American Indian / Alaska Native	46	9	0	263	0	0	0	265	
Asian	19	9	0	106	0	0	0	142	
Black / African American	341	120	0	1,556	0	0	0	1,648	
Hawaiian / Pacific Islander	5	2	0	23	0	0	0	26	
White	1,359	456	0	8,168	15	0	0	13,032	
More than one race	86	24	0	589	0	0	0	658	
Not Available	57	28	0	666	7	0	0	1,721	

Hispanic/Latino Origin	Adults with Serious	Mental Illnesses (SMI)			Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)				
	N Receiving Supported Housing	N Receiving Supported Employment	N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment	Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served	N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care	N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy	N Receiving Family Functional Therapy	Total unduplicated N - Children with SED	
Hispanic / Latino origin	74	35	0	712	2	0	0	2,182	
Non Hispanic / Latino	1,822	602	0	10,549	19	0	0	15,199	
Not Available	17	11	0	110	1	0	0	111	

	Adults with Serious	Mental Ilinesses (SMI)			Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances (SED)					
	N Receiving Supported Housing	N Receiving Supported Employment	N Receiving Assertive Community Treatment	Total unduplicated N - Adults with SMI Served	N Receiving Therapeutic Foster Care	N Receiving Multi- Systemic Therapy	N Receiving Family Functional Therapy	Total unduplicated N - Children with SED		
Do you monitor fidelity for this service?	€ Yes No	€ Yes € No	€ Yes € No		€ Yes € No	€ Yes € No	€ Yes € No			
IF YES,										
What fidelity measure do you use?										

Who measures fidelity?							
How often is fidelity measured?							
Is the SAMHSA EBP Toolkit used to guide EBP Implementation?	€ Yes € No	€ Yes € No	€ Yes € No		€ Yes € No		
Have staff been specifically trained to implement the EBP?	(Yes (No	€ Yes € No	C Yes C No	€ Yes € No	C Yes C No	C Yes C No	
Comments on Data (overall):							
Comments on Data (Supported Housing): See "General Comments" tab.							
Comments on Data (Supported Employment): See "General Comments" tab.							
Comments on Data (Assertive Community Treatment): Kansas does not offer Assertive	Community Treatment	t services as defined by f	ederal guidelines.				
Comments on Data (Therapeutic Foster Care): See "General Comments" tab.	С						
Comments on Data (Multi-System Therapy): Kansas does not offer Multi-Sys		s as defined by federal g	uidelines.				
Comments on Data (Family Functional Therapy): Kansas does not offer Family Fu	unctional Therapy servi	ces as defined by federa	l guidelines.				
0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022	2 Expires: 03/31/2025						
Footnotes:							

MHBG Table 19A (URS Table 16A) - Adults with Serious Mental Illness and Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances Receiving Evidence-Based Services for First Episode Psychosis

This table provides the number of Adults with SMI and Children with SED, who were admitted into and received Coordinated Specialty Care (CSC) evidence based First Episode Psychosis Services (FEP). The reporting year should be the latest fiscal year for which data are available.

Program Name	Number of Adult Admissions into CSC Services During FY	Current Number of Adults with FEP Receiving CSC FEP Services	Number of Child/ Adolescents Admissions into CSC Services During FY	Current number of Children/Adolecents with FEP Receiving CSC FEP Services	Did you monitor fidelity for this service?	What fidelity measure did you use?	Who measures fidelity?	How often is fidelity measured?	Has staff been specifically trained to implement the CSC EBP?
Wyandot Coumity Mental Health 29Center	11	29	5	11	Yes No C	ISP	KDADS	Yearly	Yes No No
Comcare CMHC (new program starting up fro FY23) No data yet.	0	0	0	0	Yes No No				Yes No No
Valeo Navigate	6	32	4	8	Yes No No	ISP	kdads	Yearly	Yes No No
Johnson CountyMentao Health Center	15	12	6	4	Yes No No	ISP	KDADS	Yearly	Yes No No
Four County Mentaol Health Center Navigate	6	4	2	2	Yes No No	ISP	KDADS	Yearly	Yes No No

0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025
Footnotes:

MHBG Table 19B (URS Table 16B) Adults with Serious Mental Illness and Children with Serious Emotional Disturbances Receiving Crisis Response Services

This table provides the number and percentage of the respective population of adults with serious mental illness and children with serious emotional disturbances that are receiving Crisis Response services. The reporting year should be the latest state fiscal year for which data are available.

Reporting Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Reporting Period End Date: 6/30/2022

Service	Actual Number of Adults Served via Service	Estimated Percentage of Adult Population with Access to Service	Actual Number of Children Served via Service	Estimated Percentage of Child Population with Access to Service
Call Centers	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
24/7 Mobile Crisis Team	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Crisis Stabilization Programs	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

(0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025
	Footnotes:

MHBG Table 20 (URS Table 17) - Profile of Adults with Serious Mental Illnesses Receiving Specific Services during the Year

This table provides a profile of adults with serious mental illness receiving specific evidence-based practices in the reporting year. The reporting year should be the latest state fiscal year for which data are available.

	ADULTS WITH SERIOUS MENTAL ILLNESS											
	Receiving Family Psychoeducation	Receiving Integrated Treatment for Co- occurring Disorders (MH/SA)	Receiving Illness Self Management	Receiving Medication Management								
Age												
18-20	0	65	0	0								
21-64	0	1,365	0	0								
65-74	0	59	0	0								
75+	0	1	0	0								
Not Available	0	0	0	0								
TOTAL	0	1,490	0	0								

Gender										
Female	0	669	0	0						
Male	0	811	0	0						
Other	0	10	0	0						
Gender NA	0	0	0	0						

Race										
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	35	0	0						
Asian	0	10	0	0						
Black or African American	0	244	0	0						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	4	0	0						
White	0	1,038	0	0						
More Than One Race	0	103	0	0						
Unknown	0	56	0	0						

Ethnicity

Hispanic / Latino origin	0	83	0	0					
Non Hispanic / Latino	0	1,394	0	0					
Hispanic origin not available	0	13	0	0					
Do you monitor fidelity for this service?	C Yes C No	C Yes No	C Yes C No	C Yes C No					
IF YES,									
What fidelity measure do you use?									
Who measures fidelity?									
How often is fidelity measured?									
Is the SAMHSA EBP Toolkit used to guide EBP Implementation?	C Yes C No	Yes No	C Yes C No	C Yes C No					
Have staff been specifically trained to implement the EBP?	C Yes C No	€ Yes € No	C Yes C No	C Yes C No					
Comments on Data (overall):									
Comments on Data (Family Psycho-education):									
Kansas does not offer Family Psycheducation services as def	ined by federal guidelir	nes.							
Comments on Data (Integrated Treatment for Co-occurring D	Comments on Data (Integrated Treatment for Co-occurring Disorders):								
Comments on Data (Illness Self-Management):									

Kansas does not offer Illness Self-Management & Recovery services as defined by federal guidelines.	
Comments on Data (Medication Management):	
Kansas does not offer Medication Management services as defined by federal guidelines.	
0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025	

Footnotes:

MHBG Table 21 (URS Table 19A) - Profile of Criminal Justice or Juvenile Justice Involvement

- 1. The SAMHSA National Outcome Measure for Criminal Justice measures the change in Arrests over time.
- 2. If your SMHA has data on Arrest records from alternatives sources, you may also report that here. If you only have data for arrests for consumers in this year, please report that in the T2 columns. If you can calculate the change in Arrests from T1 to T2, please use all those columns.
- 3. Please complete the check boxes at the bottom of the table to help explain the data sources that you used to complete this table.
- 4. Please tell us anything else that would help us to understand your indicator (e.g., list survey or MIS questions; describe linking methodology and data sources; specify time period for criminal justice involvement; explain whether treatment data are collected).

Expenditure Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Expenditure Period End Date: 6/30/2022

For Consumers in Service for at least 12 months

		T1			T2		T1 to T2 Change					Assessment of the Impact of Services						
		or 12 mont an 1 year a		"T2" Mo	st Recent 1 (this year)	nt 12 months If Arrested at T1 (Prior Months)			-	If Not Arrested at T1 (Prior 12 Months)			Over the last 12 months, my encounters with the police have					
	Arrested	Not Arrested	No Response	Arrested	Not Arrested	No Response	# with an Arrest in T2	# with No Arrest at T2	No Response	# with an Arrest in T2	# with No Arrest at T2	No Response	# Reduced (fewer encounters)	# Stayed the Same	# Increased	# Not Applicable	No Response	Total Responses
Total	0	0	0	640	15,383	2,210	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Children/Youth (under age 18)	0	0	0	130	8,890	871	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Female	0	0	0	51	3,595	426	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Male	0	0	0	79	5,295	445	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gender NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Adults (age 18 and over)	0	0	0	510	6,493	1,339	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Female	0	0	0	184	3,477	746	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Male	0	0	0	326	3,016	593	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gender NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	T1 T2							T1 to T2	Change			Assessment of the Impact of Services						
		2 months prinning serv		"T2" Since Beginning Services (this year)		If Arres	If Arrested at T1 (Prior 12 Months)		If Not Arrested at T1 (Prior 12 Months)			Since starting to receive MH Services, my encounters with the police have						
	Arrested	Not Arrested	No Response	Arrested	Not Arrested	No Response	# with an Arrest in T2	# with No Arrest at T2	No Response	# with an Arrest in T2	# with No Arrest at T2	No Response	# Reduced (fewer encounters)	# Stayed the Same	# Increased	# Not Applicable	No Response	Total Responses
Total	0	0	0	233	5,472	4,854	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Children/Youth (under age 18)	0	0	0	78	3,925	3,577	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Female	0	0	0	25	1,754	1,847	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Male	0	0	0	53	2,171	1,730	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gender NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Adults (age 18 and over)	0	0	0	155	1,547	1,277	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Female	0	0	0	50	802	636	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Male	0	0	0	105	745	641	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gender NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Please Describe the Sources of your Criminal Justice Data

Source of adult criminal justice information:		Consumer survey (recommended questions)		2. Other Consumer Survey: Please send copy of questions	~	3. Mental health MIS
		4. State criminal justice agency		5. Local criminal justice agency		6. Other (specify)
Sources of children/youth criminal justice information:		Consumer survey (recommended questions)		2. Other Consumer Survey: Please send copy of questions	~	3. Mental health MIS
		4. State criminal/juvenile justice agency		5. Local criminal/juvenile justice agency		6. Other (specify)
Measure of adult criminal justice involvement:	(1. Arrests 2. Othe	r (spec	fy)		

Printed: 7/25/2024 10:42 AM - Kansas - 0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025

Measure of children/youth criminal justice involvement: Mental health programs included:	•	 Arrests Adults with SMI only Children with SED only 		2. Other (specify) 2. Other adults (specify) 2. Other Children (specify)		_	3. Both (all adults) 3. Both (all Children)
Region for which adult data are reported:	•	1. The whole state	2. Le	ss than the whole state (please describe)			
Region for which children/youth data are reported:	•	1. The whole state	2. Le:	ss than the whole state (please describe)			
What is the Total Number of Persons Surveye	d or f	for whom Criminal Justice	Data	Are Reported	Child/Adolescents	s i	Adults
1. If data is from a survey, What is the total Number o	f peop	le from which the sample was d	lrawn:	,	elilia, Audiescelles		laulis
2. What was your sample size? (How many individuals	were s	selected for the sample)?					
3. How many survey Contacts were made? (surveys to	valid p	phone numbers or addresses)					
4. How many surveys were completed? (survey forms	returne	ed or calls completed) If data so	urce \	was not a Survey, How many persons were CJ data available for?			
5. What was your response rate? (number of Complet	ed surv	veys divided by number of Cont	acts)				
State Comments/Notes:							
Instructions: If you have responses to a survey by pers please include their responses in the Adult categories, 0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025				d include those responses with other responses from the survey (e.g., included in BGAS form at the bottom of the page.	if a 16 or 17 year old r	respor	nds to the Adult MHSIP survey,
Footnotes:							

MHBG Table 22 (URS Table 19B) - Profile of Change in School Attendance

- 1. The SAMHSA National Outcome Measure for School Attendance measures the change in days attended over time. The DIG Outcomes Workgroup pilot tested 3 consumer self-report items that can be used to provide this information. If your state has used the 3 Consumer Self-Report items on School Attendance, you may report them here.
- 2. If your SMHA has data on School Attendance from alternative sources, you may also report that here. If you only have data for School attendance for consumers in this year, please report that in the T2 columns. If you can calculate the change in the Attendance from T1 to T2, please use all these columns.
- 3. Please complete the check boxes at the bottom of the table to help explain the data sources that you used to complete this table.
- 4. Please tell us anything else that would help us to understand your indicator (e. g., list survey or MIS questions; describe linking methodology and data sources; specifiy time period for criminal justice involvement; explain whether treatment data are collected).

Reporting Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Reporting Period End Date: 6/30/2022

For Consumers in Service for at least 12 months

Tor con	sumers in S	T1	at least 12	months	T2				T1 to T2	? Change			Impact of Services					
	"T1" 12 months prior to beginning services			"T2" Since Beginning Services (this year)		If Suspended at T1 (Prior 12 If Not Months)			t Suspended at T1 (Prior 12 C Months)		Over that	Over that last 12 months, the number of day school have			days my chi	ld was in		
	# Suspended or Expelled	# Not Suspended or Expelled	No Response	# Suspended or Expelled	# Not Suspended or Expelled	No Response	# with an Expelled or Suspended in T2	# with No Suspension or Expulsion at T2	No Response	# with an Expelled or Suspended in T2	# with No Suspension or Expulsion at T2	No Response	# Greater (Improved)	# Stayed the Same	# Fewer days (gotten worse)	# Not Applicable	No Response	Total Responses
Total	0	0	0	1,469	8,447	1,156	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gender						'												
Female	0	0	0	476	3,638	567	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Male	0	0	0	991	4,798	585	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	2	11	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gender NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age	'						·		•		•							
Under 18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

For Consumers Who Began Mental Health Services during the past 12 months

		T1			T2				T1 to T2	Change					Impact	of Services		
		2 months pri		"T2" Sinc	e Beginning (this year)	Services	If Suspe	If Suspended at T1 (Prior 12 If Not Suspended at T1 (Prior 12 Months) Months)				Since starting to receive MH Services, the number of days child was in school have				days my		
	# Suspended or Expelled	# Not Suspended or Expelled	No Response	# Suspended or Expelled	# Not Suspended or Expelled	No Response	# with an Expelled or Suspended in T2	# with No Suspension or Expulsion at T2	No Response	# with an Expelled or Suspended in T2	# with No Suspension or Expulsion at T2	No Response	# Greater (Improved)	# Stayed the Same	# Fewer days (gotten worse)	# Not Applicable	No Response	Total Responses
Total	0	0	0	537	3,582	3,771	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gender																		
Female	0	0	0	205	1,646	1,955	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Male	0	0	0	332	1,931	1,812	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gender NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age																		
Under 18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Source of School Attendance Information:		Consumer survey (recommended items) State Education Department		Other Survey: Please send us items Local Schools/Education Agencies	3. Mental health MIS 6. Other (specify)
Measure of School Attendance:	•	1. School Attendance	0	2. Other (specify):	
Mental health programs include:	V	1. Children with SED only		2. Other Children (specify)	□ 3. Both
Region for which data are reported:	6	1. The whole state	0	Less than the whole state (please describe):	

If data is from a survey, what is the total number of people from which the sample was drawn?
 What was your sample size? (How many individuals were selected for the sample)?
 How many survey contacts were made? (surveys to valid phone numbers or addresses)
 How many surveys were completed? (survey forms returned or calls completed) If data source was not a Survey, how many persons were data available for?
 What was your response rate? (number of Completed surveys divided by number of Contacts)
 State Comments/Notes:

What is the Total Number of Persons Surveyed or for whom School Attendance Data Are Reported?

0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025

Footnotes:

Child	d/Adolescents:

MHBG Table 23A (URS Table 20A) - Profile of Non-Forensic (Voluntary and Civil-Involuntary) Patients Readmission to Any State Psychiatric Inpatient Hospital within 30/180 Days of Discharge

Reporting Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Reporting Period End Date: 6/30/2022

	Total number of Discharges in Year		lmissions to ANY pital within	Percent F	Readmitted
		30 days	180 days	30 days	180 days
TOTAL	1828	133	344	7.28%	18.82%
Age					
0-12 years	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
13-17 years	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
18-20 years	110	4	8	3.64%	7.27%
21-64 years	1655	122	323	7.37%	19.52%
65-74 years	50	6	12	12.00%	24.00%
75+ years	13	1	1	7.69%	7.69%
Not Available	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Gender					
Female	739	57	141	7.71%	19.08%
Male	1089	76	203	6.98%	18.64%
Other	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Gender Not Available	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Race					
American Indian/Alaska Native	15	1	2	6.67%	13.33%
Asian	31	0	11	0.00%	35.48%
Black/African American	289	27	58	9.34%	20.07%
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander ed: 7/25/2024 10:42 AM - Kansas - 0930-0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00% Page

White	1337	96	256	7.18%	19.15%
More than one race	76	6	10	7.89%	13.16%
Race Not Available	80	3	7	3.75%	8.75%
Hispanic/Latino Origin					
Hispanic/Latino Origin	149	9	17	6.04%	11.41%
Non Hispanic/Latino	1668	124	323	7.43%	19.36%
Hispanic/Latino Origin Not Available	11	0	4	0.00%	36.36%

Are	Forensic	Patients	Included?





Comments on Data:

0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025

Footnotes:

MHBG Table 23B (URS Table 20B) - Profile of Forensic Patients Readmission to Any State Psychiatric Inpatient Hospital within 30/180 Days of Discharge

Reporting Period Start Date: 7/1/2021 Reporting Period End Date: 6/30/2022

	Total number of Discharges in Year		lmissions to ANY pital within	Percent R	leadmitted
		30 days	180 days	30 days	180 days
TOTAL	304	7	30	2.30%	9.87%
Age					
0-12 years	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
13-17 years	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
18-20 years	6	1	1	16.67%	16.67%
21-64 years	288	6	29	2.08%	10.07%
65-74 years	6	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
75+ years	4	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Not Available	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Gender					
Female	57	0	5	0.00%	8.77%
Male	247	7	25	2.83%	10.12%
Other	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Gender Not Available	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Race					
American Indian/Alaska Native	3	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Asian	8	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Black/African American	89	2	7	2.25%	7.87%
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander ed: 7/25/2024 10:42 AM - Kansas - 0930-0	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00% Page

White	181	4	20	2.21%	11.05%
More than one race	19	1	3	5.26%	15.79%
Race Not Available	4	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Hispanic/Latino Origin					
Hispanic/Latino Origin					
3	65	1	3	1.54%	4.62%
Non Hispanic/Latino	238	6	3 27	1.54% 2.52%	4.62%

Comments on Data:

0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025

Fc	-	4	_	4-	
	,,		v	ıc	э.

MHBG Table 24 (URS Table 21) - Profile of Non-Forensic (Voluntary and Civil-Involuntary Patients) Readmission to Any Psychiatric Inpatient Care Unit (State Operated or Other Psychiatric Inpatient Unit) within 30/180 Days of Discharge

	Total number of Discharges in Year	Psychiatric Inp	Imissions to ANY atient Care Unit hin the state	Percent Readmitted		
		30 days	180 days	30 days	180 days	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0.00	0.00	
Age						
0-12 years	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
13-17 years	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
18-20 years	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
21-64 years	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
65-74 years	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
75+ years	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	

Not Available	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
Gender						
Female	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
Male	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
Other	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
Gender Not Available	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
Race						
American Indian/Alaska Native	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
Asian	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
Black/African American	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
White	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
More than one race	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	
Race Not Available	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%	

1					
Hispanic/Latino Origin					
Hispanic/Latino Origin	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Non Hispanic/Latino	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Hispanic/Latino Origin Not Available	0	0	0	0.00%	0.00%
Does this table include readmission from state psychiatric hospitals?	Yes No				
2. Are Forensic Patients Included?	Yes No				
Comments on Data:					
0930-0168 Approved: 03/31/2022 Expires: 03/31/2025					
Footnotes:					



New England Building 503 S. Kansas Ave. Topeka, KS 66603-3404

www.kdads.ks.gov

GOVERNOR LAURA Kelly

Sherman "Wes" Cole, Chairperson Victor Fitz, Vice Chairperson

November 28, 2022

To Whom It May Concern,

During the past year, the Kansas Governor's Behavioral Health Service Planning Council (GBHSPC) has continued to focus on ensuring that Behavioral Health Services are integrated and meet the needs of Kansas children, adults, and their families who are experiencing mental health, addictions, and co-occurring disorders. GBHSPC members continue to participate in subcommittees and task forces. Currently, the GBHSPC has nine active subcommittees. The subcommittees are: Housing and Homelessness, Justice Involved Youth and Adults, Prevention, Children's, Rural and Frontier, Service Members Veterans and Families, Problem Gambling, Evidence Based Practices and the Kansas Citizen's Committee on Alcohol and Drugs (KCC). The KCC is a unique subcommittee in that it is established under its own Kansas statute with the purpose to review the substance use disorders service system in Kansas and advise the Secretary on issues and needs for services. The GBHSPC also plans to establish two additional subcommittees including one on Peer Support Services in early 2023. This Subcommittees' membership will primarily be filled by persons with lived experience who are engaged in providing peer services across Kansas. The other will be on Aging Populations and will be developed later in the year.

Each of the Subcommittees provided their yearly reports and recommendation to the Secretary and Leadership team of the Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services and the reports are now available on the Behavioral Health Commission Website.

The reports from FY 2020 and from FY2021 from the subcommittees have served as a vital source of information for the development of recommendations for several special government taskforces in the past two years. The reports were utilized by the Kansas Legislature's Mental Health Modernization legislative committee for development of a ten-year planning recommendation to the legislature. In the event, that this legislative committee again resumes or if the Legislature or any other legislative committees request the FY2022 GBHSPC's subcommittee reports, they will be submitted for review and recommendations. The reports are also posted for public access on the KDADS web site.

This letter is confirmation that the Kansas FY2023 Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant report and the FY2023 Mental Health Block Grant report have both been reviewed and approved by the Kansas Governor's Behavioral Health Service Planning Council (GBHSPC).

Sincerely,

Sherman Wes Cole

Call

Chair, Governor's Behavioral Health Services Planning Council

From: Cissy McKinzie [KDADS]

To: Diana Marsh [KDADS]

Subject: FW: SABG Annual Report - Tables 3a, 3b, 12 and 13 for KCC review

Date: Monday, November 28, 2022 8:58:16 AM

Attachments: 10-19-22 FY2023 SABG Annual Report Table 12 SABG Early Intervention Services - HIV in Designated States.pdf

10-19-22 FY2023 SABG Annual Report Table 13 - Charitable Choice.pdf 10-19-22 FY2023 SABG Annual Report Table 3a - Syringe Services Programs.pdf 10-19-22 FY2023 SABG Annual Report Table 3b - Syringe Services Program.pdf

Sent to the KCC for their feedback

From: Cissy McKinzie [KDADS]

Sent: Wednesday, October 19, 2022 2:44 PM

To: Sara Jackson <sara@hradac.com>

Subject: SABG Annual Report - Tables 3a, 3b, 12 and 13 for KCC review

Please see attached FY2023 Substance Abuse Block Grant (SABG) Annual Report Tables 3a, 3b, 12 and 13 for the KCC's review and feedback.

For Tables 3a, 3b and 12: Please see the footnotes added to the sections.

For Table 12, please see more info from SAMHSA below. Kansas is not considered a HIV Designated State for this period:

Appendix B

SABG "Designated States" for HIV 5% Set-Aside Requirement: FY 2020 – FY 2023

	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
DC	✓	✓	✓	✓
Florida	✓	✓	✓	
Georgia	✓	✓	✓	✓
Louisiana	✓	✓	✓	
Maryland	✓	Δ	Δ	
Mississippi		✓	Δ	
South Carolina	✓	Δ	Δ	

^{✓:} The grantee is a mandatory "designated State" for the indicated SABG FY Award for the SABG HIV 5% Set-Aside Expenditure Requirement.

The most current data reporting through CDC NCHHSTP AtlasPlus for HIV Data for 2020, which serves as the basis for the SABG designation of a HIV "designated State" for the FY 23 SABG Award, in accordance with SABG §96.128, is as follows (AtlasPlus HIV Data published by CDC in May, 2022):

^{△:} The grantee has voluntarily chosen the status of a "designated State" for the indicated SABG FY Award for the SABG HIV 5% Set-Aside Expenditure Requirement, in accordance with SAMHSA policy.

Thanks,

Cissy McKinzie

Block Grant/SUD Program Manager SOTA

Behavioral Health Services Commission Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services (KDADS)

503 S. Kansas Ave.

Topeka, KS 66603 Phone: (785) 296-4079 Fax: (785) 296-0256

<u>Tamberly.McKinzie@ks.gov</u>

Visit our web site:	http://www.kdads.l	ks.gov/

From: Cissy McKinzie [KDADS]

To: Diana Marsh [KDADS]

Subject: FW: Block Grant Reports are out for Public Comment on our website

Date: Monday, November 28, 2022 8:56:34 AM

Attachments: <u>image002.png</u>

From: Cissy McKinzie [KDADS]

Sent: Friday, November 18, 2022 4:11 PM

To: Breyne Avery, Frances <Frances.Breyne@beaconhealthoptions.com>; Stuart Little <stuartjlittle@mac.com>; Kyle Kessler <kkessler@acmhck.org>; jchilders@atcsek.org; Wes Cole <scole@micoks.net>

Cc: Charles Bartlett < Charles.Bartlett@ks.gov>; Andrew Brown [KDADS] < Andrew.Brown@ks.gov>; Drew Adkins [KDADS] < Drew.Adkins@ks.gov>; Gary Henault [KDADS] (Gary.Henault@ks.gov) < Gary.Henault@ks.gov>

Subject: Block Grant Reports are out for Public Comment on our website

The FY2023 Substance Abuse Block Grant (SABG) and FY2023 Mental Health Block Grant (MHBG) Annual reports are out on our website for public comment at:

https://www.kdads.ks.gov/commissions/behavioral-health/substance-abuse-and-mental-health-services-administration-block-grant

Feedback should be in writing and submitted by Friday, 11/25/22, via kdads.bhs@ks.gov.

Thanks,

Cissy McKinzie

Block Grant/SUD Program Manager

SOTA

Behavioral Health Services Commission

Kansas Department for Aging and Disability Services (KDADS)

503 S. Kansas Ave.

Topeka, KS 66603

Phone: (785) 296-4079 Fax: (785) 296-0256

Tamberly.McKinzie@ks.gov

Visit our web site: http://www.kdads.ks.gov/

