	Waiver Amendment Changes		
#	Sender	Changes/Recommendations	KDADS Response
1	GoodLife	Thank you for all your work to provide for the Virtual	The PCSP needs to explain
	Innovations,	Delivery of Services in our Kansas Waiver. The next	what services will be covered
	Inc.	generation of I/DD care will undoubtedly be service	in-person versus virtual.
		approaches that organically integrate remote and in-	The service code in the policy
		person human support (including automated support) in	will be the same for both, but
		ways that best empower people to lead their preferred	service delivery will be
		lives in small homes as independently, safely, and as	further clarified on the PCSP.
		privately as possible. To advance the vision of the final	
		rule, I'm hopeful that we can propose a few important	
		changes to the Kansas waiver language for how we use	
		VDS. First, the current VDS waiver language appears to	
		propose standards that do not equally apply to all	
		methods of care. We should always use the approach to	
		care that can produce the best outcome (the best and	
		most preferred lifestyle) in the safest, least intrusive, and	
		most private way possible. I'm hopeful that we can revise	
		the VDS waiver language to ensure that all waiver care	
		approaches (not just virtual or remote care) are measured	
		against the same expectations (standards) for maximizing	
		privacy, safety, and independence, while also achieving	
		the lifestyle outcomes we want. Second, the current VDS	
		waiver language presents virtual support and in-home	
		support essentially as opposing choice options. Some	
		A behavioral specialist can remotely support a DSP who is	
		working alone to support a person with complex	
		behavioral challenges. A nurse might remotely support a	
		DSP who is concerned about an individual's health. A	
		person served might prefer a manager or experienced	
		remote coach to virtually be present to train/support a	
		new staff person they don't know well. We must use	
		support strategies that organically combine remote and in-	
		home support if we hope to move away from traditional	
		group home services and deliver care in smaller, more	
		inclusive homes where one staff is supporting one or two	
		persons with a range of needs. I'm hopeful we can revise	
		language so that in-home and remote support can be used	
		separately and in combination in ways that advance care,	
		independence, and person-centered lifestyles. Please feel	
		free to contact me if I might be of any assistance. We have	
		drafted use policies for remote supports, and have drafted	
<u></u>		consents for how remote and in-home support, and would	
2	l -	Day Services	
	l 4	a. Virtual Service Delivery- I recommend to add more	We are addressing all of
		clarification indicating the service must be provided	these through the pending
	althplan.com	outside of the member's home, even if provided virtually.	policy.
	I		

	Waiver Amendment Changes				
#	Sender	Changes/Recommendations	KDADS Response		
		b. Virtual Service Delivery- Recommend to add that the provider must be enrolled in KMAP, licensed for and have an affiliate agreement with the CDDO in the area in which the member resides.			
		c. Virtual Service Delivery- Recommend to add that both the MCO and the provider are responsible for documenting and tracking how the service is delivered. Also recommend to add that the provider must document the service that is delivered, in the same way as if it was inperson. d. Virtual Service Delivery- Recommend to add that the			
		provider will respect the member's choice not to use virtual services at any given time; and will implement the back up plan for in-person support. This reinforces members can change their minds at any time and the back up option needs to be provided.			
		Residential Services a. Virtual Service Delivery- Recommend to add that both the MCO and the provider are responsible for documenting and tracking how the service is delivered. Also recommend to add that the provider must document the service that is delivered, in the same way as if it was inperson.	We are addressing all of these through the pending policy.		
		b. Virtual Service Delivery- Recommend to add that the provider must be enrolled in KMAP, licensed for and have an affiliate agreement with the CDDO in the area in which the member resides.			
		c. Providers will want to know how to bill if the service is still 1 unit = 1 day, and the provider provides both inperson and virtual service the same day. In the claim, do they indicate service location as in home or remote?			
		d. Virtual Service Delivery- Recommend to add that the provider will respect the member's choice not to use virtual services at any given time; and will implement the back up plan for in-person support. This reinforces members can change their minds at any time and the back up option needs to be provided.			
		Personal Care Services Virtual Service Delivery- Recommend to add that the provider must be enrolled in KMAP and licensed to provide the service in the area of the state where the member resides- if this is true? How does the 200 mile rule apply for Home Health agencies?	We are addressing all of these through the pending policy.		

	Waiver Amendment Changes				
#	Sender	Changes/Recommendations	KDADS Response		
		Virtual Service Delivery- Recommend to add that both the MCO and the provider are responsible for documenting and tracking how the service is delivered. Also recommend to add that the provider must document the service that is delivered, in the same way as if it was in-			
		Person. Virtual Service Delivery- Recommend to add that the provider will respect the member's choice not to use virtual services at any given time; and will implement the back up plan for in-person support. This reinforces members can change their minds at any time and the back up option needs to be provided.			
3	_	Mosaic is a nonprofit healthcare organization serving over 2,800 people across 13 states in more than 700 communities. We help empower people with disabilities, mental and behavioral health needs and autism, as well as aging adults to live their best life. We primarily serve people in home and community services. In Kansas, we support nearly 500 people in service with over 300 members of our workforce (both employees and independent contractors). Mosaic has the following comments related to the amendment for Kansas Medicaid 1915 (c) waivers: Intellectual and Developmentally Disabled (I/DD), effective January 1st, 2024. Kansas Virtual Delivery of HCBS Services: Adding virtual delivery of services as part of adult residential services on the I/DD Waiver and agency-directed Personal Care Service (PCS) and therapy services for designated waiver Having flexibility with service delivery options has proven to be beneficial to a variety of people Mosaic supports. During the pandemic, this service delivery option was vital to connect, stay engaged and support meaningful relationships with people in servicee as well as the workforce and our community. Through utilizing virtual options, people were able to explore the world, learn new skills, expand their horizon and connect with people near	Thank you for your comment. We will take your suggestion under consideration.		

	Waiver Amendment Changes				
#	Sender	Changes/Recommendations	KDADS Response		
		In the event that virtual deliver of HCBS services is not			
		approved, people will have limited or no access to certain			
		services that bring meaningful benefits to them. People			
		will be limited in connecting with members of their			
		community. At Mosaic, we have seen great benefit to this			
		service delivery option and strongly <i>support</i> the approval			
		of this waiver amendment.			
		Paid family caregivers for PCS			
		Families like to have options and choice when it comes to			
		residential providers. For some, they chose to move their			
		loved one in a group home, shared living setting or			
		apartment. For others, they want to continue providing			
		services to their loved one while being paid, until they			
		choose another residential setting. Mosaic has seen value			
		in families being paid caregivers, especially for those with			
		complex medical needs.			
		For example, Mosaic supports a person who lives at home			
		with their parents in Kansas City. During the Public HEalth			
		Emergency (PHE), due to flexibilities with Appendix K, the			
		familiy was able to receive payment for services provided.			
		As CMS and states are starting to unwind the Appendix K			
		flexibilities provided during the PHE, families are asking			
		for paid family caregivers to continue as a service. This is a critical service for families that uphold their cultural			
		values, family values, and simply being able to care for			
		It's important to note that not offering this service will			
		limit access to services for people in an already			
		challenging workforce environment. This could also lead			
		to people who need services, not receive services if they			
		are not able to have a paid family caregiver. The			
		opportunity for paid family caregivers, provides			
		personalized services in their home environment which			
		positively impacts the state's budget. Another benefit of a			
		paid family caregiver for shared living is it will help solve			
		the lack of housing concerns. Giving families the			
		opportunity to provide services to their loved on in their			
		own home, diminishes the need of looking for an			
		Alternative affordable housing, which is extremely limited.			
		Mosaic encourages KDADS to expand the paid family caregiver service delivery option to residential services in			
		addition to the personal care services (PCS).			
		Thank you for considering Mosaic's comments regarding			
		the Kansas Medicaid (1915(c) Waiver Amendments.			
		Sincerely, Cheryl A. Wicks			
		onicerery, oner yr A. Wicks			
	!	<u> </u>			

	Waiver Amendment Changes				
#	Sender	Changes/Recommendations	KDADS Response		
4	Parent /	For adult/children with medical complexities, parents &	The Fair Labor Standards Act		
	Legal	legal guardians should be exempt from the 40 hour/week	does not permit employees to		
	Guardian	work limit with the understanding that there will not be	waive the right to overtime		
		l -	pay.		
		difficult to find appropriate help. As such, we must take			
		time off from work for an extended period, especially after			
		surgeries and hospitalizations. There is already a 12			
		hour/day pay limit on care. However, when our			
		adult/children are sick, their sickness does not stop at 12			
		hours. We are just expected to work for free after 12			
		hours. Parents and legal guardians who live with the			
		adult/child already have various special tax			
		exemptions/waivers for working for their adult/child. Why			
		not also make a special exception regarding the work			
		week for parents and legal guardians who live with the			
		adult/child? I doubt that any parent or legal guardian			
		living with their adult/child will object to not being paid			
		over-time compared to not having any type of income for			
		missing work and not being able to pay for basic			
		necessities such as rent or food. I realize that this 40			
		hour/week rule is from the DOL, but if the IRS can make			
		exceptions to help the parents and legal guardians who			
		live with the adult/child, why could the DOL not do the			
5	МСО	HEMS:	We will look into clarifying		
		Listed items: Presents an illusion of pre-approved items.	this language.		
		Human rights: Items that are viewed as restraints or			
		seclusions could be viewed as human rights violations.			
		IDD has a HR committee to review these (I believe at the			
		CDDO level). BI, FE, PD and TA do not. Specifically for			
		those with cognitive limitations and/or if someone is			
		injured or worse.			
		Could these items be removed from this list? Could the list			
		keep the listed items that are standard MHMs that are			
		provided routinely as examples? And keep the "but not			
		limited to" language? Main focus is concern for safety in			
		the event of an accident. Also do not want to set up a			
		scenario that could result in a human rights violation.			
		UENONANO	The last Court		
		HEMS/VMS:	Thank you for this comment.		
		"The MCO shall make attempts to identify potential			
		community resources or natural supports."			
		Chauld be removed beard or we sant we are details			
		-Should be removed based on recent memo/state			
	I	direction			

	Waiver Amendment Changes		
#	Sender	Changes/Recommendations	KDADS Response
		HEMS/VMS:	KDADS is not prescriptive on
		<u>-</u>	this and prefers language to
		of the participant's needs and recommendations from a	be open ended to allow for
		therapist or a person qualified to complete home	flexibility regarding
		usability/accessibility assessments."	individuals who are
			appropriately qualified to
		-What provider qualifications determine the type of	assess an individual's need
		therapist or "person qualified" to complete this type of	for a specific item.
		evaluation?	
		-Is this a requirement for all HEMS/VMS? If so therapy	
		evaluations that are not needed are going to increase	
		costs quite a bit. They will also potentially delay jobs that	
		do not normally need a therapy evaluation, such as ramps,	
		handrails, etc	
		VMS:	We will amend and clarify the
		"Assistance with modifications to be purchased and	MCOs role. The MCO is not
		installed in a vehicle owned by or a new vehicle	expected to get Program
		purchased by the participant, or legally responsible	Manager approval prior to
		parent/guardian of a minor or other care-giver as	approving and reimbursing
		approved by KDADS Program Manager."	the service.
		MCO is in agreement with ensuring that purchases are	
		made for the sole benefit of the member whose funds	
		were used and the vehicle owned by them or their legally	
		responsible guardian/legal parent. MCO just requests	
		guidance on a process if a request of any kind would be	
		submitted directly to a KDADS program manager for	
		review and decision.	
		-Does the MCO need to submit a formal request to a KDADS PM?	
		RDADS PIM?	
		-Is the MCO to submit ALL VMS requests to the KDADS	
		PM, or are there exceptions.	
		-Would the MCO send to KDADS after they have done	
		their own internal review of medical necessity?	
		-Does this statement mean "care-giver as approved by	
		KDADS program manager?" Or does this mean that the	
		vehicle modification request is approved by KDADS PM?	
		, ,,	